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### LOCATING FORTH WORDS • BY GENE THOMAS

One of the strong points of a computer is that it will perform boring and repetitious tasks without complaint. Given a range of screens followed by a string, this utility will search those screens for that string. The designated string can be a single word, a phrase, even a substring. Similar to F83's `VIEW`.

### ACCESS TO dBASE FILES • BY JOHN E. TARIN

This article presents a generalized method to access data stored in dBASE files. The words constituting the mechanism may be used to fetch data from more than one dBASE file during a session. Forth is more straightforward, is easier to write and debug, and the completed code executes much faster than dBASE's built-in functions.

### IMPROVED STRING HANDLING • BY MIKE ELOLA

String-handling was treated like the ugly duckling when early Forth models were created, and it can still stand improvement. Through better factoring, enhancements should result in a kernel at least as compact as existing Forth kernels, and with a tight design that will naturally lead to a compact, discrete, and fully functional set of string operators.

### HEADERLESS LOCAL VARIABLES & CONSTANTS • BY JOHN P. DAUGHERTY

An application under design is large, and dictionary space is at a premium. Stripping the headers off local variables and constants would save space. But real headerless local variables and constants should execute the same as their standard counterparts, and both local and global versions will be used. Here's how!

### HAVE YOUR ASSEMBLER... • BY DARRYL C. OLIVIER

...and eat it, too. It is very simple to use the assembler at compile time but to eliminate it from the compiled program. The assembler definition is independent of its defining word!

### ANS FORTH MEETING NOTES • BY RAY DUNCAN

The third meeting of the ANS Forth Technical Committee was promising, with nearly thirty proposals voted on and decisions reached on thorny issues like floored division.

### THE BEST OF GENIE • BY GARY SMITH

This is the first “Best of GEnie” column, intended to provide a sampler of recent activity from the Forth RoundTable on GE's large (and still growing) network. Log-on soon to see the complete selection of software libraries, topics of discussion, and real-time dialog with other Forth users.
EDITORIAL

About those standards: I don’t really understand how a new language standard arises out of “common usage.” I suppose common, as it is used here, means both unremarkable and general, so we are all safe. But if so, that means everyone on the ANS Forth Technical Committee could be surprised in a big way if one or two of the larger dialect communities — who may even be more common than the rest of us — organized to show that their users mean business, too.

Changes to Forth will appear in the next standard through the agencies of the humans involved. Those who think an ANS stamp will help their business or career owe it to themselves to get one. Those who fear the consequences of the ANS standardization effort — which will, after all, go on without them — should get involved if only to ensure that their views are taken into account. Which is reason enough to print in this issue the pages needed to submit technical proposals to the ANS Forth team.

Name your favorite Forth reference works: I’d be among the first to rejoice if the last word in Forth standards could be uttered. How nice it would be to have one dialect, indivisible. It is, understandably, quite a challenge to keep track of the words allowed by the three fundamental dialects, plus the additional words in (or derived from) MVP, LMI, poly, MMS, F83, and others.

My position is that it’s better to publish a reasonably broad range of material than to restrict our published content to an easily filtered, standard dialect. And I do not believe that code blindly typed in, even if it runs, contributes much to the expertise of the typist. The people I’ve met who succeed in Forth careers have been conversant with (or, at least, calm in the face of) a variety of dialects. Because, for better or worse, that’s the way of the working world.

A reader laments in this issue about the undefined-word problem (an undefined, non-standard word, or assembler of the wrong flavor, cropping up in source screens). Our authors should all know that no one wants undefined words in his source code. Words that don’t adhere to a standard dialect need to be defined. Routines containing assembler code should be documented in high-level Forth as well as in English. I think the solution is to continue doing our best, and to help readers educate themselves about the Forth implementations they encounter.

We can, however, do a better job of guiding people with questions to the best sources of answers. Send me a list of the reference works you use to look up Forth definitions, techniques, etc. I will organize the responses into a “Recommended Reference Library” for Forth programmers and see that it gets placed where it will do the most good.

A friendly reminder: This magazine is by and for its readers. We’re interested in your latest project, the trick you used to complete an application, your experiences with Forth (especially on today’s machines), programming techniques, and, yes, FD is looking for a few good routines.... In other words, just about every one of you has something to contribute to these pages. If you haven’t noticed, the excellent Bibliography of Forth References shows that you would be in good company. If you’re a new author, send me your name and address to get on the list to receive our soon-to-be-revised writers’ guidelines. (But the bottom line is: start writing!)

—Marlin Quverson
Editor

Forth Dimensions welcomes editorial material, letters to the editor, and comments from its readers. No responsibility is assumed for accuracy of submissions.

Subscription to Forth Dimensions is included with membership in the Forth Interest Group at $30 per year ($42 overseas air). For membership, change of address, and to submit items for publication, the address is: Forth Interest Group, P.O. Box 8231, San Jose, California 95155. Administrative offices and advertising sales: 408-277-0668.

Copyright © 1987 by Forth Interest Group, Inc. The material contained in this periodical (but not the code) is copyrighted by the individual authors of the articles and by Forth Interest Group, Inc., respectively. Any reproduction or use of this periodical as it is compiled or the articles, except reproductions for non-commercial purposes, without the written permission of Forth Interest Group, Inc. is a violation of the Copyright Laws. Any code bearing a copyright notice, however, can be used only with permission of the copyright holder.

About the Forth Interest Group

The Forth Interest Group is the association of programmers, managers, and engineers who create practical, Forth-based solutions to real-world needs. Many research hardware and software designs that will advance the general state of the art. FIG provides a climate of intellectual exchange and benefits intended to assist each of its members. Publications, conferences, seminars, telecommunications, and area chapter meetings are among its activities.

"Forth Dimensions" is published bimonthly for $24/36 per year by the Forth Interest Group, 1330 S. Bascom Ave., Suite D, San Jose, CA 95128. Second-class postage pending at San Jose, CA 95101. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Forth Dimensions, P.O. Box 8231, San Jose, CA 95155."
Search Party
Dear FIG:
I was pleased to see the response by Mr. Martin Guy (FD IX/4) to my letters and "search" code of several months earlier. When I submitted my code, I hoped just such a response would be generated.
I am glad my efforts have been helpful, and I appreciate the improvements suggested. I accept his critique regarding especially like Mr. Guy's use of the after carefully thinking it through.
There would be more failures to match than there would be matches. For a buffer of 1K, this savings could easily approach 8000 - 9000 clocks or more during a search of the full buffer. Real savings.
Sincerely,
Robert Lee Hoffpauer
609 W. Arapaho Road
Richardson, Texas 75080

Fractals, Windows, and 64K
Dear Sir,
Further to my previous letter, it seems I always write for help too soon, and then ask all the wrong questions! Please accept my apologies for even suggesting there may be some typographical errors in the code published for "Fractal Landscapes" — I found out what ++ is supposed to do from a copy of the fig-FORTH installation manual's glossary, and the result was just what Phil Koopman, Jr. intended. Terrific stuff!
The fact, however, that F83 limits code space to 64K meant that it was necessary to set the graphics array up in virtual memory on disk, and run the whole process from my RAMdisk.
This is OK, it works well — I set up a small array of colour codes to change the order in which the colours are accessed, which gives a more pleasing display, but it's still too slow. The question I should have asked is how to access all that beautiful memory from F83? Perhaps someone could steer me in the right direction here?
In addition, I have been experimenting with F83's multi-tasker with limited success. I note that Dr. Ting, in his Inside F83, suggests that "...windows are built this way." I wonder if an article in Forth Dimensions elaborating on this theme might be of interest to those of us who are still struggling with Forth? It would certainly be appreciated by me!
Yours faithfully,
David J. Dartnall
20 Eldon Street
Dianella 6062
Perth, Western Australia

Thanks for writing, David. Your shifting areas of confusion seem to be following a pattern familiar to many self-taught Forth programmers. One of the best places to look for the definitions of unfamiliar words is Glen B. Haydon's All About Forth. Ah, windows... I agree with your assessment of their interest to most of us — off-the-cuff, I'd say the code and documentation you want is in Volume Two of Dr. Dobb's Toolbook of Forth; but I'd like to see an article that addresses the technique. As to those 64K blues: it gets tricky, and maybe it's best to talk to some of the folks at your local FIG chapter. (All right, I know Melbourne and Sydney aren't local to you, but I'll bet they can put you in touch with someone closer...) You could develop a memory manager that uses far calls instead of jumps, and then add 32-bit addressing; or you could work around it by keeping your program within the 64K limit, but putting Forth libraries and data out into different 64K segments. (The latter approach is used to good end in LIB-FORTH, afour-diskette set from Mountain View Press.) Good luck!

Open Letter
to Standards Committee
This letter is in response to a request I, as chairman of the Boston chapter of the Forth Interest Group, received from a
member of the ANS Forth standards committee [X3J14] for input into the standards process. This is not a new subject for our group. Over the past year-and-a-half, we have discussed it at length at least three times, as well as following on-going developments. Our chapter represents a cross-section of New England’s Forth community. Meetings normally include several consultants, several Forth companies, vendors, and even a few hobbyists!

This request spurred me to bring the matter up at our next meeting. The opinions presented in this discussion basically fell into two camps. One felt we should not get involved, in hopes that nothing would ever come of it. The second group felt we should get involved, in the hope that we could prevent too much damage. All who participated in the discussion seemed to regard a new standard as a major crisis which any unnecessary changes will not be made; and that the resulting standard will not invalidate their systems; that arbitrary and unnecessary changes will not be made; and that the results will reflect “common usage” and will not try to “improve” the language.

At this time, there doesn’t seem to be any grass-roots support for a standards effort. I regard this as a major crisis which the committee should address immediately. The Forth community needs to be convinced that their opinions will be listened to; that the resulting standard will not invalidate their systems; that arbitrary and unnecessary changes will not be made; and that the results will reflect “common usage” and will not try to “improve” the language.

If we are hoping to generate a standard which the Forth community will accept and appreciate, we must first bend over backwards to convince that community that we are working for it. It is not good enough to invite input, we must go out and seek it. To determine what is “common usage,” we must talk to the “common users,” not the vendors. We must not ask for input on nonexistent forms, but instead circulate questionnaires to users. It is not good enough to open meetings to the public, key members of the committee should also visit local chapter meetings on a regular basis.

The alternative is to promulgate another divisive “standard” which only serves to fragment our community. The end result of this will be reflected in more resistance from companies when we suggest using Forth. The ANSI rubber stamp isn’t worth the cost at this price!

Gary Chanson
360 Waltham Street
W. Newton, Massachusetts 02165

Code for Local Variables

Dear Editor,

The local variables in the article by Peter Ross (FD IX/4) suffer from a major defect: they cannot be called recursively. The use of recursive calls is one of the major reasons for having local variables. The letter by Henning Hansen (FD IX/5) certainly cures that and gives an altogether better approach to local variables. Mr. Hansen suggested that his procedure could be made into an assembly language procedure to speed it up. The enclosed code does just that; for LMI’s PC/Forth, at least. I have renamed Mr. Hansen’s (LOCAL) procedure to RESTORE, since that is what it does: restore the value of the variable. I have also modified it so that it can be used either with variables or with quantities (a data type that fetches its value like a constant when invoked, but which can have that value changed; this is not favored by the Forth establishment, but it has certainly made my programming easier). This changes Mr. Hansen’s syntax so that the LOCAL declaration precedes the name of the variable or quantity.

The user who prefers Mr. Hansen’s syntax may simply omit LOCAL, rename local as LOCAL, and use it directly. The compiled code is almost the same, and the execution speed using a VARIABLE is indistinguishable, although still slower than using a QUANTITY, as seen in the timings below.

In Mr. Hansen’s procedure, the speed penalty of using recursion with local variables was a factor of approximately 6:1 on my system (because on the 80296, the multiplication is vastly speeded up, which helps the faster, non-recursive procedure proportionately more). While using the assembly language version, the penalty is a factor of less than four, and even less using a QUANTITY. In fact, the timings for the three tests were 9.23 seconds for 10000 iterations of 12 N!, 8.40 with n!, and 2.42 for M! on the accompanying screens.

Sincerely,
Michael Barr
146 Dobie Avenue
Mount Royal, Quebec
Canada H3P 1S4

And NEXT, in No Time at All

Dear Marlin,

I am glad that Carl A. Wenrich profited from the tutorial on the NEXT function in All About Forth. Lest he be satisfied with his faster NEXT loop (FD IX/6), he should know that our latest NEXT in the WISC CPU/32 takes zero time. This is, perhaps, the ultimate in speed.

Sincerely yours,
Glen B. Hayden, M.D.
La Honda, California 94020

Screen # 117

\ local variables

12:50 03/05/88

ASM

CODE restore BX, [BP] MOV AX, 2 [BP] MOV [BX], AX MOV BP, # 4 ADD NEXT, END-CODE

: RESTORE restore ;

CODE local BX POP CX, [BX] MOV -2 [BP], CX MOV -4 [BP], BX MOV WORD -6 [BP], # ' RESTORE >BODY MOV BP, # 5 SUB NEXT, END-CODE

: LOCAL ' >BODY [COMPILE] LITERAL COMPILE local ; IMMEDIATE

->

Screen # 118

\ test local variables

12:59 03/12/88

10 LOAD \ timer module

VARIABLE VAR QUANTITY QUAN

; N! LOCAL VAR
DUP >> QUAN 0= IF 1 ELSE VAR @ 1- RECURSE VAR @ ; THEN
; N! LOCAL QUAN
DUP >> QUAN 0= IF 1 ELSE QUAN 1- RECURSE QUAN @ ; THEN
; T !TIMER 10000 0 DO 12 N! DROP LOOP .TIMER ;
; T !TIMER 10000 0 DO 12 N! DROP LOOP .TIMER ;
; M! DUP 1 < IF DROP 1 ELSE 1+ 1 SWAP OVER DO 1 * LOOP THEN ;
; T1 !TIMER 10000 0 DO 12 M! DROP LOOP .TIMER ;
TAKE-OUT FORTH
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I've stopped hunting through disks, screen by screen, for a word I haven't looked for in a long time. Now I let the machine do the work. One of the strong points of a computer is that it will perform boring and repetitious tasks all day long without complaint.

Give SHOWME a range of screens followed by a string, and it will search those screens for that string. The designated string can be a single word or a phrase. Because you set the string delimiter with a <cr>, it can even be a substring.

Let's assume this code is on a screen in the specified search range:

```
: Satisfy
Kool-aid @ Cold Make
Down Gulp ; Immediate
```

All of the following will work:

```
lo hi Showme Cold <cr>
lo hi Showme Down Gulp <cr>
lo hi Showme Kool <cr>
```

Of course, searching for a string rather than a discrete word has its down side. SHOWME may, at times, find a matching string that is not what you intended. For example, suppose you designated the string COLD for the search. And further, suppose that on the screen preceding the one on which COLD appears is the word COLDER.

That's a minor problem which I think is subordinated by the convenience of being able to designate any string. "False finds" can be avoided by adding spaces to the beginning and end of a string that is a discrete word, because the spaces become a required part of the match.

Listing one contains the Forth code for the SHOWME utility. I believe that most of the code is pretty straightforward, so in-depth comments will be limited to SEARCH and MATCH?.

The code was developed in TI-FORTH, but it is conventional FORTH and, therefore, very portable. For those who are operating with Forth-79 or Forth-83, here are some tips on conversion. (Actually, very few changes will be necessary.)

1. If your WORD leaves an address on the stack, then add DROP as the last word in the definition of STRING.
2. Nearly all Forths have the word WHERE.

If yours doesn't, then define DISP-SCRN as:

```
Disp-ScrN as : Disp-ScrN Drop Page Dup ."Screen #".List Quit ;
```

3. If you don't have the user variable CUR-POS, or another variable which holds the cursor position to substitute, then define the word POSIT (position) as:

```
Posit CR ."Searching screen #". ;
```

The only two definitions of any real complexity are MATCH? and SEARCH. SEARCH loops through the specified...
screes via the word BLOCK which leaves the address of the disk buffer where the screen resides. In SEARCH's inner loop, the screen address (I +) and the address and character count of the string to be matched (STRADR) are fed to MATCH?. Any string whose first character is a non-printable ASCII value will be skipped. The buffer (screen) is looped through in the inner loop, unless the first word ASCII? feeds to the inner loop either 1024 (B/BUF) or zero. If the string match is found, then the screen number and offset into the screen are fed to DISP-SCRN, otherwise the outer loop brings up the next BLOCK.

As noted above, MATCH? receives the address within the BLOCK to begin searching, the starting address of the search string, and its character count. MATCH? then loops through the count, comparing the corresponding ASCII numbers in each byte. By subtracting one ASCII value from the other, it determines a match by the resulting zero. Because IF executes on non-zero numbers, a true flag will be left by ELSE as long as character matches occur. At the first non-matching instance, if there is one, a non-zero flag is left for IF and the loop is exited via LEAVE. (Why don't all of these flags pile up on the stack as matching characters are found? The answer is the explanation for the "dummy" 0 flag preceding SWAP. Each iteration of the loop performs the DROP following DO. The dummy flag provides something to drop the first time through.) After the looping is finished, via LEAVE or by exhaustion, the two remaining addresses are dropped, leaving only the resulting true or false flag.

Returning now to SEARCH, the flag left by MATCH? is acted on by the IF in the inner loop. A true flag invokes DISP-SCRN. A false flag causes the loop to iterate. If the inner loop exhausts itself with all repetitions of the outer loop, then the "not found" message will be displayed.

The three non-standard words in the listing are 0 CONSTANT FALSE, -1 CONSTANT TRUE, and PAGE. PAGE is my word for clearing the screen and homing the cursor.

---

Gene Thomas edits the Comment Line, the newsletter of the Central Arkansas FIG Chapter, and is a registered polysomnographic technologist at the Sleep Disorders Center at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences.

---

**FUTURE announces**

Eight new products based on the NC4016

**Future Series products:**

**CPU board** (available 2nd quarter 1988)
- NC4016 (5 MHz standard)
- Stack and data RAM
- Full 128Kbytes of paged main memory
- Power fail detect
- Automatic switching to on board battery backup at power fail
- Pseudo-serial port - full compatibility with CM-FORTH and SC-FORTH
- 16Kbytes of EPROM (SC-FORTH, SC-C and CM-FORTH available)

**Display/Debugger board** (available 2nd quarter 1988)
- useful for testing and debugging custom hardware
  - Provides hexadecimal display of the data, address, and B-port
  - Indicates status of reset, interrupt, WEB, WED, and X-port
  - Provides for free running and single step clocking
  - Provides the ability to independently drive (write to) the data, address, and B-port directly with user data

**I/O board** (available 2nd quarter 1988)
- for serial communication, interrupt handling, event timing, time and date
  - logging and saving system state parameters
  - Two RS232 serial ports
  - Eight level prioritized interrupt controller. Each interrupt line is individually maskable and resetable. Current pending interrupt status is readable.
  - Real time clock with 2K of non-volatile RAM
  - Three 16-bit timer/counters

**Extended Memory board** (available 3rd quarter 1988)
- Paged memory — 64 Kbytes segments, up to eight segments

**Card Cage & Power Supply** (available 3rd quarter 1988)
- Rack mountable card cage with face plates for each slot
- ±5 volts and ±12 volts supplied
- 72 Pin backplane

**Disk Drive Controller board** (available 3rd quarter 1988)
- 3-1/2 inch floppy and SCSI controllers (for hard disks)

**Video board** (available 4th quarter 1988)
- Will drive Apple Macintosh II high resolution (640 x 480) monochrome monitor and PC compatible monochrome monitors

**A/D & D/A board** (available 4th quarter 1988)
- 12 bit, 1 MHz A/D & D/A converters

Future, Inc. P.O. Box 10386 Blacksburg, VA 24062-0386 (703) 552 - 1347

Apple is a registered trademark of Apple Computer, Inc. Macintosh is a trademark of Apple Computer, Inc.

SC-FORTH and SC-C are products of Silicon Composers.
This article presents a generalized method to access data stored in dBASE files. (For those not familiar with the file formats of the popular dBASE series of data base and file management programs, see *File Formats for Popular Software* by Jeff Walden, Wiley Press, 1986; and the dBASE manual.) The mechanism retrieves information about any dBASE file from the header bytes of the file, and uses this information to locate data in the fields of each record. The words constituting the mechanism may be used to fetch data from more than one dBASE file during a session.

I wrote this program-fragment because I had to perform an analysis of the data stored in several dBASE files. Although dBASE provides an access-and-manipulation language, Forth is more straightforward, is easier to write and debug, and the completed code executes much faster.

The code presented here is written in LMI's PC/Forth+ (a 32-bit implementation). This Forth version was used only because the data files in question contain financial data, and the calculated values exceed the range of 16-bit integers. This choice eliminated the need to generate a numeric manager.

The procedure to read a dBASE file involves setting the filename, creating a sufficiently large buffer (the number of bytes in the dBASE file can be used as a guide), and using the word PREPARE to read the file header information and data into the buffer. The word PREPARE takes as input a file’s “handleParms” and the target buffer address.

If the dBASE file is too large to be read completely, or if the user does not wish to read all records at once, the words READY

### Table One:

**File Access Words**

- **READY**
  Reads the header bytes plus a non-data byte preceding the first record.

- **READ . DATA**
  Reads all the data from the specified file into the buffer specified with READY.

- **READ . REC**
  Reads the contents of one record at each read. This word is useful should the file be too large to read at once, or should the user wish to read records singly.

*Note: A modified read function may be needed to bypass the DOS limit of 32K. In Forth versions with the updated READ function, a modified function is not needed.*

- **PREPARE**
  This word combines the functions of READY and READ . DATA to provide simple input of an entire file, including header information.

- **SKIP . HDR**
  Used after a file rewind (i.e., 0 SEEK-ABS) to position the next read at the first data record.

- **SKIP . RECS**
  Used to locate the nth file record. (This word uses SEEK-REL.)

**File Description Words**

- **REC . CT**
  Number of records in the dBASE file. (Range is zero to REC . CT - 1.)

- **HDR . LEN**
  Number of bytes in each record.

- **REC . LEN**
  Number of bytes in the dBASE header.

- **#FLDS**
  Number (calculated) of data fields in each record. (Range is 1 to #FLDS.)
and READ.REC may be used to read the header information and any individual record. (In this case, the minimum buffer size will depend on the record size and the size of the header.) The word READY takes as input the file's "handleparms" and the target buffer address, and reads the file's header bytes into the specified buffer.

The word READ.REC takes the file's "handleparms" and an index, i, as input and reads the next available record into the ith record space of the same buffer specified for READY. With READ.REC alone, the user can read each record individually. If the user wishes to read a specific record, the word SKIP.RECS can be used to skip to the nth record in the file.

When a file's header information and records have been read, file-descriptive data in the header can be retrieved using one or more of a set of utility words. For example, record count, record length, field names, and field types can be retrieved using the words REC.CT, REC.LEN, FIELD.NAME, and FIELD.TYPE, respectively. In addition, calculated values such as the number of fields and field offsets within a record can be retrieved using the words #FLDS and FIELD.OFFSET. Once data from a file have been read and/or manipulated, the words in the package can be reused to read and access data from any other dBASE file.

Once data have been read, the user can switch attention between the data sets by resetting the address stored in HDR.ADDR. The word HDR.ADDR performs a function similar to an execution vector. You set it to the address of a buffer containing a dBASE header and data, and thereafter all access words will function for the file described by that buffer.

Table One is a partial glossary of access words. Table Two is a listing of the dBASE access fragment. Table Three is a listing of code using the fragment words to read and combine data from two dBASE files. Tables Four-a and Four-b show the structures of the two demonstration files, using the dBASE command "LIST STRUCTURE." (Tables Two and Three follow.)

### Table Four-a:
Structure for database: C:\wpdrep2s.dbf
Number of data records: 308
Date of last update: 05/04/87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Fieldname</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WPD</td>
<td>character</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FY86</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FY87</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table Four-b:
Structure for database: C:\wpdrep5s.dbf
Number of data records: 258
Date of last update: 02/02/87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Fieldname</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WPD</td>
<td>character</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FY88</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FY89</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FY90</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FY91</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FY92</td>
<td>numeric</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Screen # 15
( BASE HEADER ACCESS - INPUT BUFFER 14:41 04/30/87 )

: BASE HEADER ACCESS
  Bytes 0 - 31

Screen # 16
( BASE HEADER ACCESS  Bytes 0 - 31 14:41 04/30/87 )

: FIELD.DESC.OFFST ( -- n ) 1 + 0 2 2 0 2 0 + ;
: WFDOS ( -- n ) HDR.LEN FIELD.DESC.OFFST / 1 - ;
: WFDLEN ( -- s ) HDR.LEN 1 + ;
: DATA.ADDR ( -- s ) HDR.ADDR @ HDR.LDRN = ;

Screen # 17
( BASE ITEM ACCESS 14:41 04/30/87 )

: FIELD ( n -- s ) FIELD.DESC.OFFST + HDR.ADDR @ ;
: FIELD.TYPE ( n -- c ) FIELD FIELD.DESC.OFFST + C8 ;
: FIELD.NAME ( n -- s ) FIELD FIELD.DESC.OFFST + C8 ;
: FIELD.DESC.OFFST ( n -- s ) FIELD FIELD.DESC.OFFST + C8 ;
: FIELD OFFSET ( n -- s ) FIELD RES.BYTES.OFFST + ;

Screen # 18
( BASE ITEM ACCESS 14:41 04/30/87 )

: READ BASE FILE HEADER (14:41 04/30/87 )

: SAVE.OFFSET ( -- ) @ FIELD.1 1 1 PUB DUF
  I FIELD.OFFSET + I FIELD.LEN + LOOP DROP ;
: SEEK.HDR ( handle.parem -- ) HDR.LDRN SEEK-ABS DROP ;
: READ.HDR ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ the link file-definition bytes 0 - 32
: READ.HEADER ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.LDRN HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: Reads the complete header information from byte 0

Screen # 19
( BASE ITEM ACCESS UTILITY 14:41 04/30/87 )

: FIELD.DESC.OFFST ( -- n ) 1 + 0 2 2 0 2 0 + ;
: WFDOS ( -- n ) HDR.LDRN FIELD.DESC.OFFST / 1 - ;
: WFDLEN ( -- n ) HDR.LDRN 1 + ;
: DATA.ADDR ( -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ HDR.LDRN = ;

Screen # 20
( READ BASE FILE HEADER 14:41 04/30/87 )

: READ BASE FILE HEADER (14:41 04/30/87 )

: READ DATA ( handle.parem -- n ) READ.REC LEN @ READ @ READ ;
: READ the entire file.
: READ.REC ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ the record at the current file offset.
: READ.REC LEN ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ.REC LEN ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ the entire file.
: READ.REC LEN ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ the record at the current file offset.
: READ.REC LEN ( handle.parem -- n ) HDR.ADDR @ READ ;
: READ the entire file.
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```forth
Screen # 23
( READ DESE FILE 14:41 04/30/67 )
\ Code must be generated to name & open a DESE file.
\ and to CREATE a sufficiently large buffer.
\ PREPARE ( handle,open buffer -- )
\ if ID? & OPEN read,DOF READ,DATA CLOSE,FILE ;
\ PREPARE reads DESE data into a user specified buffer
\ which can be accessed using words on screens 15 thru 19.
\ The data is input using words on screens 20 thru 22.

Screen # 26
( READ DESE FILE 1 14:41 04/30/67 )
HANDLE F1 : "FI FI .MED ;
\ SET.FI,NAME ( -- ) FI,FILENAME WDREP20.DBF ;
\ PREPARE,FI ( -- )
\ SET.FI,NAME F1 OPEN,FILE F1,DOF PREPARE ;

Screen # 31
( WRITE FOR OUTPUT BUFFER 15:34 03/30/67 )
\ CALL ;
\ 7 CONSTANT INLEN
\ 7 BUF CONSTANT #SYS
\ 9 BUF CONSTANT OUT_LEN *
\ 4 BUF CONSTANT OUT.ID,LEN *
\ CONSTANT OUT.REC.LEN
500 OUT.REC.LEN = CONSTANT OUT_LEN
CREATE OUT.BUF OUT.LEN ALLOT : OF OUT.BUF ;
\ Make sure OUT.BUF is large enough e.g. #FI recs + #F2 recs
\ EOB ( -- ) OUT.BUF OUT.LEN BLANK ;

Screen # 25
( BUFFER FOR DESE FILE HEADERS 14:41 04/30/67 )
\ CALL ;
\ The following code must be generated for each DESE file
\ of interest.
\ INLEN, CONSTANT SHOR,LEN
\ An buffer must be large enough to hold the entire DESE
\ file with header data, or at least one
\ record with header (used with READ,REC). CREATE DOF ! SHOR,LEN, ALLOT
\ EOB ( -- ) SHOR,1 SHOR,LEN, ERAZE ;

Screen # 27
( BUFFER FOR DESE FILE HEADERS 14:41 04/30/67 )
\ INLEN, CONSTANT SHOR,LEN
\ CREATE SHOR,2 SHOR,LEN, ALLOT
\ EOB ( -- ) SHOR,2 SHOR,LEN, ERAZE ;
HANDLE F2 : "F2 F2 .MED ;
\ SET.F2,NAME ( -- ) F2,FILENAME WDREP25.DBF ;
\ PREPARE,F2 ( -- )
\ SET.F2,NAME F2 OPEN,FILE F2,DOF F2,PREPARE ;

Screen # 32
( DATA FOR OUTPUT BUFFER 15:34 07/30/67 )
\ VARIABLE DELTA
\ VARIABLE FLD.C1
\ OUT.ID ( rec -- addr) OUT.REC.LEN + OUT.BUF ;
\ Execution address is used
\ CREATE "OUT.ID OUT.ID , word ID under MATCH.FOUND"
\ MATCH.FOUND ? addr -- n
\ SET.ID ( -- ) OUT.ID @ TO ;
\ IO:OUT.BUF ( rec1 rec2 -- )
\ SWAP GREG SWAP IO & MOVE ;
\ IDPRA ( rec1 -- ) DREL CF & TYPE ;
```
versal, and are a real hindrance to the adoption of Forth. Anyone uploading a file has a moral obligation to define every non-standard word. Since F83 is well known and available without cost, it can serve as a secondary standard. —Leonard

>>> New File <<<
Name: VIDEO1.ARC Number: 911
Address: LMRGENSTERN
Bytes: 11340 Library: 3

Description: This is a complete video driver, written by Bill Beers, to which I have added an F83 prelude and short documentation. Keywords: VIDEO, MS/DOS, MS-DOS, BEERS, F83, 8086, 8088, GRAPHICS, DISPLAY, SOURCE

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 27
Sat Feb 06, 1988 M.HAWLEY

Thanks, Leonard. The prelude and VIDEO blocks loaded and ran on the first try. I especially enjoyed your explanation of your thought processes and methods of deciphering someone else's code. This was a valuable lesson to a novice like myself. Now I'm sorting through the various words in the VIDEO block and trying to learn how to use them.
At the kernel level, Forth string handling can stand improvement. Through better factoring, these kernel enhancements should result in a kernel at least as small as existing Forth kernels.

Opportunities remain for better kernel factoring, for at least three reasons:

1. There are kernel words which perform multiple functions (FIND and WORD are two examples), so they have too large a functional scope.
2. There are kernel words with overlapping scope, suggesting that their scope is not sufficiently unique. This kind of factoring defect has led to a proliferation of in-line string operators which function similarly.
3. Even more incremental factoring of properly-scoped words is possible. This could lead to a reduction of the kernel size as the need for kernel versions of certain words is eliminated.

WORD is an example of a kernel word that contains multiple functions. It parses a word or phrase, leaving another copy of it atop the dictionary in the form of a counted word or phrase, leaving another copy of it.

Avoiding reiteration of similar code is desirable outside of the kernel, as well as inside it. When creating counterparts to SPACES, such as CR, UNDERLINES, and BACKSPACES, repeated use is made of DO-LOOP phrases. However, an even more general primitive could be made part of the supplied, minimal Forth. Programmers could add any higher-level spinoffs, as desired (page 18, Figure One-a). Then, for example, SPACES could be defined in terms of EMIT-CYCLES (Figure One-b).

A second form of this definition is shown within parentheses. To compile an ASCII character code into a definition, the word ASCII is usually added to Forth. It rids the dictionary of constants like BL, COLON, UNDERLINE, etc. However, because ASCII cannot parse a space, BL cannot be eliminated.

As a counterpoint of ASCII, C@ cannot parse a quote character. But the character code for a space is needed more often, and C@ parses the space character properly. Also, since C@ ignores any extra characters, a clearly delineated comment can be included in the parsed string (Figure Two).

The definition of C@ and another, unconventional form of the definition are shown in Figure Three.

As a step towards explaining, let's consider the compilation of ASCII control codes. Both ASCII and C@ are inadequate when it comes to parsing control characters with the legibility needed in source code. Using constants alleviates the situation with respect to control characters, but name choices like CR already have been taken. Here I would suggest constants named with the C@ prefix and quote suffix. In the examples shown in Figure Four, the quoted string is part of the name field. An alternate naming convention using ASCII-prefixed words would require even longer names.

The addition of C@ "QUOTE" is convenient, as was evidenced in the parenthesized definition of C@. The addition of ASCII-SPACE (or the inconsistent BL) should likewise be added for a group of words prefixed by ASCII.

Using either C@ or ASCII, character codes are compiled as in-line integers. At run time, the in-line integer is placed on the stack by (LAT), the word uniquely reserved for this function. This is proper factoring. However, in the case of in-line strings, the equivalent function has been reiterated over and over again.

An author who has exposed these problems and has offered solutions is Don Colburn ("A Consistent Structure for In-Line String Literals," FORML Conference Proceedings, 1982). He has observed and corrected the overlapping functionality of the following words: (,), ("), and (ABORT). He also addresses the problem of placing a table of strings into the dictionary. Currently, the kernel only supports string compilation within a definition via the immediate words .", ", and ABORT.

Colburn has developed a string compil-
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parameter in order to remain as functional with uncounted strings as it is with strings in a counted format. On page 33 of their book *Forth Tools and Applications*, Gary Feierbach and Paul Thomas provide a solution with these attributes. A slightly modified version of their routine is shown in Figure Seven.

As mentioned at the start, several string-parsing routines will be explored. Any of these could be used as the basis for other spinoffs, as desired.

To include a primitive parsing function in the kernel that does not favor one representation of strings over another, a function with less scope than \texttt{WORD} is required. The definition in Figure Eight-a could be used as a baseline parsing routine. The variable \texttt{SCAN-INCREMENT} determines the direction of scanning. If it is -1, then scanning is to be performed from high memory towards low memory. If it is 1, then scanning is from low memory towards high memory. If the search span length is exhausted without finding the delimiter, then the top-of-stack (TOS) returned is zero, and below it is the search character rather than the address where the delimiter resides.

A version that would be able to return the first character that is not a delimiter character (to suppress leading delimiters), could be developed in a similar fashion (Figure Eight-b).

To fold both routines into one requires another variable. If \texttt{SCAN-GOAL} is set to zero, then the scan continues until a non-delimiter is found. If \texttt{SCAN-GOAL} is set to a Boolean truth value (-1 or 1, depending on your system), then the scan continues until a delimiter is found (Figure Eight-c).

**Conclusions**

Kernel string operations encompass a great deal of functionality. Regrettably, all of these individual functions are not in a form that can be readily used. A significant advantage of Forth is the reusability of modularly coded routines. However, where modularly factored kernel functions are lacking, this strategy is uprooted.

This article has been excerpted from a book-length manuscript in progress. I am still seeking writeups of string packages that can be reproduced and analyzed alongside other string packages presented in the book. Mail submissions to me in care of FIG.
Figure One-a.

```forth
: EMIT-CYCLES ( #repetitions char -- )
  OVER 0> 0= IF 2DROP EXIT THEN
  SWAP 0 DO
  DUP EMIT
  LOOP DROP ;
```

Figure One-b.

```forth
: SPACES ( #spaces -- )
  BL EMIT-CYCLES ;
  ( C@" " EMIT-CYCLES ; )
```

Figure Two.

```forth
: C@" Quit" OVER = IF QUIT THEN
C@" Main" OVER = IF MAIN-MENU THEN
C@" ?help" OVER = IF HELP THEN
```

Figure Three.

```forth
13 CONSTANT C@"CR"
12 CONSTANT C@"FORMFEED"
  ... CONSTANT C@"F1" ... F9
7 CONSTANT C@"BELL"
... CONSTANT C@"F10"
... CONSTANT C@"RIGHT"
... CONSTANT C@"UP"
... CONSTANT C@"DOWN"
127 CONSTANT C@"DELETE"
```

Figure Four.

```forth
: WORDS, ( <string> (char -- )
  WORD C@ 1+ ALLOT ;
```

Figure Five.

```forth
: ," ( <string>" (char -- )
  ASCII " WORDS ;
  ( C@"2QUOTE" WORDS, ; )
```

Figure Six.

Figure Seven.

```forth
: VARIABLE COMPARE-BUF
  : COMPARE ( addr len addr -- )
    0 COMPARE-BUF ! ( assume equality )
    SWAP 0 DO
    OVER C@ OVER C@ -?DUP
    IF 0< IF 1 ELSE -1 THEN
      COMPARE-BUF ! LEAVE
    ELSE 1+ SWAP 1+ SWAP THEN
    LOOP ( addr addr -- )
    2DROP COMPARE-BUF @ ;
```

Figure Eight-a.

```forth
: VARIABLE SCAN-INCREMENT
  : BI-CSCAN ( addr span char -- )
    SWAP ROT ( char span addr -- )
    DDUP + ( char span addr addr.end -- )
    SCAN-INCREMENT @
    0> IF SWAP ELSE 1- >R 1- >R THEN
    DO ( char span -- )
      OVER I C@ = IF ( match: )
        I ROT DROP SWAP LEAVE
      ELSE
        1- (char span-- ) THEN
        SCAN-INCREMENT @ /LOOP
      ELSE
      SCAN-INCREMENT @ /LOOP ;
```

Figure Eight-b.

```forth
: VARIABLE SCAN-GOAL
  : /BI-CSCAN ( addr span char -- )
    SWAP ROT ( char span addr -- )
    DDUP + ( char span addr addr.end -- )
    SCAN-INCREMENT @
    0> IF SWAP ELSE 1- >R 1- >R THEN
    DO ( char span -- )
      OVER I C@ = 0= IF ( no match: )
        I ROT DROP SWAP LEAVE
      ELSE
        1- (char span-- ) THEN
        SCAN-INCREMENT @ /LOOP ;
```
A current application under design is large, and dictionary space has become a premium. For readability and speed, the coding reflects heavy use of ‘local’ variables and constants within word components, eliminating the slower and less readable DUPS, ROTS, and SWAPS. Some method of stripping off the headers of these local variables and constants would save measurable space. Researching existing literature (see references) turned up unsatisfactory methods. Instead, a new design was chosen and is discussed below. Laxen and Perry’s F83 is used.

Background and Requirements

Real headerless local variables and constants should execute the same as their standard counterparts created with VARIABLE and CONSTANT. Four bytes in any variable or constant word are key to execution: the two bytes of the code field address (CFA) and the two bytes containing the variable or constant. The header is not needed for execution and can be discarded. Therefore, any method for defining local variables or constants must retain only four bytes.

To meet the application needs, the following requirements were established:

1. During execution, the local variables and constants must perform identically to the standard, global variables and constants.
2. An application must be able to name the local variable or constant, like any Forth word. Numbers are not permitted.
3. The defining words for the local variables and constants shall be VARIABLE and CONSTANT. This minimizes changes to existing source code.
4. Both local and global variables and constants will be used. Therefore, an application must be able to pick the correct defining word, either the global or the local one.
5. When all components are finished using the local words, the local headers must be stripped and any memory used by them recovered. The link in their headers must be somehow taken care of to allow normal dictionary searches to occur after headers are stripped.

Approach

By placing the view, link, and name fields (the header) in free memory space several thousand bytes above the current dictionary pointer, and by placing the code and parameter fields in the normal dictionary space, headerless variables and constants are possible. Once finished using these local variables and constants, their headers can be forgotten by unlinking them from the other in-line Forth words. The space used by these headers will be overwritten by the dictionary as it grows. To make sure the standard and local defining words are distinguishable, a separate vocabulary will be used. The dictionary search order will control which defining word is found first.

Screens 1 - 4 contain the utility that meets the requirements outlined above. All but three of the components in this utility are in the HIDDEN vocabulary. LOCAL-OFFSET, START-LOCAL, and END-LOCAL are in the FORTH vocabulary.

START-LOCAL (screen 4) saves the CURRENT vocabulary threads (four in F83) after setting HIDDEN as the first vocabulary to search in the dictionary.

Saving these threads becomes important when unlinking the local words with END-LOCAL. LOCDP, the local dictionary pointer, is initialized to high memory (determined by the constant LOCAL-OFFSET and HERE). This distance allows sufficient room for most applications, and can be changed as necessary with F83’s IS (e.g., 3000 IS LOCAL-OFFSET).

New versions of CREATE, CONSTANT, VARIABLE, and 2VARIABLE are defined in screen 3. These words are HIDDEN definitions, distinguishing them from the Forth definitions of the same name. DOLOCAL is used directly or indirectly by each of these words. It creates a header in high memory by setting the dictionary pointer, DP, to the value contained in LOCDP after saving DP’s value on the stack. With DP in high memory, any new words CREATED will have headers well above the normal dictionary space. This is okay as long as this space is not overwritten before it is unlinked.

After creating the header in high memory, the original value of DP is compiled (DUP ,) into the space following the header to be retrieved later (by DOES> @). The CFA of the local variable or constant will be placed at the address pointed to by DOES> @. This will be the indirectly threaded address stored in any parameter field using the defined local word. Words defined with DOLOCAL are made IMMEDIATE to allow DOES> to present the CFA address for compilation in a colon component, or to be EXECUTED when not compiling. STATE determines which of these actions to take.

Wrapping up the CREATE portion of DOLOCAL, the LOCDP is updated to point...
just beyond the last high memory used, ready for the next local header. Having finished with the high memory, DP is reset to the normal dictionary space and a value is compiled with , (comma). In the case of CONSTANT, the word to "comma" is the headerless Forth word DOCONSTANT, found by tickling the constant zero. Likewise, for VARIABLE — DOCREATE, another Forth headerless word, is compiled.

Words defined by these four defining words, when used inside a colon definition, perform in the same way as Forth definitions. No speed penalty is sacrificed by using local words. Additional compile time is required, but this can be traded against fewer words in the dictionary to search, once the local words are unlinked.

Unlinking is accomplished by END-LOCAL (screen 4). For each thread, END-LOCAL searches for any links to memory above the current dictionary pointer. If one is found, a false flag is left on the stack and also TUCKed below it. BEGIN-WHILE-REPEAT terminates and the IF, seeing a false flag, branches to ELSE and stores the saved THREAD in the word linked to high memory, thus unlinking this particular thread from the local constant or variable. If no link in high memory is found, the BEGIN-WHILE-REPEAT loop will terminate when the link points to a value below what is stored in THREADS. A true flag will be on the stack, and IF drops the two addresses. END-LOCAL also resets the vocabulary search order to where it was originally.

Rules of Use
1. Place all global variables and constants together, ahead of the local variables and constants.

2. Begin all local variables with START-LOCAL.
3. Do not change vocabularies or FORGET any words between START-LOCAL and END-LOCAL. Doing so will change the links, and END-LOCAL will not unlink properly. A system crash is likely!
4. Debug the program before making words local. DEBUG doesn't like headerless words.
5. Make sure the program is not larger than LOCAL-OFFSET. If it is, change LOCAL-OFFSET's value before loading.
6. Use END-LOCAL when finished using the local words in other words.

Summary
This utility encourages use of local constants and variables. It meets all the requirements outlined above. As long as the rules are followed, no problems have been noticed to date. It has almost eliminated the confusing code associated with overuse of DUPS, ROTs, and SWAPS. A tradeoff in compilation speed is not noticeable, and the run-time code is unaffected. It is not foolproof if the rules are not followed.
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1. Only forth also definitions hidden also

2. box ( left, too, right, bottom -- )
   bottom ! right ! too ! left 
   too @ bottom @ - max-height ) abort " Too High!"
   right @ left @ - max-width ) abort " Too Wide!"
   left @ too @ right @ too @ line
   right @ too @ right @ bottom @ line
   right @ bottom @ left @ bottom @ line
   left @ bottom @ left @ too @ line ;

end-local
HAVE YOUR ASSEMBLER...

DARRYL C. OLIVIER - NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

...and eat it, too. One of the great virtues of Forth is its compactness. We can pack a lot of program into a small memory space. Another advantage is its on-line assembler, which gives our programs blazing speed and complete control of the hardware. These two might seem to conflict. An assembler takes up a lot of dictionary space. However, this need not be the case.

The assembler is only needed at compile time when a CODE word is encountered. It is not needed at all when the program runs. Consider the structure of a definition. All Forth words have a code field that contains the address of machine-executable code. When the word is executed, the computer jumps to the address pointed to by the code field, and executes the runtime code contained there. For the typical Forth word, this runtime code is contained in its defining word and is common to all words so defined. For this reason, the defining word must exist when the daughter word is executed.

The code field of an assembler definition, on the other hand, points not to some runtime code common to all assembler definitions, but to its own parameter field which contains machine-executable code. For this reason, the assembler definition is independent of its defining word.

It is very simple to use the assembler at compile time but to eliminate it from the compiled program. The strategy is to load your Forth kernel as usual, then change the dictionary pointer to some arbitrary location in high memory. Load the assembler, then return the dictionary pointer to its old value. Load the rest of the application, being sure that all CODE definitions are loaded early, before the assembler is overwritten. The vocabulary mechanism ensures that Forth will be able to find its way around this fragmented dictionary. (See Figures One-a, One-b, and One-c.)

In the example shown in Figure Two, DP is the variable that contains the dictionary pointer.

Using this technique, you can have an assembler that is just as big and fancy as you like, with no increase in the size of your program.

Figure One-a

Figure One-b

Figure One-c
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VOCABULARY ASSEMBLER IMMEDIATE
HERE \ The current value of the dictionary
\ pointer remains on the stack while the
\ assembler is loaded.

A000 DP ! \ Changes the dictionary pointer to an
\ arbitrary value in high memory.
\ (40k in this example)

The assembler is loaded.

DP ! \ Returns the dictionary pointer to the old
\ value left on the stack by HERE.

FORTH DEFINITIONS

The rest of the application is loaded.

Figure Two
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The third meeting of the X3J14 ANSI Forth Technical Committee was held at Redondo Beach, California on February 10-12, 1988. FORTH, Inc. hosted the meeting, providing us with nice facilities, refreshments, copying, administrative services, and even restaurant recommendations! The weather was clear and balmy, with temperatures in the 80s, and the TC members were also treated to a small earthquake.

As of the beginning of this meeting, the X3 Secretariat had not yet appointed all the permanent officers for X3J14. In the meantime, Elizabeth Rather agreed to continue as Acting Chair, Martin Tracy as Acting Secretary, and Ron Braithwaite as Document Editor. I have been appointed as Vice-Chair by X3J14, and the position of International Representative is still vacant.

Approximately 25 people attended this meeting, which I believe makes it the largest to date. There were several observers from the Los Angeles area and several new members, including George Shaw (Shaw Laboratories, Inc.) and Dean Sanderson (FORTH, Inc.). Guy Kelly was present for the first day but had to leave early after delivering a report from the Research Subcommittee; two other valued members from previous meetings, Jerry Shifrin and Larry Forsley, were unable to attend at all due to other work obligations.

Approximately thirty technical proposals were received prior to, or at, the third TC meeting. The TC's Technical Subcommittee found time to consider nearly all of these, under the excellent guidance of Greg Bailey.

First, a brief review of the Standards process so far. At its first meeting, the X3J14 Technical Committee adopted the text of the Forth-83 Standard (less the Experimental Proposals) as its working, or BASIS document. The BASIS will evolve into the draft proposed American National Standard (dpANS) document, as the TC ratifies Technical Proposals which delete, amend, or enlarge the BASIS.

Prior to this meeting, the most significant change made to BASIS was Elizabeth Rather's proposal to (in essence) relax Forth-83's insistence on 16-bit stacks and addresses, and to allow the construction of Standard systems based on virtual Forth machines with other word sizes. The Document Committee also recast the BASIS from its original form into a style consistent with ANSI requirements.

The most important Technical Proposals passed at this meeting were (these are paraphrased by me and are not the original wording, unless otherwise noted):

1. To continue the process of decoupling the Standard from machine word size by substituting the terms "single precision" and "double precision" for "16-bit" and "32-bit."

2. To require any Standard Program to include a list of resource requirements, System Implementation Options, and environmental dependencies. System Implementation Options are defined as features, properties, parameters, boundary conditions, behaviors, or side effects in which respect Standard Systems are permitted to differ (this includes word size).

3. To relax the definition and/or requirement of Equivalent Execution in order to allow for Standard Systems implemented on different physical or virtual machines. It seemed to be the prevailing opinion of the TC (which I share) that Forth-83's definition of Equivalent Execution is a marginally useful concept at best. It can never be guaranteed unless the supporting hardware and software are in fact identical, which is hardly the situation the Standard is intended to address.

4. To remove the requirement for floored division from the Standard, while still making it available for existing Forth-83 Standard programs or other programs which require its rounding behavior. The exact wording of this Technical Proposal follows:

Add to the 'Definitions' section the following:

"Division: Division produces a quotient 'q' and a remainder 'r' by dividing operand 'a' by operand 'b'. A division operation may return either 'q', 'r', or both.

"The identity 'bq + r = a' shall hold for all 'a' and 'b'.

"When both integers are divided and the division is inexact, if both 'a' and 'b' are positive, then 'q' is the largest integer less than the true quotient and 'r' is positive. If either operand is negative, whether 'q' is the largest integer less than the true quotient (‘flooring’) or the smallest integer greater than the true quotient (‘rounding down’) is implementation-defined, as is the sign of ‘r’.

"An implementation shall clearly document which negative-case behavior is the default, and shall provide a clearly documented mechanism whereby the other behavior will be available to the programmer."

5. NIP and TUCK were added to the Controlled Reference Word Set, in recog-
6. EVAL, a word that allows the interpretation of arbitrary strings, was added to the BASIS. This word only originated with Martin Tracy about a year ago, but has been rapidly accepted in the Forth community. When EVAL is available, words that redirect the input stream can be readily ported from one system to another, and new interpreters can be easily built in an implementation-independent manner.

7. The Documentation Committee was directed to add Appendices to BASIS that will (1) identify problem areas in program portability and (2) provide a rationale for each difference between ANSI FORTH and FORTH-83. These Appendices presently exist only as "stubs," but will be developed over subsequent meetings.

While not passed during this meeting, a Technical Proposal for a minimum extension set of floating-point operators enjoyed widespread support within the TC. The Technical Proposal was referred to Martin Tracy for fine-tuning; he was directed to confer with those vendors currently supplying floating-point implementations and make sure that it did not contain any major conflicts with existing practice. After any necessary "adjustments," the Technical Proposal will be reconsidered at the next X3J14 meeting.

The TC demonstrated its concern for compatibility with the existing FORTH-83 Standard by rejecting Technical Proposals that would have changed the meaning of NOT and removed the requirement that . . , (, and ABORT be able to parse null strings. It also defeated a proposal to rename 2OVER, 2SWAP, et al. to DOVER, DESWAP, etc.

The BASIS document (in its current state) is available for the cost of reproduction and postage from:

Secretary
ANSI ASC X3/X3J14 Forth Standards Committee
111 N. Sepulveda Blvd.
Suite 300
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Technical Proposal forms and instructions are also available from the Secretary.

Warning: While the X3J14 BASIS document is public, it is not to be reproduced or distributed in any way without the express permission of the X3J14 Technical Committee. BASIS is not, and never will be, a Standard. It is only an early precursor of dFANS, a work-in-progress of X3J14. BASIS is very fluid; nothing in it can be counted on to be in the eventual dFANS. Note also that the dFANS, once completed, will be subject to an extensive process of public review and possible revision before it becomes an American National Standard for Programming Language Forth.

The next meeting of X3J14 will be in Rochester, New York on May 11 - 14, 1988. New members and observers are welcome, Technical Proposals from all interested parties are invited. To be placed on the mailing list for the next meeting, address your request to:

Chair, X3J14 Forth Standards Committee at the address above.

Personal impressions: While it got off to a slow start at the first two meetings, the X3J14 TC has now demonstrated a desire, and ability, to move briskly forward on some very difficult issues. In spite of their vastly different constituencies and historical perspectives, the TC members worked together productively in a spirit of cooperation and goodwill. I was particularly impressed (and surprised) by the TC's willingness to embrace a relatively new but remarkably useful tool-building word such as EVAL, and by the high degree of interest in extension word sets for file interfaces and floating-point technology.

The X3J14 members represent an extraordinary assemblage of Forth expertise. They represent the cutting edge of Forth technology in virtually every area: from Forth "engines," to "rich" Forth implementations for workstations such as the Mac II, to NASA's Massively Parallel Processor. I am convinced that the ANSI Forth Standard, when it is finished, will represent an immense leap forward for Forth vendors and programmers.

This is an unofficial report of the X3J14 meeting and does not constitute official minutes. Any opinions, predictions, or conclusions in this report are purely my own and should not be misconstrued to represent those of the X3J14 TC or its other officers.
CALL FOR PAPERS

for the tenth annual

FORML CONFERENCE

The original technical conference
for professional Forth programmers, managers, vendors, and users.

Following Thanksgiving, November 25–27, 1988

Asilomar Conference Center
Monterey Peninsula overlooking the Pacific Ocean
Pacific Grove, California U.S.A.

Theme: Forth and Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence applications are currently showing great promise when developers focus on easy-to-use software that doesn't require specialized expensive computers. Forth's design allows programmers to modify the Forth language to support the unique needs of artificial intelligence. Papers are invited that address relevant issues such as:

- Programming tools for AI
- Multiusers and multitasking
- Management of large memory spaces
- Meeting customer needs with Forth AI programs
- Windowing, menu driven or command line systems
- Captive Forth systems—operating under an OS
- Interfacing with other languages
- Transportability of AI programs
- Forth in hardware for AI
- System security

Papers about other Forth topics are also welcome. Mail your abstract(s) of 100 words or less by September 1, 1988 to:

FORML
P. O. Box 8231
San Jose, CA 95155

Completed papers are due by October 15, 1988. For registration information call the Forth Interest Group business office at (408) 277-0668 or write to FORML.

Asilomar is a wonderful place for a conference. It combines comfortable meeting and living accommodations with secluded forests on a Pacific Ocean beach. Registration includes deluxe rooms, all meals, and nightly wine and cheese parties.
News from the GENie Forth RoundTable. When I was asked to begin a “Best of GENie” column for Forth Dimensions, I immediately realized I wanted Leonard Morgenstern’s topic area to be among the first presented. Leonard has done a marvelous job of presenting our language of choice in bite-sized chunks, and in such an entertaining fashion as to create a novice-and-experienced Forth tutorial area.

Time constraints and the sheer depth of material available in Leonard’s area dictated making this first column an extraction of that one topic. Future installments will include discussions of the ANS FORTH X3J14 Technical Committee. Those of you who are not aware that the future of Forth is being decided on GENie now are missing an opportunity to make your wishes known.

Besides serving as the centerpiece in the first “Best of GENie,” Leonard had several coinciding events that make March 1988 especially memorable. He just celebrated a birthday and his retirement from the rat race. He also graciously accepted an offer to join Dennis Ruffer, Scott Squires, and me as co-hosts of the Forth RoundTable on GENie. Congratulations, Leonard, and thank you.

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 3
Sat Jan 16, 1988 M.HAWLEY

Dennis, The word OK? appears in the ANAGRAMS.ARC file I recently downloaded from this board. It was in another that I can’t remember now. ANAGRAMS looked like a lot of fun but it wouldn’t run on any of my public-domain Forths because of the missing OK?. As a newcomer to Forth, I find this sort of thing very frustrating. I thought this was the purpose of having standards. Another problem word I ran into was 2ARRAY. It was in BULLCOWS for ZENFORTH but not defined in the version of ZENFORTH that I have. As an example of how it should be done. I downloaded UNARC.SCR and UNARC.F83 which is a prelude for F83 to run this application written in some non-standard Forth, NORTHFORTH or something like that. It worked perfectly the first time. My compliments to that masterful programmer. As a beginner, I run into dead ends real fast when I hit an undefined word which is not in the F83 wordset or any of the manuals I have. Thanks for your attention to my problems. I imagine others have had similar experiences and get turned off for that reason.—meh

The hangup word 2ARRAY appears in LIF.E.SCR — the game of life contained here in LIF.E.ARC. OK?, I believe, appeared in BULLCOWS written for ZENFORTH and I’m vaguely aware that there is a newer version of ZEN than the one I have. Last, but not least, I was unable to load MAZE.BLK from MAZE ARC because of the word VIDEO. Is VIDEO a standard Forth-83 word? I don’t find it in the book Inside F83 by C. H. Ting. And I can’t find it in my public-domain Forths including F83, F83x320C, ZENFORTH, SEATTLE FORTH, MVP-FORTH. This gets old fast. It would be nice to be able to assume that an application uploaded here for public consumption would contain definitions for all non-F83 standard words, but this does not seem to be the case. Another correction, the definition of NUF? above omitted one KEY. It should be:

: NUF?
KEY? 2DROP
BEEP KEY 13 = THEN ;
HS/FORTH

POWER

HS/FORTH's compilation and execution speeds are unsurpassed. Compiling at 20,000 lines per minute, it complies faster than many systems link. For real jobs execution speed is unsurpassed as well. Even non-optimized programs run as fast as ones produced by most C compilers. Forth systems designed to fool benchmarks are slightly faster on nearly empty do loops, but bog down when the colon nesting level approaches anything useful, and have much greater memory overhead for each definition. Our optimizer gives assembler language performance even for deeply nested definitions containing complex data and control structures.

HS/FORTH provides the best architecture, so good that another major vendor "cloned" (rather poorly) many of its features. Our Forth uses all available memory for both programs and data with almost no execution time penalty, and very little memory overhead. None at all for programs smaller than 200k. And you can resize segments anytime, without a system reset. With the GigaForth option, your programs transparently extend native mode and expand into 16 Meg extended memory or a gigabyte of virtual, and run almost as fast as in real mode.

Benefits beyond speed and program size include word redefinition at any time and vocabulary structures that can be changed at will, for instance from simple to hashed, or from 79 Standard to Forth 83. You can behead word names and reclaim space at any time. This includes automatic removal of a colon definition's local variables.

Colon definitions can execute inside machine code primitives, great for interrupt & exception handlers. Multi-cla words are easily implemented. And code words become incredibly powerful, with multiple entry points not requiring jumps over word fragments. One of many reasons our system is much more compact than its immense dictionary (1600 words) would imply.

INCREDIBLE FLEXIBILITY

The Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linker opens the world of utility libraries. Link to resident routines or link & remove routines interactively. HS/FORTH preserves relocatability of loaded libraries. Link to BTREVIE METAWIN-DOWS HALO HOOPS ad infinitum. Our call and data structure words provide easy linkage.

HS/FORTH runs both 79 Standard and Forth 83 programs, and has extensions covering vocabulary search order and the complete Forth 83 test suite. It loads and runs all FIG Libraries, the main difference being they load and run faster, and you can develop larger applications than with any other system. We like source code in text files, but support both file and sector mapped Forth block interfaces. Both line and block file loading can be nested to any depth and includes automatic path search.

FUNCTIONALITY

More important than how fast a system executes, is whether it can do the job at all. Can it work with your computer? Can it work with your other tools? Can it transform your data into answers? A language should be complete on the first two, and minimize the unavoidable effort required for the last.

HS/FORTH opens your computer like no other language. You can execute function calls, DOS commands, other programs interactively, from windows, or even from files being transferred into and BIOS function calls are well documented HS/FORTH words, we don't settle for giving you an INTCALL and saying "have at it". We also include both fatal and informative DOS error handlers, installed by executing FATAL or INFORM.

HS/FORTH supports character or block, sequential or random I/O. The character stream can be received from sent to console, file, memory, printer or com port. We include a communications plus upload and download utility, and foreground/background music. Display output through BIOS for compatibility or memory mapped for speed.

Our formatting and parsing words are without equal. Integer, double, fixed, financial, scaled, time, date, floating or exponential, all our output words have formatting counterparts for building records. We also provide words to parse all data types with your choice of field definition. HS/FORTH samples files from any language. Other words treat files like memory, mm@H and mmH read or write to a handle (file or device) as fast as possible. For advanced file support, HS/FORTH easily links to BTREVIE, etc.

HS/FORTH supports text/graphic windows for MONO throughput. Our window system can be absolute or scaled to current window size and clipped, and work with our peplopt routines. While great for plotting and line drawing, it doesn't approach the capabilities of Metawindows (tm Metagraphics). We use our Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linker to interface to Meta windows. HS/FORTH with MetaWindows makes an unbeatable graphics system. Or Rosetta to your own preferred graphics driver.

HS/FORTH provides hardware/software floating point, including trig and transcendentals. Hardware fp covers full range trig, log, exponential functions plus complex and hyperbolic counterparts, and all stack and comparison operators. HS/FORTH support is all 80B7 data types and works in RADJANS or DEGREES mode. No processor? No problem. (mostly fast machine code) and parse/format words cover numbers through 18 digits. Software fp eliminates conversion round off error and minimizes conversion time.

Simple element through 4D arrays for all data types including complex use multiple fp's to improve both performance and compactness. Z = (X@Y) / (X + Y) would be coded: X @ Y + / IS/Z @ 16 bytes) instead of: X @ (X@Y) * Y @ X / + IS/Z @ 26 bytes) Arrays can ignore 64k boundaries. Words use SYNONYMS for data type independence. HS/FORTH can even prompt the user for retry on erroneous numeric input.

The HS/FORTH machine coded string library with up to 3D arrays is without equal. Segment spanning dynamic string support includes insert, delete, add, find, replace, exchange, save and restore string storage.

Our minimal overhead round robin and time slice multitaskers require a word that exists cleanly at the end of subtask execution. The cooperative round robin multitasker provides individual user stack segments as well as user tables. Controls passes to the next task/user whenever desired.

APPLICATION CREATION TECHNIQUES

HS/FORTH assembles to any segment to create stand alone programs of any size. The optimizer can use HS/ FORTH as a macro library, or complex macros can be built as colon words. Full forward and reverse labeled branches and calls complement structured flow control. Complete syntax checking protects you.

Assembler programming has never been so easy.

The Metacompiler produces threaded systems from a few hundred bytes, Forth routines from 2k bytes. With it, you can create any threading scheme — yet alone segmentation architecture to run on disk or ROM.

You can turnkey or seal HS/FORTH for distribution, with no royalties for turnkeyed systems. Or convert for ROM in saved, sealed or turnkeyed form.

HS/FORTH includes three editors, or you can quickly shell to your favorite program editor. The resident full window editor lets you reuse former command lines and save or restore from a file. It is both an indispensable development aid and a great user interface. The macro editor provides reusable functions, cut, paste, file merge and extract, session log, and RECOMPILE. Our full screen Forth editor edits file or sector mapped blocks.

Debug tools include memory stack dump, memory map, compile, single step trace, and prompt options. Trace scope can be limited by depth or address.

HS/FORTH lacks a "modular" compilation environment. One motivation toward modular compilation is that, with conventional compilers, recompiling an entire application to change one subroutine is unbeareably slow. HS/FORTH complies at 20 lines per minute, faster than many languages link — let alone compile. The second motivation is linking to other languages. HS/FORTH links to foreign subroutines dynamically. HS/FORTH doesn't need the extra layer of files, or the programs needed to manage them. With HS/FORTH you have source code and the executable file. Period. "Development environments" are cute, and necessary for unnecessarily complicated languages. Simplicity is so much better.

HS/FORTH Programming Systems

Lower levels include all functions not named at a higher level. Some functions available separately.

Documentation & Working Demo

(3 books, 1000 pages, 6 lbs) $ 95.

Student $145.

Personal optimizer, scaled & quad integer $245.

Professional 80x87, assembler, turnkey, dynamic strings, multitasker $395.

RDLS linker, physical screens $495.

Production ROM, Metacompiler, Metawindows

Level upgrade, price difference plus $ 25.

OBI modules $495.

Rosetta Stone Dynamic Linker $ 95.

Metawindows by Metagraphics (includes RDLS) $145.

Hardware Floating Point & Complex $ 95.

Quad integer, software floating point $ 45.

Time slice and round robin multitaskers $ 75.

GigaForth (80286/386 Native mode extension) $295.

HARVARD
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PO BOX 69
SPRINGBORO, OH 45066
(513) 748-0390
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Category 3, Topic 1 Message 7
Sun Jan 17, 1988 LMORGENSTERN [leonard]

To M.HAWLEY and Scott Squires: I sympathize with anyone who has run into the problem of trying to load a utility and finding undefined words in it. There is no other name for it than bad documentation. Sometimes it is due to laziness or sloppiness, but more often, I think, it is inexperience. Forth makes it possible for green programmers to do very clever and useful things. Not knowing better, they assume that others can use their source code. (There wasn’t any difficulty with BASIC, was there?) If this is so, new and better standards, although much needed in other ways, will not solve this problem; we already have the resources for it.

There are two solutions, at least one of which should always be applied by the programmer who submits a file: One is to meticulously compare the words in his/her source with the prelude. The other solution is to load the prelude and application on top of some widely available version of Forth, such as F83 or even fig-FORTH. If it loads and runs without flaw, an experienced programmer will know how to modify it to run on another system.

Journals, such as Forth Dimensions, always check this sort of thing before publication. However, we don’t have the resources for that kind of thing on a bulletin board like this one, and have to trust those who submit files. Unfortunately, it is a matter of Let the User Beware!

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 12
Tue Jan 19, 1988 JAX [Jack Woehr]

In defense of Forth Dimensions: Actually, the assumption there is that you have every issue from way back when, where those “nonstandard” words like RECURSE were first mentioned. It’s just added motivation to buy bound volumes.

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 13
Wed Jan 20, 1988 J.W.BAXTER

As another defense of Forth Dimensions, they do mark each listing as to what sort of Forth it is. If they made some arbitrary choice (all submissions must be Forth-83, for example), they would have even fewer submissions to choose from. I think they’re on the right track. —John

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 23
Mon Jan 25, 1988 LMORGENSTERN

To M.HAWLEY: To write pixels in Forth, you have to access the DOS video driver or use the ANSI system. The exact call depends on your system. In MS-DOS you would use a call to interrupt 10H. There is a file 660 VIDEO.ARC that is described as “Video words for IBM F83.” Look into it. It would be appreciated if you would let us know whether it does what you want. —Leonard

Category 3, Topic 1 Message 25
Fri Jan 29, 1988 M.HAWLEY

Well, VIDEO.ARC looks promising. I unarc’d it with UNARC.F83, a good example of a useful application that runs using only standard words (and F83 in particular). The unarc’d file is VIDEO.BLK, which looks similar to the VIDEO.BLK file from VIDEOF83.ARC. Unfortunately, it did not load completely. It hangs on the word SLL, which I don’t find in the standard. At first I thought it was an assembler word, but it is not in a code definition. So, frustration again.

I’m just an amateur programmer who wants to learn Forth for the sheer fun of it. I am persuaded that Forth is the most versatile and, in some sense, the most powerful of languages—potentially. But I am still singing the Forth-Beginner’s Blues.

To: M.HAWLEY

I downloaded VIDEO.ARC and found your problem line, which is line 13 of screen 2. It reads:

: pg>bh
You are right that SLL is not in the standard — it is not even in F83. I have worked out what it does, illustrating the value of well-chosen word names and good documentation. We start with the stack diagram, contained within the "stack parentheses," preferred by some programmers over ordinary parentheses. The diagram says that PG>BH leaves BX on the stack. In Intel 8086/88 assembler, BX is the whole B register, and BH is its high-order part. Now, the documentation for certain 10H interrupts requires that BH contain the page number.

Next, we look at the word name PG>BH. Clearly, it puts the video page number into BH. It is now a short step to recognizing that SLL shifts BX left by 8 bits, discarding whatever is pushed off the high end, and filling the low end with zero bits.

Now comes the "Aha!" SLL is "Shift Left Logical," easy enough to write in F83 assembler. Continuing, I find that some additional words need definition:

#SPLIT (n--hilo)
Split a word into two bytes. Note: Most Forths have the stack diagram (n--lo hi).

#JOIN (hilo--n)
Opposite of #SPLIT. Same comments as for #SPLIT.

C@L (seg ofs - - b) "Long C-fetch." Similar to C@, but the stack specifies a segment and offset. Most Forths use (ofs seg - - b).

C!L (b seg ofs - - ) "Long C-store." Same comments as for C@L.

CMOVE (ofs1 seg1 ofs2 seg2 n - - ) "Long MOVE" Move data from one segment to another. Same comments.

I have written a prelude named F83PRELU.BLK, and have combined it with VIDEO.BLK and this note, into a new VIDEOARC file. If the sysops accept it, it will replace the previous VIDEO.ARC. I will upload it to you personally, so you will have it regardless.

The problems you are having are un-
WANTED

$1000 REWARD

for the World's Fastest Programmer.

Be the first to put our mystery gizmo through its paces and win $1000! Use any computer, any software. The showdown is at the Real-Time Programming Convention, Nov 18-19th, Anaheim, Calif. For complete rules, write: Programming Contest, Forth Interest Group, PO Box 8231, San Jose, CA 95155.
Guide to "ANSI X3J14 Forth Technical Proposal"

X3J14 is an American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Technical Committee developing a standard for the Forth programming language.

We want your input. Please read this to learn more about the standards effort and how to get your comments to the committee.

X3 is the part of ANSI that deals with Information Processing Systems. J14 is a Technical Committee sanctioned by X3 to develop a standard for Forth. Our charter is to recommend a "draft proposed American National Standard" (dpANS) to X3. X3 in turn will recommend the dpANS to ANSI. If, based on public review, the dpANS meets ANSI requirements for technical accuracy and consensus support the dpANS will become the American National Standard Forth.

Our goal is to complete the dpANS by November 1988. While that date may sound far away, your input is needed now.

We have adopted sections 1-16 of the FORTH-83 standard as a starting point for the dpANS. Please use it as a reference point for your comments. We ask that you keep these guidelines in mind:

1. The standard is to be evolutionary - not revolutionary. First and foremost, X3J14 is intent on codifying existing common practice (words like DUP, SWAP, DROP). We are also working to resolve conflicts when more than one common practice exists. Finally, we are considering areas not currently covered by standards, like floating point. We are not going to restructure Forth.

2. Be constructive. If you don't like a facet of FORTH-83, please propose something better.

3. Proposals must be typewritten. You need not use the standard form, but please provide the same information in the same general layout. Detailed instructions are provided below. If possible, in addition to a paper copy of your proposal, please send a copy on diskette. Straight ASCII text file, MS-DOS or Macintosh format, 3.5" or 5.25" diskette.

4. Send proposals to the address shown on the proposal form. Please enclose a self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Additional information on ANSI, the standards process, and X3J14 is available from

X3 Secretariat/CBEMA
311 First Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001-2178

Documents of interest:
X3/SD-0 Information Brochure
X3/SD-2 Organization and Procedures
X3/SD-5 Standards Criteria
X3J14/87-005 Proposal for ANS Forth
X3J14/87-002 Draft Scope of Work
X3J14/87-003 Draft Plan of Work

X3J14/87-021 12/04/87
### Filling out the "ANSI X3J14 Forth Technical Proposal" form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Page:</strong></th>
<th>Please number each page of your proposal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title:</strong></td>
<td>A short phrase that characterizes your proposal. Example: String extensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Related Proposals:</strong></td>
<td>If you have submitted other proposals on this subject, please list their titles and dates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposal ( )</strong></td>
<td>Are you proposing a specific change? Then check the Proposal box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment ( )</strong></td>
<td>Otherwise, check the comment box.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Keyword(s):</strong></td>
<td>Pick a keyword that helps others understand what area of Forth your proposal addresses. For example: Kernel, double integers, system word set...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forth word(s):</strong></td>
<td>List all affected Forth words, including ones added or deleted by your proposal or discussed in your comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abstract:</strong></td>
<td>Briefly convey the nature of your proposal (or comment) in broad terms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposal:</strong></td>
<td>State your proposal in specific terms. When proposing a new word, or changes to an existing word, simply state the new definition. Include a stack picture if appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion:</strong></td>
<td>If you are making a comment, put it here. If you are submitting a proposal, provide compelling arguments in favor of your proposal. Be concise. If you are aware of arguments against your proposal, state them and rebut them. Is your proposal consistent with current Forth conventions? Does the proposal involve hardware or other system dependancies? Does it affect application portability? Does it have implications for multi-user environments? Should the proposed change be mandatory? Does it affect ROMability? Is it general purpose? How does it affect execution speed? How does it affect compilation speed? What are its memory requirements?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submitted by:</strong></td>
<td>Provide your name, address, and daytime phone number.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use the "ANSI X3J14 Technical Proposal Form, cont'd" form if additional pages are needed. Remember to put page numbers and repeat the "Submitted by" and "Date" fields on each page.
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