## Unsuitable 1.0

The World's First Blog Engine Written in Forth.

# Problems (July 2009)

- Web Hosting Provider Folding in Few Weeks
- Using Serendipity with PostgreSQL Database
- Need to install new blog, retaining content
  - Local Installation of Serendipity with MySQL
- Sounds simple to migrate!

- Local Serendipity Failed to Import from Remote Serendipity Instance
  - Only first 20 or so articles succeeded
  - No comments were imported

- Serendipity Suffers Numerous Page-Rendering Bugs too.
  - Code listings were always double-spaced.
  - Sometimes you needed \ to escape its wikiinspired format codes, sometimes not.

- Decided to try Wordpress.
  - Themes broken out of the box!! Ouch!
  - Could not fix them by changing themes. Ouch!
  - Failed to import comments from Serendipity.

- Decided to try Wordpress.
  - I am not alone: 29 complaints in last 3 months

Forum Topics			
Topic	Posts	Last Poster	Freshness
"Broken theme" "template missing" help!	14	Micah007	1 month
Broken Theme – Missing Style Sheet	2	dkristine	2 months
Theme Broken	1	AVX	2 months
Artisteer Home Page theme won't upload	2	sulfsby	2 months
[resolved] Add themes from Artisteer - worked at first, but not now?	1	carolineasmussen	3 months
Broken Themeanother one	3	esmi	3 months
[resolved] Broken Theme & Dashboard	4	flamenco	3 months
Broken Theme	2	esmi	3 months

- Decided to try Movable Type
  - Could not import anything from Serendipity.
  - Configuration was a pain.
    - Intended for large-scale sites with dedicated support personnel.
    - I had to pay for the theme I wanted. Ouch!

• It Becomes Personal!

"My crusade in this post is that Forth is emphatically not the right tool for the job." – lars\_ (reddit.com)

## Light Bulb!

By this time, I had only one day left of hosting.
 I grabbed an SQL dump, intending to import material into whatever blog engine I eventually settled on.

 But then I remembered all those previous articles where I said, "I should write my own blog in Forth someday." It was now or never!

#### Comments

- Not worth the effort to implement!
- 99% spam by volume.
- Serendipity required non-stop administrative expense on my part.
- Most contemporary blogs moving towards hiding comments by default, because let's face it, they're just plain ugly and distracting.

- Comments
  - I'm not alone!
    - Laughing Squid (removed)
    - LispCast (hidden)
    - WSJ (never used; comments on Facebook now!)
    - Science Daily (never existed)
  - Therefore, Unsuitable doesn't support comments.

- Searches
  - Google site:foo.com search works great!
  - It's almost like owning a GSA, but cheaper.
  - Faster than any blog search engine I've used.
  - Therefore, Unsuitable does not provide search capability.

- Falvotech.com belongs only to me.
  - I have no guest authors.
  - No theme: I discuss whatever I'm thinking at the moment.
  - Supporting multiple authors implies user and rights management complexities.
  - Therefore, Unsuitable hardcodes the author of all articles.

- Visual Appearance
  - Different page layouts really requires different article flows.
  - I expect to make no money from my blog; material no longer of interest to the world at large.
  - Automatic flow management, a la T<sub>F</sub>X, is hard!!
  - Therefore, Unsuitable hardcodes much of the visual layout, while accepting essentially raw HTML as input.

- Article Submission Procedure
  - I'm the sole author for all content.
  - Multiple authors -> user management -> management user interfaces.
  - SSH already provides spectacularly awesome authentication.
  - Therefore, Unsuitable requires article submission from command-line.

## Deliberate Complexification

- Don't use files for persistence
- Would not be "Forth-like" if I did.
- Instead, use 1970s-era, natively-hosted Forth BLOCK system (DASD).
- Reminds me of allocating data-sets on IBM mainframes. Only way, way, way easier and cooler.
- Surprising results!!

- Only the essentials:
  - Organizes catalog of articles.
  - Provides RSS feed.
  - Permits user to drill down into an article.
- 371 lines of code total.
  - Includes comments and blank lines!
  - Not all compiled at once, though.
- Pure CGI Interface for Lighttpd.

```
$ siege -c 250 -i http://www.falvotech.com/
Transactions:
                            39709 hits
Availability:
                            100.00 %
Elapsed time:
                         290.39 secs
Data transferred:
                            291.71 MB
Response time:
                           1.31 secs
                        136.74 trans/sec
Transaction rate:
                            1.00 \, \text{MB/sec}
Throughput:
                        179.17
Concurrency:
Successful transactions: 39709
Failed transactions:
Longest transaction:
                          14.02
Shortest transaction:
                            0.01
```

```
$ siege -f urls.txt -c 250 -I
Transactions:
                              47613 hits
Availability:
                             100.00 %
Elapsed time:
                         366.20 secs
Data transferred:
                             325.27 MB
Response time:
                            1.39 secs
                             130.02 trans/sec
Transaction rate:
                               0.89 MB/sec
Throughput:
                             180.16
Concurrency:
Successful transactions: 47613
Failed transactions:
Longest transaction:
                           19.04
Shortest transaction:
                            0.02
```

Lighttpd is definitely the bottleneck!

- Forth Machine Model
  - A "Dictionary"
  - Persistent Storage in "blocks"
  - A Data Stack
  - A Return Stack
- Forth code always reads left to right.
  - Except when it doesn't.

Forth is Interpreted

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 100 \*/ 32 +

Forth is Interpreted

**32** 180 100 \*/ 32 +

DATA STACK: 32

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 100 \*/ 32 +

**DATA STACK: 32 180** 

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 <del>100</del> \*/ 32 +

DATA STACK: 32 180 100

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 100 \*/ 32 +

DATA STACK: (32\*180)/100 or 57.6

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 100 \*/ 32 +

**DATA STACK:** 57.6 32

Forth is Interpreted

32 180 100 \*/ 32 +

DATA STACK: 89.6

Forth is Compiled

```
:>F 180 100 */ 32 +;
```

- : defines new words. Note : is like any other word!
- ; closes the definition.
- Names may contain any non-space character.

- Forth recognizes three distinct times: ICE
  - Interpret-time.
  - Compile-time.
  - Edit-time.

Forth recognizes three distinct times: ICE

```
:>F 180 100 */ 32 +;
32 >F
```

- Data Stack Manipulation
  - Sometimes, required data isn't where you need it to be.

```
1 2 3 DUP
1 2 3 3
1 2 3 OVER
1 2 3 2
1 2 3 DROP
1 2 3 NIP
1 3 1
1 2 3 ROT
2 3 1
```

- Return Stack Used to Remember What to Do Next
  - Think, "Return to what I was doing."
  - May also be thought of as partial continuations.
- Return Stack Manipulators

```
>R (to-R)
```

R> (R-from)

- Structured Returns Common in Functional Languages Today
  - Forth coders have been doing this since the 60s!!

```
: onlyIfOdd dup 1 and 0= if r> drop then;: testIt onlyIfOdd." This number is odd." cr;
```

3 testlt 4 testlt

Forth thus allows factoring control flow!

#### 371 Lines of What?

- Structured Returns and Deep Stacks Lead to Spaghetti If Abused.
- Used properly, however, they permit Forth to express in 371 lines what Java/Perl/Python/ et. al. require ~2000 for.
- Compression also comes from eliminating redundancy in the source code.

#### 371 Lines of What?

```
In Forth:

: >core
dup 10 rshift block swap 1023 and +;

blkAddress;

blkAddress =
fillBlockCache(address >> 10);
return
new Ptr<char>(blkAddress +
(address & 1023));
}
```

## A Word about Types

- Forth, the language, specifies no types.
  - Moore believes, rightly, that a potentially infinite number of sub-types exist for any non-trivial application.

Ex: Form-raw strings vs. HTML-escaped strings

Ex: Does the range [1, 7] correspond to days of the week or a valid menu selection? Both? And, when?

## A Word about Types

- Type checking done through Hoare Logic.
  - Prefer edit-time "checking" with Hoare Logic.
  - Compiler almost always ignorant about types. See StrongForth for an exception.
  - Interpret-time checking preferred over run-time checking (c.f. Unsuitable's modules, TDD, etc.)
  - Run-time checking enforceable through execution of guards and preconditions.

Unsuitable receives request in PATH\_INFO.

```
S" PATH_INFO" getenv
constant /path-info
constant &path-info
```

 Convention: Read /x as "size of x," as in bytes per x.

- Unsuitable receives request in PATH\_INFO.
- Basic sanity checks ensure obvious breakage attempts yields an index page.

```
: |url|>=2
  /path-info 2 u< if
    s" m-index.fs" included bye
  then;
|url|>=2
```

- Unsuitable receives request in PATH\_INFO.
- Basic sanity checks ensure obvious breakage attempts yields an index page.
- More sophisticated forms of breakage requires compromising Lighttpd itself.
- Based on URL component, dispatch to specific Forth module.

• URL Structure

http://host-name/blog.fs/module/parameters

Resolved by POWS Selects Optional

Unsuitable

Request Handler

http://host-name/blog.fs/module/parameters

```
&path-info /path-info +
    constant end-of-url
```

#### http://host-name/blog.fs/module/parameters

```
: -eou dup end-of-url >= if r> drop then;
: -/ dup c@ [char] / = if r> drop then;
: slash begin -eou -/ char+ again;
module slash constant &parameters
```

#### http://host-name/blog.fs/module/parameters

- Each module responsible for validating and interpreting URL parameters, if any.
- Modules exhibit bracket structure:
  - First "bracket": examine URL and make sure it's valid for this module. Don't compile rest of the module unless it's worth it!

 Each module responsible for validating and interpreting URL parameters, if any.

```
end-of-url &parameters -
    constant /parameters
: oops
    s" m-index.fs" included bye ;
: |parameters|>=2
    /parameters 2 u< if oops then ;
|parameters|>=2
```

- Each module responsible for validating and interpreting URL parameters, if any.
- Modules exhibit bracket structure:
  - Interior: macro definitions and support utilities.

```
: exists:
    dup -1 = if r> 2drop then;
: .f
    id @ articleWithId!
    execute exists: gob! get,;
: title ['] title .f;
: lead ['] lead .f;
: body ['] body .f;
```

- Each module responsible for validating and interpreting URL parameters, if any.
- Modules exhibit bracket structure:
  - Second "bracket": render the resulting page using the above-defined macros, if any.

```
variable s
variable end
: mime
    ." Content-type: text/html" cr cr ;
: valid
    mime here s !
    s" article.html" slurp here end !
    s" response.fs" included bye ;
```

### Storage

- Blog written as if it were orthogonally persistent.
- BLOCKs implement software-managed virtual memory with 1024 byte "pages."
  - @f and !f to fetchs and stores (resp.) to persistent space.
  - @ and ! for normal core/dictionary storage.

## Storage

From	То	Description
0000000	000003FF	Vestigial boot block storage <sup>1</sup>
00000400	000007FF	GOS and DB Metablock
00000800	000107FF	unused <sup>2</sup>
00010800	00010FFF	2K GOS Handle Table <sup>3</sup>
00011000	000137FF	10K Articles Table <sup>3</sup>
00013800	0003FFFF	unused
00040000	0013FFFF	1MB GOS blob storage

- 1 Used back when Forth implementations doubled as a machine's operating system.
- 2 Formerly, 64K GOS blob storage.
- 3 At current blog article posting rate, should suffice for another *five years* of posts!

### Storage

- Administering Storage Surprisingly Quick/Easy
  - Filesystems not as useful as I expected for this project!
  - When I ran out of my first GOS (64K), I expanded it to 256K, including relocating it in the block space, in only 10 minutes.
  - GOS now is 1MB in size.
  - I anticipate GOS exhaustion around Feb 2011.

#### No Libraries!

- Dwindling user-base since Forth eclipsed by C in mid-80s.
- Hence, no libraries exist for collections, networking, etc.
  - That's OK though: Forth philosophy prefers building from scratch for production code.
  - Re-using code considered OK for R&D though!
- Code re-use takes backseat to concept re-use.

## Compiles on Every Page View

- Forth compilers work very fast!
  - Gforth compiles 45,801 LOC/s on my web server.
  - Gforth-fast compiles 54,500 LOC/s.
- If every line of the blog were compiled for a single request, it would introduce only 8ms of latency.

#### **Future Directions**

- Interest Expressed in a Forth Web Application Server!
- Adding Features to Unsuitable:
  - Series of Articles (e.g., Unsuitable on Unsuitable)
  - Different Navigation Methods?
  - Automated Article Obsolescence Tracking?
  - Support for Different Kinds of Articles?

#### Additional Resources

- Blog Address
  - http://www.falvotech.com/blog2/blog.fs
- Unsuitable on Unsuitable
  - http://www.falvotech.com/blog2/blog.fs/articles/ 1030
- Declarative, Imperative, then Inquisitive Pattern
  - http://www.falvotech.com/blog2/blog.fs/articles/ 1022

#### Additional Resources

- Starting Forth
  - http://www.forth.com/starting-forth/
- Thinking Forth
  - http://thinking-forth.sourceforge.net/
- Silicon Valley Forth Interest Group
  - If you don't mind a bunch of disgruntled Forth coders reminiscing of the good-ol' days...;-)
  - http://www.forth.org/svfig

Thank You!

Q & A