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A FREE SPIRIT: WHERE TO FROM HERE? • BY GLEN B. HAYDON

Each Forth user seems to have his own philosophy, religion, and brand of the language. Each certainly has his own expectations. This free spirit made Forth what it is and, at the same time, led to its lack of general acceptance. Programmers using other languages have never experienced such a free environment.

MODULE MANAGEMENT • BY ALAN T. FURMAN

This module management system is a way of giving a symbolic name to a group of screens that contain generally reusable source code. That name is a Forth word that guarantees the presence in the dictionary of the code to be used by applications.

1987 FORTH NATIONAL CONVENTION • REVIEWED BY JERRY SHIFRIN

The Forth Interest Group (FIG) held its ninth annual convention on November 13-14 in San Jose, California. The theme was the “Evolution of Forth,” eliciting much discussion about Forth’s philosophical roots and its future.

ANS FORTH MEETING NOTES • BY JERRY SHIFRIN

The second meeting of the ANS Forth Technical Committee found only slow progress, with few usage questionnaires returned. However, areas of consensus and controversy were identified and matters of procedure were clarified. The stage may now be set for the real, productive work of the team.

A 6502 ASSEMBLER • BY CHESTER H. PAGE

The only weakness I have encountered in Forth is the unavailability of primitive subroutines, called by JSR. This Forth 6502 assembler was designed not for long programs, but for assembling primitive words one by one. It requires only that the computer is 6502 based.

VECTORED EXECUTION & AN F83 FULL-SCREEN EDITOR
BY RICHARD E. HASKELL & ANDREW MCKEWAN

Vectored execution is useful for directing flow of control. Different types of jump tables are often more convenient, and execute faster, than a corresponding CASE statement. One form of jump table will be illustrated by an F83 full-screen editor. (F83 and fig-FORTH)

PROFILES IN FORTH: JOHN D. HALL

Interviewer Mike Ham caught up with the Forth Interest Group director best known to most members as the official FIG Chapters Coordinator. John shares his insider’s view of FIG, Forth, and the future.
I'd like to welcome the newly elected, re-elected, and continuing members of the Forth Interest Group's Board of Directors. They all bring talent, energy, and experience to their positions, and are dedicated to furthering the causes of the Forth community. Your support and constructive input will empower them in the job they are doing for the rest of us.

There has been so much discussion recently about Forth's future and the possible directions FIG can take, that this issue emphasizes some of the issues and viewpoints. John Hall and Glen Haydon, in particular, touch on important areas of concern.

About Forth's future, may I say that the people who most fervently ask that question seem to be in businesses where Forth's relatively iconoclastic methods of accomplishing tough tasks make management edgy. But productive companies are quietly using Forth every day to write important, profitable code. They employ professional programmers and don't spend much time promoting Forth or worrying about its future. They are in business to get a job done, and are using a language that — with cultivation and experience — adapts entirely to their specific needs and practices.

If you find an ANSI Forth document in the future that doesn't include your practice, then maybe you were one of the 250 key people who didn't return a questionnaire to the Technical Committee, or maybe you buy your Forth from one of them... Jerry Shifrin's concluding notes about the last ANS Forth meeting are interesting and important. As difficult as it is to imagine a standards document that can codify common practice, how close it gets will depend on who gets involved.

Any proposal submitted to the committee is open to public comment, and all such comments must be addressed in committee meetings. So there is opportunity for wide participation, even without becoming an official member of a committee.

Opportunities will abound Down Under on May 19-20, 1988, when the first Australian Forth Symposium will be held at the NSW Institute of Technology. There will be a keynote presentation by Charles Moore (Forth's inventor), and the Novix Forth microprocessor family will be featured. The first day will include papers and demonstrations to show what can be done in Forth, and how quickly working applications can be developed. The second day will offer a choice of workshops, with instruction and hands-on experience. An exhibit will be running both days. If you are interested in a possible group travel rate from the United States, call the Forth Interest Group for information or see the ad in this issue.

This symposium has been initiated by a group of professionally based Forth users (from both industrial and academic organizations) who believe the language should be more widely known and used by professionals. The focus will be on Forth as a programming system for productivity, and papers are still welcome. We've heard for some time that Australia and New Zealand have some pretty interesting Forth activity, so this will be a great chance to learn how business is progressing in that part of the world and how their Forth professionals are planning for the future. (And 1988 is Australia's bi-centennial year, if you needed just one more reason to go...)

—Marlin Ouverson
Editor
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Local Variables
Dear Editor,
Local variables have been the subject of many earlier contributions, but I have not seen the following, simple implementation.

My version of Forth local variables makes use of ordinary Forth variables declared, and probably used, outside the colon definition. The only word to be used, following the name of the variable, is LOCAL. This must be in the beginning of a colon definition, and not inside control structures or some other kind of return-stack manipulation. The variable can then be used freely inside the colon definition, and will be restored to its original value on exit.

The code for LMI PC/FORTH+ is shown in Figure One. (For PC/FORTH, omit ADDR>S&S.)

Since the natural scope for a local variable in Forth is a colon definition, local variables can be managed on the return stack. LOCAL first saves the address and the value of the variable on the return stack, then arranges an exit through (LOCAL) by placing the address of (LOCAL) on the return stack. On exit from the colon definition, which was the local scope of the variable, (LOCAL) will then restore the old value of the variable from the return stack. There can be more than one local variable in a definition.

For a simple example, see Figure Two’s rather foolish implementation of the factorial function N! with recursion and a local variable.

This is a simple and easy-to-use, high-level implementation of local variables. An assembler-coded version would probably provide very fast local variables in Forth.

Yours,
Henning Hansen
116, Technical University of Denmark
2800 Lynby, Denmark

Don’t Chip Off the Old Block
Dear Marlin,
I read, too, in Forth Dimensions (VII/2), Mr. Ramer W. Streed’s letter about changing source editing. Well, I must say that sometimes it’s also difficult to me to get rid of screen numbers, lines, and shadows. (First I was using Super-Forth 64 on the little Commodore; now I own an IBM compatible, running a modified version of F83 — and I only got F83 two or three weeks ago!) Anyway, I don’t agree with Mr. Streed completely...

Surely it can be useful, being able to read and compile a text, source file, especially if it is downloaded from a BBS, but I think we must keep screens. I mean, screens are part of Forth philosophy, of thinking Forth! It is BLOCK, SCR, BLK, BUFFER, LIST, and FLUSH that make Forth different from other languages, and therefore so fascinating!

I don’t think screens are so awful, especially with F83’s shadows and glossary, it is possible to supply all the documentation needed, even for a large program to be understood. Abandoning screens for ASCII would negate Forth philosophy and make Forth similar to other, non-documenting languages.

I agree with Mr. Streed when he says that, when we have developed a low-level word, we don’t have to concern ourselves with what it has to do when called from within another one. But he means the opposite thing when he says that someone must keep track of line numbers, screens, and so on. Forth is beautiful, because when you write a word, you can immediately test it on the keyboard — unlike other, so-called structured languages that, in reality, isolate the programmer from his computer (see the hateful Pascal, for example, which doesn’t let you examine a single part of your program without having to compile it all...).

I mean, when I’ve developed a low-level word and, having retested it, found it works on a general job, I don’t have to concern myself further with where it is (anyway, I can VIEW it). About moving lines, I think it is better to avoid crowding a screen with a lot of words from the beginning, or the documentation will be unusable. I thank both Mr. Pasquale and Mr. Wenrich for their work, but I encourage all Forth people to join ASCII if they want, but don’t leave screens and blocks!

Sincerely,
Pierluigi De Rosa
Via Nicola Parisio 4/C
87100 Cosenza, Italy

Name That Architecture...
Dear Editor,
Articles now appearing on Forth-related processors have many ways of naming these items. For example, I have seen the terms RISC, Forth Engine, and Stack Machine. While these are descriptive, a
better naming approach will have a few benefits. I propose that processors that run a Forth kernel, whether hard-wired or by programming in ROM or in microcode, have a standard, family name. There are many ways of doing this.

Following the style used by the mainstream, they can be labelled Forth Instruction Set Computers (FISC). This form parallels that used by other popular processor architectures: Complex Instruction Set Computers (CISC) and Reduced Instruction Set Computers (RISC).

A more technical term could be used: Threaded, Interpretive Stack Machines (TISM). This term is more precise and broadly applicable, and can even be used to describe processors related to Forth's architecture; for example, a Writable Instruction Set Processor. [Or WISC Technologies' Writable Instruction Set Computers. —ed.]

Another alternative is to honor Charles H. Moore, the creator of Forth and co-designer of a commercial Forth engine (the Novix NC4000), by naming the "two-stack, two-pointer, 4-space machine" after him. Unfortunately, the most direct term, Moore Machine, is already in use in connection with state-machine theory. Maybe someone can come up with something else.

The term "Forth engine," while it is still applicable to a FISC, does not seem correct, since Forth itself is undefined. Further, Forth's extensibility has not been translated to hardware extensibility. [You have better look at those WISC machines, Jose.—ed.] Perhaps, when someone puts a Xilinx logic cell array; a writable, threaded, interpretive stack machine; 8K EEPROM; and an LCD with nano-keyboard on one tiny chip, and this anything chip leisurely chugs along at 33 MIPS, we will have an interactive, real-time engine.

Sincerely,
Jose Betancourt
85 Arlo Road #1A
Staten Island, New York 10301

Figure One. Hansen’s local variables for PC/FORTH+.

```forth
: (LOCAL) R> R> ! ; \ restore variable address and value from return stack

: LOCAL ( adr ) R> SWAP \ save top return address
DUP @ SWAP >R >R \ put variable address and value on top
return stack
R> ; \ exit via (LOCAL)

\ restore top return address to continue current definition
```

Figure Two. Sample use of LOCAL.

```forth
VARIABLE VAR
: N! ( n — n! )
  VAR LOCAL
  DUP VAR !
  1- DUP 0> IF RECURSE ELSE DROP 1 THEN
  VAR @ * ;
\ 10000 times 12 N! in 45 sec, with PC/FORTH+.

The simpler word n! is much faster, without the local variable:

: n! ( n — n! )
  1 SWAP 1+ 1 ?DO I * LOOP ;
\ 10000 times 12 n! in 10 sec.
```
A free spirit is, perhaps, the single most important trait of Forth users. Each user seems to have his own philosophy, religion, and brand of the language. Each certainly has his own expectations. This free spirit made Forth what it is and, at the same time, led to its lack of general acceptance. Programmers using other languages have never experienced such a free environment.

Many Forth programmers need to eat but find little acceptance of their ideas. Some have been able to use variations of Forth in their work place, but not many. Many accept Forth as their avocation, and program for a living in other languages.

The efforts of some vendors and application programmers to develop a common basis is in progress. But already some vendors have clashed. One wants exactly the opposite of what another wants. By codifying a language, a free spirit is stifled.

For some years, I have attempted to understand the free spirit of the creator of Forth. Chuck Moore’s concepts provide the fundamental basis of this language, which he named Forth. He wants control over the hardware. He wants to keep the program small, because a small, simple program is efficient. Over the years, he has heard many suggestions; most of them he has discarded.

Han Nieuwenhuyzen objected to giving the programmer access to all the hardware. For years he has used file structures of his own devising in his Forth implementations. For years, few implementors listened to his suggestions.

The Forth-79 Standard excludes from the required word set any primitives that access the hardware. The Forth-83 Standard continues this movement away from the basic hardware. Many users want to run other programs on their hardware; they use programs daily which are not a part of Forth. But at the same time, they have applications they wish to program in Forth. All sorts of compromises are made.

The free spirit of Forth implementors has prevented programmers, conditioned to the constraints of conventional languages and operating systems, from adhering to a Forth standard. Is there a common thread running through all variations of Forth?

There is no reason for Forth programmers to be at odds.

Various new languages (e.g., Fifth, Reptil, Stoic, Urth) have one thing in common with Forth: they are threaded, interpretive languages. As a matter of fact, even Microsoft has come to recognize the advantages of a threaded, interpretive language. Their latest version of Quick Basic is implemented in such a manner. And they indicate they will use a threaded interpreted implementation of C and other packages in the future.

There is no reason to throw out the baby with the wash. A subset of Forth is coming of age, as threaded, interpretive languages are more widely adopted. Loeliger’s book, *Threaded Interpretive Languages* was before its time. Let threaded, interpretive languages grow.

There is no reason for Forth programmers to be at odds with one another. We could focus on a subset upon which we agree, and apply our free spirit to other areas.

Perhaps the Forth Interest Group should evolve. It could expand its horizons to include all variations of threaded interpreted languages; perhaps it could even include a threaded, interpretive BASIC. This would be a move away from the Forth Chuck gave us along with his concern for the hardware. (Since, after all, Chuck is the creator of Forth, maybe such a new direction should be given a new name.)

For many years, I have considered Forth as Chuck’s child. I still do. But I am not constrained to do everything in Forth. I have an excellent word processor that is not written in Forth. (At least, I don’t think it is.) I use several desktop publishing programs, which I know are not written in Forth. I use my computers for other things, too.

Several individuals at the recent FORML conference, each with his own concepts, presented “free-spirit” language implementations. Tom Zimmer, in the FIG spirit of public-domain shareware, provided attendees of FORML a Forth without BLOCKS. His implementation can serve as a command-processor shell for IBM-compatible processors, with access to DOS functions. He has included an editor that is very much like WordStar, but any word processor producing ASCII files can be used. I am sure Tom’s release is a subset of what he now uses commercially.
It points out a different, possible direction for free spirits.

Mitch Bradley has long urged the community to give up blocks. He has provided many file-oriented routines. He is constrained to use his processors for other programs than Forth. The people he works with have trouble with some of the primitive ways of Forth.

Forth adherents adopt their own concept of Forth with a religious fervor. It is small wonder that Forth, whatever it is, is not accepted by the rest of the world. But Microsoft has adopted a threaded, interpretive language; so has Adobe with their PostScript, another of Forth’s offspring. This fundamental aspect of Forth is being adopted widely.

With the evolution and expansion of the Board of Directors of the Forth Interest Group, which took place at the recent national convention, it may be time to reassess the organization’s focus. By recognizing the common denominator in Forth, and the direction taken by larger software houses (threaded interpreted languages), we have a common direction that is less loaded with emotion.

It might even be appropriate to emphasize the commonality in the community by modifying the name of its regular publication. Maybe a subtitle would serve to indicate a change of emphasis. I am not proposing that the organization and its publication change their names. But, just maybe, that extreme step could be considered. Any such decision is in the hands of the Board of Directors.

In any case, I feel the community could be drawn together by focusing on what the members have in common — a threaded interpreted language. Let Forth users rally around their common convictions. There is plenty of room for difference without emotional conflict. Improvements will come through the efforts free spirits: Chuck Moore, Hans Nieuwenhuyzen, Tom Zimmer, Mitch Bradley, and many others have contributed because of their free spirits, not because of any imposed standard.

Glen B. Haydon is widely known as the implementor of MVP-FORTH and, along with Phil Koopman, Jr., creator of the WISC CPU/16 and CPU/32 processors.
I originally became hooked on extensibility years ago while programming in SAIL, which heavily supports macros. In SAIL, one can have files of macro definitions, both private stock and community contributions, and make them available for use in a program with the compiler-directive statement

require <filename>

where the file could itself contain more requires. A well-designed set of extension packages permits tiny, highly expressive programs, with the “require” mechanism looking after the bringing-in of macros and their hierarchical dependencies. The “include” statements of Pascal and C work similarly. I decided that Forth needed such a facility. I also wanted to be able to refer to source code packages by name, rather than by numerical address.

The module management system described here provides, essentially, all the convenience of the SAIL “require” in seven lines of source code. It is more limited, but simpler, than a prior scheme described by Michaloski. It runs under, and is easily transported to, any Forth system running under the screen model, whether true physical blocks or logical 1 kbyte records in a DOS file. It does not in any way depend on a DOS file system as such.

What It Does

In brief, the module management system is a way of giving a symbolic name to a group of screens that contain generally reusable source code. This name is a Forth word whose action is to guarantee presence of the package in the dictionary, to be used by applications. (The system involves re-definition of the module name word. Therefore, it works only when the module name word is interpreted, by the text interpreter, from the keyboard or from a screen being loaded. It will not work correctly when called from inside a colon definition.) An example follows.

Suppose that a module — a named set of words — called TRIGONOMETRY exists on disk, and one or more of these words is needed by a new word about to be defined. Just type

TRIGONOMETRY

and commence defining the new word. If the trigonometric functions are not in the dictionary, TRIGONOMETRY causes them to be compiled from the disk. If they are already in the dictionary, TRIGONOMETRY is a no-op (nothing happens). That is, the effect of TRIGONOMETRY is to ensure that the trigonometry package is in the dictionary, available to be interpreted or compiled into a higher-level word.

The Forth user is freed from two chores: remembering the numerical addresses of the trigonometry package source, and keeping track of whether they have been compiled into the dictionary yet. If a module depends on another, just embed the lower-level module’s name in the higher-level module’s source. For example, a GRAPHICS module may invoke TRIGONOMETRY because it uses the latter’s words. A ROBOTICS module could use TRIGONOMETRY as well. Now suppose that a robot simulator requires both GRAPHICS and ROBOTICS. During the compilation of GRAPHICS, the trigonometry words will be compiled by TRIGONOMETRY. When ROBOTICS compiles, the action of TRIGONOMETRY will be a no-op, thereby preventing redundant compilation. It is this “smart” behavior that makes nesting of modules a true convenience.

The module name word also acts as a place-marker for reclaiming dictionary space; typing

FORGET TRIGONOMETRY

shrinks the dictionary back to just before the trigonometry package.

How It Works

The module management system has three parts. First, the module management wordset

: MODULE ( block#) CREATE , DOES> @ LOAD ;

: LOADMAP: ( - - address) CREATE HERE -1 , DOES> @ ABORT" MODULE ERROR" ;

: LOADED ( address) 0 SWAP ! ;

which is compiled from the disk immediately upon booting Forth.

Second, the module declarations, for example:
Announcing a group travel plan to attend the first Australian Forth Symposium in Sydney May 19th & 20th, 1988 and World Expo 88 in Brisbane May 21–23, 1988. Other group events include guided sightseeing tours and a visit to Lamington National Park located in South East Queensland. Optional travel additions can be arranged.

Australian Forth Symposium

Charles Moore, Forth's inventor, is the keynote speaker at this event. The symposium has been initiated by a group of professionally based Forth users from both industrial and academic organizations who believe that the language should be more widely known and used by the professional community. The focus is Forth as a programming system for productivity. The first day will feature presented papers and demonstrations to show what can be done in Forth, and how quickly working applications can be developed. The second day will offer a choice of special interest Workshops, with instruction and hands-on experience. An exhibition will be open both days.

World Expo 88

Australia will host one of the world's biggest celebrations in 1988. World Expo 88, the international highlight of Australia's Bicentenary, will be held in the heart of Queensland's capital, Brisbane, from April 30th to October 30th. World Expo 88 will be the largest single event in the nation's history with an estimated attendance of almost eight million from throughout Australia and other countries. More than 30 nations and 20 corporations will showcase their achievements under the theme "Leisure in the Age of Technology". The 100 acre Expo site is ideally located on the South Bank of the Brisbane River, only 100 meters from the heart of the Sunshine City of Brisbane.

Group Travel Itinerary

Depart San Francisco Monday May 16, 1988 arriving Sydney May 18th. Attend the Australian Forth Symposium May 19th and 20th with local tours arranged for non-conference guests. Fly to Brisbane on May 21st and visit World Expo 88 through May 23rd; local tours will also be available. Travel to Lamington National Park May 24th with accommodations in a mountain lodge through May 26th. Return to Brisbane on May 27th and take the return flight to San Francisco.

Reservations and Information Brochure

Contact the Forth Interest Group, P. O. Box 8231, San Jose, CA 95155, telephone (408) 277-0668.
which are compiled right after the module management wordset. They create a group of words in the dictionary that serve as a kind of "directory" of modules.

Third, the modules themselves. Each module begins with a "load map" screen, whose number is the number incorporated in the definition of the module word. This loadmap invokes the words LOADMAP: and LOADED and also causes the remaining screens (which contain the module's actual source code) to be loaded. The general outline of the loadmap is:

LOADMAP: <modulename>
<loading of source screens>
LOADED

This is how the loadmap for TRIGONOMETRY (screen 100) might look:

LOADMAP: TRIGONOMETRY
101 LOAD 102 LOAD 103 LOAD
LOADED

The top line is not a comment, but it eliminates the need for one.

Now consider the case where the system has been booted and the module management wordset and the module declarations have been compiled. The word TRIGONOMETRY is defined such that its action is 100 LOAD. Therefore, when the word TRIGONOMETRY is interpreted by the text interpreter (typed at the terminal or read off a screen), its action will be to load screen 100. This screen redefines TRIGONOMETRY to be a no-op (causing an inconsequential "Redefined" or "Isn't Unique" message), and compiles the code on screens 101 through 103. The next time TRIGONOMETRY is interpreted, it will act according to its new definition, which is a no-op.

As mentioned, modules can be nested. For example, screen 120 might look like this:

LOADMAP: GRAPHICS
TRIGONOMETRY
121 LOAD 122 LOAD 123 LOAD 124 LOAD
LOADED

Screens 121-124 can thus assume that the trigonometry wordset will be available.

Security

Two provisions in the module management system that deal with security remain to be discussed.

First, LOADMAP: does not exactly redefine the module name to be a no-op. Indeed, it defines a word that will abort upon execution. It leaves on the stack a pointer to the location of the -1 flag so that LOADED can subsequently overwrite it with a 0. Only then has the module name been redefined as a no-op. The reason for this two-stage process is that a module should be either completely missing from the dictionary, or completely compiled. If compilation is interrupted (as by a compile error), the module is unusable. The combination of LOADMAP: and LOADED prevent the user from attempting to use a fragmented module. It also prevents catastrophic infinite-loop mutual recursion in case two modules try to "require" each other.

The second unexplained provision is the phrase

HERE FENCE !

which makes whatever precedes it unFORGETtable. The very first word added to the dictionary when a module is compiled is the redefinition of <modulename> performed by LOADMAP:. As a result, one can cleanly wipe out a module from the dictionary with

FORGET <modulename>

which works fine if the module is there. If the module is not, then the most recently compiled word named <modulename> is somewhere in the "directory" of modules, which FORGET would partially destroy, if it could reach the word. Having the "directory" protected in its entirety saves the user from having to keep track of a module's presence in the dictionary. Trying to FOR-
The Gifted Computer

1. Buy MMSFORTH before year's end, to let your computer work harder and faster.

2. Then MMSFORTH is the unusually smooth and computer with the great support. Many programmers report four to ten times greater productivity with this outstanding system, and MMSFORTH provides advanced applications programs in Forth for use by beginners and for custom modifications. Unlike many Fort systems on the market, MMSFORTH gives you a rich set of the instructions, editing and debugging tools that professional programmers want. The licensed user gets controlling free phone tips and a MMSFORTH Newsletter is available.

The MMSFORTH GAMES DISK includes arcade games (BREAKTHROUGH, CRASHFORTH and, for TRS-80, FREEWAY), board games (OTEHELLO and TIC-TAC-FORTH), and a top-notch CRYPTO-QUOTE HELPER with a data file of coded messages and the ability to encode your own. All of these come with Forth source code, for a valuable and enjoyable demonstration of Forth programming techniques.

MMSFORTH and a free gift!

GREAT FORTH:
MMSFORTH V2.4..........................$199.95 The one you've read about in FORTH: A TEXT & REFERENCE. Available for IBM PC/XT/AT/PS2 etc., and TRS-80 M.1, 3 and 4
GREAT MMSFORTH OPTIONS:
FORTWRITE...........................$99.95
FORTHCOM...........................49.95
DATAHANDLER..........................59.95
DATAHANDLER-MULTIPLUS...........49.95
EXPERT-2..............................49.95
UTILITIES.............................49.95
"Single-computer, single-user prices; corporate site licenses from $1,000 additional. 3½" format, add $5/disk; Tandy 1000, add $20. Add S/H, plus 5% tax on Mass. orders. DH+ not aval., for TRS-80s.
GREAT FORTH SUPPORT:
Free user tips, MMSFORTH Newsletter, consulting on hardware selection, staff training, and programming assignments large or small.
GREAT FORTH BOOKS:
FORTH: A TEXT & REF. ........................$21.95
THINKING FORTH..........................16.95
Many others in stock.

MILLER MICROCOMPUTER SERVICES
61 Lake Shore Road, Natick, MA 01760
(617/653-6136, 9 am - 9 pm)

presence in the dictionary. Trying to FORGET an uncompiled module will then result in a harmless error message.

Further Enhancements

The module management system is shown in its final form in Listing One. The first screen may be used verbatim (the other screens are usage examples). Normally, it is loaded first thing upon booting the system; it will often be the only screen number the user has to memorize. In the process, the module directory on the following screen will be compiled into the dictionary and protected by FENCE, and a constant MODULES will be the screen number of the directory source. Type

MODULES LIST to list the modules.

The word THRU (see Appendix) makes loadmaps more concise. Given the first and last screen numbers, THRU loads the inclusive range of screens. The word +B converts a relative screen number to an absolute one. Using it in loadmaps makes modules relocatable on the disk. The loadmap in the TRIGONOMETRY example given above can be reduced to the form shown as Screen 100 in Listing Two. The module can now be moved (as a unit, including the load map) to another region of the disk. No editing is required, either in the module itself or in modules that require it (the name TRIGONOMETRY remains as before). However, the original declaration

100 MODULE TRIGONOMETRY

on line 2 of Screen 81 will have to be edited (to reflect the new address of the load map) and recompiled.

Whenever the module declaration screen is edited (due to the addition, deletion, or moving of a module), the module directory in the dictionary must be compiled anew from the disk. It is first necessary to FORGET the prior directory, but it is protected by FENCE. The following procedure will recompile the directory:

MODULEMARK FENCE !
FORGET MODULEMARK
80 LOAD

and in the process, delete everything else compiled in since boot-up. It may be just as practical to reboot the system and type

80 LOAD

as usual. Both alternatives seem troublesome, but seldom need to be performed. Once a module has reached final size, acquired a reliability record, and received heavy use in applications, it is unlikely to move about.

Conclusion

A system has been described for naming reusable, Forth source code packages, reminiscent of the "require" and "include" facilities of other languages, in which the package name becomes a word that compiles the package into the dictionary, as needed. It is easy to use and install, and supports hierarchies of packages.

The extreme simplicity and degree of control afforded by screens makes this system very easy to port, whether in a true native Forth or an emulated one with a single "screen file."
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Appendix: System Dependencies

FENCE ( -- address)

The commonest name of a variable that points to the last protected word in the dictionary. Unfortunately, the choice of which of a word's fields FENCE points to is unstandardized. The value of the constant MODULEMARK as generated in Screen 80 should reliably defeat the protection of MODULEMARK from FORGET when written into FENCE.

The following words are easily defined if a system lacks them. Their definitions are best put in screen 80, between the definitions of MODULES and MODULE.

: THRU ( firstscreen lastscreen -- )
1+ SWAP DO LOAD LOOP ;

: +B ( relativeblock -- absoluteblock)
BLK @ + ;
In a system without `ABORT"` replace

`ABORT" MODULE ERROR"

with

`IF ." MODULE ERROR" ABORT THEN`

Listing One. Source code for module system; assumes that module declarations are on screen 81.

```
SCREEN # 80
0 ( MODULE SYSTEM - LOAD ME FIRST AFTER BOOT-UP )
1 HERE 1- CONSTANT MODULEMARK ( USE FOR ERASING MODULE WORDS )
2 1 +B CONSTANT MODULES ( DECLARE MODULES IN FOLLOWING SCREEN )
3
4 : MODULE ( BLOCK#)
5  CREATE , DOES> @ LOAD ;
6 : LOADMAP: ( - ADDRESS)
7  CREATE HERE -1 , DOES> @ ABORT" MODULE ERROR" ;
8 : LOADED ( ADDRESS)
9  0 SWAP !;
10
11 MODULES LOAD HERE FENCE !
```

Listing Two. Examples of module usage.

```
SCREEN # 81
0 ( MODULE DECLARATIONS F( EXAMPLES )
1 85 MODULE SCREENEDITOR
2 100 MODULE TRIGONOMETRY
3 120 MODULE GRAPHICS
4 140 MODULE ROBOTICS
5
6
7

SCREEN # 100
0 LOADMAP: TRIGONOMETRY ( EXAMPLE)
1 1 +B 3 +B THRU ( ACTUAL CODE ON SCREENS 101-103)
2 LOADED

SCREEN # 120
0 LOADMAP: GRAPHICS ( EXAMPLE-NESTED MODULES)
1 TRIGONOMETRY
2 1 +B 4 +B THRU ( ACTUAL CODE ON SCREENS 121-124)
3 LOADED
```
The Forth Interest Group (FIG) held its ninth annual convention on November 13-14, the day after the second ANS Forth standards meeting, in San Jose, California. This is the first FIG convention I've attended, and I found it to be another enjoyable Forth experience.

The theme was the "Evolution of Forth." Ironically, a number of people seemed concerned with whether or not Forth was dying. In the Forth philosophy session, Alan Furman pointed out that it's difficult to convince people you have a better mousetrap (Forth) so they'll beat a path to your door, when the neighboring house (C) has a freeway running through it!

Several other speakers seemed to echo this concern. In a response of sorts, Chuck Moore pointed out that it really doesn't matter if a lot of people are using Forth, or even if anyone else is, since he can improve his own productivity with it.

This is not to imply that the meeting was downbeat — there were numerous discussions on new and exciting developments in the Forth world: new Forth chips, new implementations, new books, and many new applications.

Friday
Several sessions were dedicated to the history of Forth, with presentations from many of its pioneers: Chuck Moore, Elizabeth Rather, Bill Ragsdale, Kim Harris, and so on. In this paper, I'll only comment on the technical sessions.

Charles Johnson gave an interesting talk on their MISC (Minimal Instruction Set Computer) chip, which is still in development. It seems to be an inexpensive implementation of a Novix-like architecture. So far, the MISC chip has only been run in simulation.

I found the philosophy panel discussion to be the highlight of the conference. This included Wil Baden (who showed up in his philosopher's robes), Glen Haydon, Chuck Moore, Alan Furman, and Howard Pearlmutter. One of the main themes of this discussion was the "less is more" idea, that we should return to minimal Forth systems. Wil Baden described some of the current implementations as "garbage pail Forths," as in garbage pail pizza (with everything). Glen Haydon pointed out that Forth programmers need to decide whether they want to be free spirits or professionals, whether Forth is their vocation or avocation, and to act accordingly. Howard Pearlmutter "performed" (the only remotely appropriate verb) a metaphor on Forth. Variously entitled "Four Thoughts," "Forethoughts," and "Forth Oughts," he described Forth as a tree with its roots in dirt and sand (silicon) and it leaves and branches reaching out to the sunshine (people). He noted that Forth allows us to get close to the silicon, but that we should pay more attention to the users.

Friday wrapped up with a "Point/Counterpoint" discussion (renamed to "Count, Pointer, Count") with Mitch Bradley, Mike Perry, and Martin Tracy.

Saturday
Saturday continued the oral history of Forth with presentations from the FIGFORTH and F83 implementation teams.

Guy Kelly gave a history of the Forth-83 Standard.

George Nichols gave a presentation on their NOVIX-based PC-RISC system, running multiple Novix co-processors in an IBM PC.

Along with Martin Tracy, Bob Smith, and Larry Forsley, I participated in a panel discussion on the ANS Forth effort. This wasn't a terribly crowded session, but the response seemed positive overall. People were happy that the effort was underway; they approved of using the Forth-83 Standard as the basis; and were mainly concerned that the standard include some optional extensions, floating point being mentioned most often. When introduced, the ANS Forth members received what can only be described as a smattering of applause.

Gary Feierbach described their Super-8 system, optimized for Forth and including Forth in ROM. It directly executes NEXT, DOCOL, and EXIT in a single instruction (though each instruction requires multiple machine cycles). The chip is expected to sell for $7 in single unit quantity. It supports a 64K program space and a 64K data space. The Zilog development board costs $88 and the Inner Access ROM costs $65. The processor has an effective cycle time of 10 MHz.

There was a well-attended panel discussion on the GEnie Forth service. Dennis Ruffer, Scott Squires, Marlin Ouverson, and Lori Chavez described various aspects of the available facilities. Alan Furman described the user interface as "technologically nostalgic."
The annual meeting noted the resignations of Thea Martin and Kim Harris from the FIG Board of Directors, the continuance of Robert Reiling and Martin Tracy, the re-election of John Hall, and the election of Wil Baden, Bob Smith, Dennis Ruffler, and Terri Sutton.

Other sessions included a FIG Chapters breakfast, a second talk on the MISC chip, a talk from Phil Burk on HMLSL (an object-oriented, Forth-based music language), Glen Haydon on the 32-bit WISC engine, Lori Chavez on the Unstable Flying Wing Project, a roundtable on 32-bit applications, and one on Forth in education.

Another highlight of the conference was Chuck Moore’s “Fireside Chat.”

The conference was capped off with an after-dinner speech by Dr. Robert Trelease on “Brains, AI, and Forth.” He gave an overview of current Forth activity in AI and expert systems (see his article in the October 1987 issue of AI Expert), and went on to discuss the current state of affairs in understanding how the brain operates, and neural network technology.

Notes

As always, there is at least as much going on outside the sessions as there is on the inside. Here are some of the notes I made:

There is a new Forth BBS for our friends to the North: a Vancouver, British Columbia board at 604-434-5886. Zafar Essak is the operator.

Martin Tracy has begun developing an iconic (picture-oriented) programming language. Where does he find the time?

Martin’s next Dr. Dobb’s column is scheduled for December 1987, and should include some of the material from the ECFB discussion of strings.

Gary Betts mentioned that his company (Saba Technologies) is planning to upgrade their inexpensive document scanner (programmed in Forth) to take advantage of the Novix chip.

I saw an actual demonstration of Harvard Softworks’ and Softmills’ GigaForth. It looked like a powerful, well-thought-out implementation. First customer shipment is scheduled for January 1988. It sells for $245 as an add-on to HS/Forth ($395). Another add-on, Gigaloop and Rosetta, is still in development; this is intended to allow programmers to develop and link modules from a variety of languages within a single environment.

HyperForth! The current rage in the personal computer arena is something called hypertext, a way of scattering your data all over, but still being able to retrieve it quickly. Well, the inventor of hypertext, Ted Nelson, has been involved with the Forth community for many years. Bob LaQuey has concluded that they are kindred systems, and has begun designing an integration of the two concepts into a single system. (He will present a paper on this at FORML.)

A new book of interest from MIT Press: Cellular Automata Machines by Tommaso Toffoli and Norman Margolus ($30, 260 pages). Presents the theory of cellular automata and develops a language for describing cellular automata rules, CAM Forth, which has been implemented to support a CAM board in an IBM PC.

Dr. C. H. Ting’s Offete Enterprises has a few new additions to its catalog of Forth material: Forth Notebook, Volume II ($25: ROMable F83, 8086 and 68000 disassemblers, parallel processing, array processing, neural network simulation, etc.); F83 Reference Manual ($10); the More on NC4000 series is now up to 5 volumes (total cost for the series is $70).

Guy Kelly is selling his portable Forth editor for $20, which includes his PD PC-Forth implementation and support for LMI, F83, MVP, UniForth, and his own system.

An Australian Forth Symposium is scheduled for May 19-20, 1988 at the NSW Institute of Technology. Chuck Moore will be the keynote speaker. Contact Jose Alfonso, NSWIT, P. O. Box 123, Broadway NSW 2007.

As some of you might have noticed recently, some of the mail sent to the Institute for Applied Forth Research (publisher of The Journal of Forth Application and Research, or JFAR) was returned without explanation or with something along the lines of “moved, left no forwarding address.” Well, it turns out that they did move, but the post office decided not to forward their mail. Larry Forsley reports this wasn’t discovered for several weeks — not until someone handed him an envelope with the post office’s practical joke on it. According to Larry, this problem has been resolved, but here are the correct addresses:

For subscriptions: Total Information, 844 Dewey Avenue, Rochester, NY 14613. (Total Information is one of those subscription fulfillment services.) The new address for The Institute for Applied Forth Research is: 70 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY 14611.

Manuscript submissions should be mailed to: Jim Basile, 17 Target Rock Drive, Huntington, NY 11743. (Jim is the new Editor-in-Chief of JFAR. Larry Forsley is the publisher, Mahlon Kelly is the US Editor, and Hans Nieuwenhuyzen is the European Editor.

I don’t know if it’s the hacker mentality, but there seemed to be hostility directed towards some of the Forth vendors, mainly FORTH, Inc. There appeared to be some gloating that the public-domain, Laxen & Perry F83 implementation had forced Forth vendors to provide more complete systems. Even if true, I found the attitude less than attractive.

Computer Literacy Bookstore and Fry’s Electronics can almost justify the trip to San Jose on their own. Computer Literacy has, by far, the best selection of computer books I’ve ever come across (in D.C., try Reiter’s for one almost as good). Fry’s is a supermarket with food, audio/video, computers, software, and electronics parts. Take a shopping cart and your credit card.

Jerry Shifrin is a prolific talent; see more of his work in this issue’s "ANS Forth Meeting Notes."
TC Meeting 1

The second meeting of the ANS Forth Technical Committee (TC) was held on November 11-12, 1987 in San Jose, California. Local arrangements were provided by Bill Ragsdale and the Forth Interest Group. The TC still does not have an official Chair and Vice-chair. Some candidates for these positions were unable to get approval from their companies for sufficient time away from work. As a result, the meeting was officiated by Elizabeth Rather as Acting Chair, with Martin Tracy as Acting Secretary. The Chair and Vice-Chair positions are still open if anyone wishes to volunteer. ANSI/CBEMA decided not to appoint permanent officers until they had more people to choose from.

Most of the attendees from the August meeting were present here with the exception of Charlie Keane, David Petty, and the ANSI/CBEMA folks. New to this meeting were Wil Baden, Andy Kobziar of NCR (user), John Gotwals of Purdue (user), and John Stevenson (user). Attending as an observer was Dennis Ruffer (GENie SYSOP) from Allen Test Products.

We received a letter from our CBEMA liaison, John Kurihara, congratulating us on the quality of our efforts to date and complimenting Ray Duncan on the minutes of the first meeting.

The TC approved the meeting schedule without objection. The next meeting is scheduled for February 10-12, 1988 in Southern California, to be hosted by Elizabeth Rather. Subsequent meetings are planned for Rochester, New York (Larry Forsley); Beaverton, Oregon (Gary Betts); and Washington, DC (Jim Rash). Chuck Moore suggested that meeting hosts attempt to find sites in surroundings more pleasant than hotels. Ms. Rather agreed to look into holding the February meeting on Catalina Island. Larry Forsley said he was investigating the availability of a mountain retreat. Meeting plans will be announced when available. Anyone interested in attending should contact the appropriate meeting host for information.

It's to your advantage that your products can be stamped "ANSI Forth"

A form for technical proposals was discussed. The documentation committee was directed to add a cover sheet with detailed instructions, and to remove any fields intended for internal TC usage. This was to have been circulated and voted on by mail ballot within two weeks of the meeting.

Next was a report from the Research Committee on current Forth practices. A total of 274 surveys were mailed out, but only 24 responses were received. Of these, only 14 indicated 200 or more users (required for consideration of "common usage," by a previous vote). The results from this survey were rather interesting: respondents who differed from the Forth-83 standard did so in the areas of word addressing, 32-bits, lack of floored division, and lack of disk commands. Most respondents offered extensions in the areas of strings, multitasking, graphics, floating point, etc. Suggestions from respondents varied widely, but a number of people thought the standard should be layered (allow optional, standard extensions), and that it needed to deal with 32 bits, floating point, OS interface, strings, graphics, and ROMability. The Research Committee was directed to make another attempt to obtain responses from "major" vendors who had not returned their questionnaires.

The Technical Subcommittee (TSC) next reported on areas of consensus and controversy with respect to the Forth-83 Standard. Surprisingly, there were a few areas where the TSC was in unanimity on keeping certain items from the 83 standard: DUP, DROP, OVER, SWAP, >R, R>, AND, OR, XOR, +, -, ABS (ABS was later found to be controversial), 0=, 0<, =, U<, @, !, and the ASCII collating sequence. Everything else in the 83 standard had either major or minor controversy associated with it.

Elizabeth Rather read letters from Larry Forsley and Guy Kelly on the IEEE Forth Standard activity. We haven't received official word yet, but it appears that the IEEE Forth proposal has been withdrawn in acknowledgment of the openness of the ANSI/CBEMA effort. One major benefit from this controversy is that members of the IEEE Computer Society may attend ANS Forth TC meetings without paying any fees. Since Computer Society membership costs about $20, there is a clear advantage to this alternative approach.
The TC then had a fairly lengthy discussion on the Technical Proposal process. Briefly, proposals are to be sent to the secretary (Martin Tracy at FORTH Inc.). The secretary will pre-filter proposals, returning any in obvious need of work. He will distribute remaining proposals to the TSC, which will return them to the TC with a recommendation to adopt, reject, or return for more information, or table. If the TC agrees to adopt, it would be sent to the Documentation subcommittee — who will develop the final language — then to the TC, who will either ratify or return it. The TC voted (14-0) to allow a proposal to be tabled indefinitely. The secretary was directed to maintain a database (in a format of his choice) of all proposals.

I pointed out a problem, in that Technical Proposals were supposed to be submitted in the form of updates to the Basis document (this is the Forth-83 standard initially, but it evolves into the draft standard as updates are made). However, we are not allowed (by CBEMA) to make the Basis document publicly available. The sense of the TC was that, initially, people should submit proposals as updates to the Forth-83 Standard. Later, they will have to develop their proposals in conjunction with a TC member.

The TC voted (12-3) to maintain a public status list of all proposals. I will be maintaining this on the MCI Mail ANS Forth Bulletin Board. The TC also voted (13-2) to similarly publish all proposal abstracts received on electronic media.

The TC then adjourned to allow for subcommittee meetings.

TSC Meeting

The TSC (Technical Ad Hoc Subcommittee) immediately convened to begin deliberations. Greg Bailey was still Acting Chair and Martin Tracy continued as Acting Secretary.

The first order of business was to discuss the process for dealing with Technical Proposals within the TSC. The final conclusion, to the best of my understanding, is that proposals found to be controversial will be directed to a "magnet" assigned to each major area of controversy. The magnet will collect comments from the entire TC and circulate them for review. The goal here is to allow work to continue expeditiously outside formal TC meetings. Non-controversial proposals go directly to the TC with a TSC recommendation.

There were discussions on word or cell size (non-controversial) and signed division (controversial).

Next were discussions on voting rights. I have two conflicting notes here: one says that only formal members of the TC (including alternates and observers) may vote on TSC issues; the other states that, since the TSC is a totally ad hoc committee, anyone who attends can vote. I'll clarify this ruling when/if I can.

There was a discussion on getting a TSC secretary. Martin Tracy cannot continue, since he is too busy as the TC secretary. No one else cared to volunteer. Don Colburn said he'd do it as a last resort, but that he'd make us pay for it! (Don, who was the secretary of the Forth Standards Team, felt he had suffered enough.) Finally, I agreed to take on the work, but I cautioned the group that I would not be able to attend all of the meetings.

We agreed that MCI Mail was not a suitable facility for holding TSC discussions between meetings (MCI Mail Bulletin Boards are mainly oriented towards posting announcements). We then discussed the advantages of GENIE vs. Compuserve. Don Colburn offered to make available a section of his Compuserve FORTH conference, and Dennis Ruffler offered to do the same on FIG's GENIE FORTH conference.

There was discussion and vote on Elizabeth Rather's cell-size proposal (eliminating references to 16-bit words). This was found to be non-controversial by a vote of 17-2-1-0 (strongly in favor, prefer inclusion, prefer exclusion, strongly in favor of exclusion) and was referred to the TC. From the vote, I conclude that at this point anyone present was eligible to vote.

As secretary, Martin Tracy had received four proposals to date. Naturally, none of these meet the requirements for a Technical Proposal (which has yet to be finalized). Two of these (from Wil Baden and Richard Gray) were declared to be comments or advice, and were to be returned to their originators, requesting that they state them as proposals.

One of the proposals, from Roy Martens of Mountain View Press, consisted of the following hand-written note: "7/1/87, Elizabeth — We submit the enclosed document, "FORTH Floating Point" by Philip J. Koopman, Jr., MVP-FORTH Series, Volume 3, revised, to The Technical Committee for consideration as the standard for integer and floating point math. It conforms to IEEE Floating Point Standard (Task P754) short. There are no restrictions on its use. Sincerely, Roy Martens". This was attached to a copy of their MVP-FORTH floating-point documentation. My notes fail me here, but I believe it was sent back for rework.

The final proposal (so far) was on the treatment of DO loops with equal arguments (n DUP DO) from Lee Brotzman of Uni-FORTH. This was discussed at some length. Lee proposed that such loops should run zero times. Some people felt the proposal should be returned, since it didn't meet our (non-documented) proposal format. Don Colburn felt it should be returned for clarification in the case of +LOOP structures (he thought it didn't work as expected in such cases). Chuck Moore opposed it for historical reasons, stating that DO was intended to always run at least once. Ultimately, the proposal was declared controversial and referred to the DO loop magnet, Ray Duncan, for further analysis and comment.

From the TSC survey, Greg Bailey put together a list of 14 controversial areas. Members of the TSC (except the secretary) were assigned to be magnets for the individual areas. Members of the TSC were to write one paragraph on each of the controversial subareas. (See the accompanying list of magnets and their areas.)

TC Meeting 2

I had to leave for a few hours to do some training for my company's field personnel and missed some of the discussion, but here's what I understand to have occurred:

The TSC unanimously agreed to bring a cell-size proposal (removing all references to 16-bit words) to the TC for ratification. The FIG representative, Bill Ragsdale, invoked the two-week rule, which allows any member to put off a vote for two weeks in order to allow sufficient time for review. This apparently created some discord, and someone moved to disband the TSC. The
Fols, we have a serious effort here to reach out and obtain guidance from the entire Forth community. My sense is that the TC is determined to involve as many people as possible, to go the extra mile in gathering input, and to give serious consideration to everyone’s points of view. With the way this effort is proceeding, I don’t see how anyone has grounds for complaint if they end up with a standard they don’t like.

The TC is not a set of finite-state automata. We don’t speak with one voice. Some of us (well, me) don’t even stay consistent from day to day. By its nature, Forth seems to attract people with a strong sense of individualism and creativity. Still, I sense some general areas of agreement opening up the process, avoiding attempts to garbage up the language, allowing for future extensions, and proceeding quickly to a draft standard we can stand behind.

If you have a strong opinion, I encourage you to get involved and join the TC. If you have a proposal, write it up and send it to the TC secretary. If you have some unformed thoughts on a subject, get in touch with one of the TC members and ask for help on developing a proposal. The greatest problem I sense is the general apathy of the Forth community. There are no automatics; you may think that something is so obvious that it will certainly be changed, but that probably won’t happen unless someone is there to champion the cause. If your Forth vendor is not listed among the TC membership, perhaps you should question them about it. If you are a Forth vendor, it’s to your advantage to attempt to sway the committee to do things in such a way that your products can be stamped “ANSI Forth” with a minimum of changes to your product.

In case there’s any doubt, this is not an official communiqué from the ANS Forth Technical Committee, only the notes of a non-finite-state automaton. My thanks to Ray Duncan for some helpful reminders about the meeting activity.
The only weakness I have encountered in Forth is the unavailability of primitive subroutines, called by JSR. For example, I have written a floating-point routine which has fast multiplication and division (using primitives), but slow SIN and similar functions. The polynomial approximation to SIN is slowed by high-level looping and iteration. If the computation routine for SIN were written as a primitive, using JSR to call repeated multiplications as object code subroutines, it would be faster.

To correct this defect, I have written a Forth 6502 assembler designed, not for long programs, but for assembling primitive words, one by one. It has provision for 20 labels and 20 label references (per word); this should be sufficient, but it can easily be increased. This assembler is not computer specific; it requires only that the computer is 6502 based.

Since the 6502 uses 100-1FF hex as its stack area (return stack), addresses in this range never appear as target addresses in source code. I take advantage of this by using 101, 102, 103, etc. as the label names, and use the low byte to index into an array of label addresses. During the first pass of the assembly, label targets are compiled as label names (single-word addresses), to be replaced by single-word label addresses in the second pass. In the case of a branching instruction, where only one byte is to be compiled, the low byte of the label name is compiled. On the second pass, this byte will be replaced by the jump offset. In both cases, the compilation address of the target is saved in a reference table, along with a flag to distinguish between branching commands and commands requiring an absolute address. When a label assignment is encountered, its location address is saved in an array of label addresses, indexed by the low byte of the label name. In the second pass, the reference table is stepped through, a label name is found at a compilation address and is converted to the corresponding label address, or the offset for a branch is computed and compiled.

Why shouldn't extensions to the nucleus use primitives?

The 6502 mnemonic words are in Ragsdale’s form, consisting of the standard mnemonics suffixed by commas (to distinguish, for example, between ADC as a hex number and ADC, as a mnemonic). Each mnemonic word returns a base opcode single-byte value and a single-precision number which serves as an admissibility key for rejection of inadmissible addressing modes. Roughly speaking, each addressing mode adds a characteristic number to the base value of each opcode. There are several exceptions; these are identified by special bits in the admissibility keys.

Absolute address modes are distinguished from zero-page address modes by examining the address given with a command. X and Y are, thus, defaulted to zero-page modes, but upmoded when addresses above 200 are given (“addresses” between 100 and 200 are label names).

Each mode is assigned a single-bit number for logical comparison with admissibility keys. There are two exceptional cases; the , Y mode and the immediate mode (@) have additional bits. These are used to allow zero-page , Y to be used with LDX, and STX, , and to change the opcode increment produced by # in the cases of LDX, , LDY, , CPX, , and CFY, .

Screen #3 gives the mode identifications, keys, and effects on opcodes. Screen #9 gives JSR, and the special words @, , and C, , which provide for compiling data with address labels; also the time and space savers * and GONEXT. Screen #11 gives illustrative examples.

JSRs within a word are handled by labels; JSRs to other words (primitives ending in RTS) are supplied with target addresses by

<name> BODY JSR,

or

^ <name>

JSRs to entry points in ROM are supplied with addresses, e.g., in the Apple, FC58 JSR, to clear the screen.

Test Results

My 13-digit-precision, floating-point system required 180 milliseconds to compute FSIN. Simply replacing all stack manipulations with primitives reduced this time to 100 ms. Replacing the high-level loop evaluation of the polynomial approximation with a primitive (collection of
ASSEMBLER SCR # 1
0 \ Assembly sample 27JUN87CHP
1 \ Conventional format
2 \ LDA #0
3 \ LDY #80
4 \ L1 STA 300,Y
5 \ DEY
6 \ BPL L1
7 \ JMP NEXT
8 
9 \ Format for this assembler
10 \ ASSEMBLE TEST
11 \ 0 # LDA, 80 # LDY, 101 300 ,Y STA, DEY, 101 BPL, GONEXT
12 \ END
13
14 -->
15

ASSEMBLER SCR # 2
0 \ 29JUN87CHP
1 HEX
2 VOCABULARY ASSEMBLER
3 ASSEMBLER DEFINITIONS
4 VARIABLE MODE
5 VARIABLE MODE.KEY
6 14 ARRAY LABEL.TABLE \ Provide for 20 labels, and
7 CREATE REF.TABLE 0 , 0 , 38 ALLOT \ for 20 targets
8 VARIABLE REF-POINTER
9 -->
10
11
12
13
14
15

ASSEMBLER SCR # 3
0 \ 19JUN87CHP
1 \ Modes
2 : ZP 0 MODE ! 0 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 4 to opcode
3 : ,X 1 MODE ! 1 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 14 (zero page,X) 
4 : ,Y 2 MODE ! 202 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 14 - LDX, STX, only
5 : )Y 3 MODE ! 3 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 0 (ZP,X)
6 : # 5 MODE ! 119 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 10 (ZP),Y
7 : ,A 6 MODE ! 20 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 8 Accumulator
8 : ) 7 MODE ! 40 MODE.KEY ! ; \ Adds 2C - Indirect JMPs only
9 \ 8 \ Adds C - Absolute address
10 \ 9 \ Adds 1C - Absolute,X
11 \ A \ Adds 18 - Absolute,Y
12
13 CREATE ADD.TABLE \ Indexed by mode value
14 1404 , 0014 , 0810 , 2008 , 100C , 18 C,
15 -->
ASSEMBLER SCR # 4
0 \ A is a given address 24JUN87CHP
1 \ C is address returned by opcode mnemonic
2 : RANGE.CHECK ( A C---A C) OVER 100 U< 0= \ True, absolute addr
3 IF Mode @ DUP 3 < IF DROP 8 Mode +! \ modify ZP modes
4 Mode.KEY @ 202 = IF 200 Mode.KEY ! THEN \ Absolute,Y
5 ELSE 5 < ABORT" Illegal Opcode" THEN THEN ;
6
7 : CODE.CHECK ( C---C)
8 DUP 1+ @ \ Admissibility Key
9 Mode.KEY @ AND ?DUP \ Test mode
10 IF DUP FF AND ABORT" Illegal Opcode"
11 DUP 100 = IF DROP -2 Mode +! \ For IMMEDIATE and CPX,
12 \ CPY, LDX, LDY, STX, convert to add 0
13 ELSE 200 = 0= ABORT" Code error" \ Not LDX ZP,Y
14 THEN THEN ;
15 -->

ASSEMBLER SCR # 5
0 \ 19JUN87CHP
1 : LABEL.SAVE FF AND DUP LABEL.TABLE @ \ Not new label?
2 ABORT" Duplicate label"
3 HERE SWAP LABEL.TABLE ! ; \ Save label address
4
5 : LC1 SP@ S0 4 = = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN ;
6 : LC2 SP@ S0 6 = = IF ROT LABEL.SAVE THEN ;
7
8 : COMPILE.ADDRESS ( A---)
9 DUP FF00 AND DUP 100 = \ Is it a label?
10 IF HERE REF.POINTER @ 0 OVER C! \ Full address label needed
11 1+ ! \ Save compilation address
12 3 REF.POINTER +! \ Advance for next entry
13 THEN
14 IF , ELSE C, THEN ; \ Compile absolute address or ZP byte
15 -->

ASSEMBLER SCR # 6
0 \ CREATE operators for defining mnemonics 19JUN87CHP
1 \ Multimode opcodes
2 : M/CPU CREATE 2 ALLOT C, , DOES> LC2 RANGE.CHECK
3 CODE.CHECK
4 C@ MODE C@ ADD.TABLE + C@ + C, \ Adjust opcode
5 COMPILE.ADDRESS ZP ;
6
7 \ Single-mode opcodes
8 : CPU CREATE 2 ALLOT C, DOES> LC1 C@ C, ZP ;
9
10 : BRANCHES CREATE 2 ALLOT C, DOES> LC2
11 C@ C, C,
12 HERE 1- REF.POINTER @ 1 OVER C! \ Branch offset needed
13 1+ ! \ Save compilation address
14 3 REF.POINTER +! 2P ; \ Advance for next entry
15 -->

Volume IX, Number 5
ASSEMBLER SCR # 7
0 \ Second pass replaces stored label targets 19JUN87CHP
1 : SECOND.PASS
2 BEGIN -3 REF.POINTER +! REF.POINTER @ DUP 1+ @
3 \ Find label compilation address
4 DUP WHILE DUP C@ DUP LABEL.TABLE @ \ Label address
5 3 ROLL C@ \ Word-or-byte flag
6 IF 2 PICK - 1- \ Offset
7 DUP ABS 7F >
8 IF DROP CR "."
9 THEN ROT C!
10 ELSE ROT !
11 THEN DROP REPEAT DROP DROP ;
12 :
13 CLEAR.TABLES 8 DO 0 I LABEL.TABLE ! LOOP
14 REF.TABLE 3 + REF.POINTER ! ;
15 -->

ASSEMBLER SCR # 8
0 \ Definitions of mnemonics 27JUN87CHP
1 0062 61 M/CPU ADC, 0062 21 M/CPU AND, 0062 C1 M/CPU CMP,
2 0062 41 M/CPU EOR, 0062 01 M/CPU ORA, 0062 E1 M/CPU SBC,
3 0062 81 M/CPU STA, 0062 A1 M/CPU LDA,
4 005E 02 M/CPU ASL, 005E 42 M/CPU LSR,
5 005E 22 M/CPU ROL, 005E 62 M/CPU ROR,
6 007E C2 M/CPU DEC, 007E E2 M/CPU INC,
7 016F E0 M/CPU CPX, 016F C0 M/CPU CPY,
8 036D A2 M/CPU LDY, 016E A0 M/CPU LDY, 017D 82 M/CPU STX,
9 007E 80 M/CPU STY, 007F 20 M/CPU BIT, 003F 40 M/CPU JMP,
10 00 CPU BRK, 18 CPU CLC, 08 CPU CLD, 58 CPU CLI, B8 CPU CLV,
11 CA CPU DEX, 88 CPU DEY, E8 CPU INX, C8 CPU INY, EA CPU NOP,
12 48 CPU PHA, 08 CPU PHP, 68 CPU PLA, 28 CPU PLP, 40 CPU RTI,
13 60 CPU RTS, 38 CPU SEC, F8 CPU SED, 78 CPU SEI, AA CPU TAX,
14 A8 CPU TAY, BA CPU TSX, 8A CPU TXA, 9A CPU TXS, 98 CPU TYA,
15 -->

ASSEMBLER SCR # 9
0 \ More mnemonics and special definitions 27JUN87CHP
1 90 BRANCHES BCC, 80 BRANCHES BCS, F0 BRANCHES BEQ,
2 30 BRANCHES BMI, D0 BRANCHES BNE, 10 BRANCHES BPL,
3 50 BRANCHES BVC, 70 BRANCHES BVS,
4 : JSR, SP@ SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN DUP 20 C, ,
5 200 U< IF REF.POINTER @ DUP 0 SWAP C! \ Address is a label
6 1+ HERE 2- SWAP ! \ Save compilation address
7 3 REF.POINTER +! THEN ;
8 
9 : , SP@ SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN , ;
10 : C, SP@ SO 4 - = IF SWAP LABEL.SAVE THEN C, ;
11 : END SECOND.PASS CURRENT @ CONTEXT ! ?EXEC ?CSP ; IMMEDIATE
12 : GONEXT ['] NEXT >BODY JMP, ;
13 : " >BODY JSR, ; \ Useful in composite primitives
14 \ e.g., ASSEMBLE PROGRAM " A " B " C GONEXT END
15 -->
subroutine jumps) further reduced the time to 74 ms.

These results raise a philosophical question: Does a liberal use of primitives really affect portability? Since the nucleus of Forth depends on primitives, why shouldn't the extension of the nucleus to double-precision or floating-point arithmetic also use primitives? It doesn't seem sensible to saddle floating-point users with slow routines to satisfy an aesthetic pride in colon definitions. Applications using either integer or floating-point arithmetic can be written in completely portable, high-level definitions.

Note on Using the Assembler

The simplest way to use a Forth assembler is to append it to the nucleus dictionary and then assemble a desired application. This leaves the assembler vocabulary in memory between the nucleus and the application. Each compiled application saved to disk includes the entire assembler; this wastes disk space as well as fast-access memory.

This waste can be avoided by a simple routine. When the Forth nucleus is booted, note the name of its last word, and the normal next-word location. Call this address <dp>. (Enter "HERE .") Leave space for the application by entering "n ALLOT" where n is, say, 5000. Then load the assembler and enter "<dp> DP !" to restore the dictionary pointer to follow the nucleus vocabulary. Assembling the application will locate the application vocabulary as if it were a normal continuation of the nucleus vocabulary. After the application has been assembled, the assembler vocabulary can be eliminated by linking the first word of the application directly to the last word of the nucleus, by entering

```
' <last nucleus name> >NAME
' <first application name> >LINK
```

---

**ASSEMBLER SCR # 10**

```
0 \ Assembler concluded
1
2 FORTH DEFINITIONS
3
4 : PRIM -2 ALLOT HERE 2+ , ;
5
6 : ASSEMBLE ?EXEC CREATE ASSEMBLER PRIM
7 [ ASSEMBLER ] CLEAR.TABLES 2P !CSP ;
8
9 IMMEDIATE
10
11 DECIMAL
12
13
14
15
```

**ASSEMBLER SCR # 11**

```
0 \ SAMPLES
1 HEX
2 ASSEMBLE (TEST) 0 # LDA, 80 # LDY, 101 300 ,Y STA, DEY,
3 101 BPL, RTS, END
4 ASSEMBLE TEST " (TEST) GONEXT END
5
6 ASSEMBLE TRY 5 STX, 1 # LDX, 101 INX, 7 # CPX, 101 BNE,
7 " (TEST) 5 LDX, GONEXT END
8
9 ASSEMBLE HOME FC58 JSR, GONEXT END
10
11 ASSEMBLE THIS 3 # LDY, 101 102 ,Y LDA, CLC, 103 ,Y ADC,
12 102 ,Y STA, DEY, 101 BPL, INY, GONEXT 102 1 C,,
13 2 C, 3 C, 4 C, 103 20 C,, 30 C, 40 C, 50 C, END
14 \ THIS has 2-label entries, and data labelling
15 DECIMAL
```
A Jump Table with Arbitrary Stack Values

A limitation of the jump table shown in Figure One is that the key values on the stack that select one of the words to be executed must be consecutive values, starting with zero. This is often easily arranged in small, dedicated systems where one scans only a few keys. With a standard keyboard, on the other hand, one usually has available the ASCII code of the key that has been pressed. In this case, it is more convenient to have a jump table that contains both the key (ASCII) code and the CFA of the word to be executed when that key code is on the stack. The jump table shown in Figure Three is such a table. In this case, the first element in the table is the number of key code/CFA pairs, not counting the final, default CFA (CHROUT). This jump table is created using the defining word MAKE.TABLE as follows:

A Simple Jump Table

Figure One shows the structure of a jump table called do.key that contains the CFAs of five Forth words. The number of entries in the jump table is stored as the first element in the parameter field. When do.key is called with a value of 0 - 4 on the stack, the corresponding word in the jump table will be executed. For example, 2 do.key will cause 2word to be executed. The jump table is created by using the defining word JUMP.TABLE in the following form:

\[
\text{JUMP.TABLE do.key 0word 1word 2word 3word 4word}
\]

The colon definition of JUMP.TABLE in F83 is:

\[
\text{JUMP.TABLE} \quad (--) \quad \text{CREATE DUP , 0 ?DO ' , LOOP DOES> ( n pfa -- ) SWAP 1+ SWAP 2DUP @ > IF 2DROP ELSE SWAP 2 * + PERFORM THEN ;}
\]

The corresponding definition in fig-FORTH is:

\[
\text{MAKE.TABLE do.key}
0 \text{ FKEY}
8 \text{ BKSPACE}
81 \text{ QWORD}
27 \text{ ESC}
-1 \text{ CHROUT}
\]

The colon definition of MAKE.TABLE in F83 is:

\[
\text{MAKE.TABLE} \quad (--) \quad \text{CREATE HERE 0 , 0 BEGIN BL WORD NUMBER DROP DUP 1+ WHILE , ' , 1+ REPEAT DROP ' , SWAP ! DOES> ( n pfa -- )}
\]

A powerful method of defining jump tables.
DUP 2+ SWAP @ 0
DO 2DUP @ =
   IF NIP 2+ LEAVE
   THEN 4 + LOOP
PERFORM ;

The corresponding definition in fig-FORTH is:

: MAKE.TABLE ( -- )
  <BUILDS HERE 0 , 0
  BEGIN BL WORD NUMBER DROP
  DUP 1+
  WHILE , [COMPILE]
      ' CFA , 1 +
  REPEAT DROP ' CFA ,
  SWAP !
DOES> ( n pfa -- )
  DUP 2+ SWAP @ 0
  DO 2DUP @ =
      IF SWAP DROP 2+ LEAVE
      ELSE 4 +
      THEN LOOP
  @ EXECUTE ;

An example of using this jump table is shown in Figure Four.

Creating the Jump Table with Forth Words

The jump table given in Figure Three is a convenient, generalized jump table. However, you need to know the numerical value of each key code before creating the jump table with MAKE.TABLE. It would be even more convenient if you could use Forth statements to compute the key codes during the creation of the jump table. We will use a new defining word called EXEC.TABLE to define this same jump table. The jump table shown in Figure Three is created by executing the following statements:

EXEC TABLE do.key
  0 |FKKEY (functionkeys)
CONTROL H | BKSPACE
          (backspace key)
ASCII Q | QWORD (key Q)
H' 2B | ESC (quit to DOS)

In this method of constructing the jump table, the statements to the left of the vertical bar | can be any Forth statement that leaves a numerical value on the stack. The vertical bar itself is a Forth word whose colon definition is:

: | ( addr n -- addr )
  , , 1 OVER +! ;

The corresponding fig-FORTH definition is:

: | ( addr n -- addr )
  , [COMPILE] '
  CFA , 1 OVER +! ;

This word commas into the jump table the key code value on the stack as well as the CFA of the Forth word following |. It also adds 1 to the pair count stored in the first element of the jump table.

The colon definitions of EXEC.TABLE and DEFAULT: in F83 are:

: EXEC.TABLE ( -- )
  CREATE HERE 0 ,
  DOES> ( n pfa -- )
  DUP 2+ SWAP @ 0
  DO 2DUP @ =
      IF NIP 2+ LEAVE
      THEN 4 + LOOP
  @ EXECUTE ;

and

: DEFAULT: ( addr -- )
  DROP ' , ;

The corresponding definitions in fig-FORTH are:

: EXEC.TABLE ( -- )
  <BUILDS HERE 0 ,
  DOES> ( n pfa -- )
  DUP 2+ SWAP @ 0
  DO 2DUP @ =
      IF SWAP DROP 2+ LEAVE
      ELSE 4 + THEN LOOP
  @ EXECUTE ;

and

: DEFAULT: ( addr -- )
  DROP [COMPILE] ' CFA , ;

Note that DEFAULT: stores the CFA of the default word in the jump table, after dropping the address of the first element in these words call built-in words rather than use BIOS or DOS calls. We can, therefore, redisplay the entire screen without any noticeable delay. If BIOS or DOS calls are used for EMIT and TYPE, the editor should be modified to update only changed parts of the screen, in order to obtain an acceptable response time.

In summary, the EXEC-TABLE defining word given in screen 7 of Figure Five provides a powerful method of defining jump tables. Two examples of such jump tables are given in screens 8 and 9. It is, obviously, a simple matter to modify this full-screen editor by adding and subtracting entries to these jump tables.
the jump table (left on the stack by HERE when the jump table was created). As an example of using EXEC. TABLE, a full-screen editor that can be added to F83 will be described in the next section.

**F83 Full-Screen Editor**

Figure Five gives the listing of a full-screen editor we have added to the IMB PC version of F83. This full-screen editor is activated by typing \texttt{v} from the editor. For example, to edit screen 26, you would type:

\begin{verbatim}
26 EDIT v
\end{verbatim}

Pressing the ESC key from within the full-screen editor returns you to the F83 editor. Typing \texttt{DONE} will then save any changes you have made, and will return you to Forth.

Note that the definition of \texttt{V} in screen 9 is simply:

\begin{verbatim}
: V ( -- )
  .MODE BEGIN EDIT-AT
  KEY DO-KEY AGAIN ;
\end{verbatim}

After waiting for each key to be pressed, the jump table DO-KEY directs control to the proper Forth word to be executed. The jump table DO-KEY defined in screen 9 handles all control characters used, and defaults to VCHAR, which either inserts or overwrites a character at the current cursor position. On the IBM PC, the function keys and the cursor keys on the numeric keypad return an ASCII code of 0 when called by KEY. In this case, KEY must be called again to retrieve the scan codes for these keys. Note that in the DO-KEY jump table, this is handled by the word FKEY. The colon definition of FKEY is simply:

\begin{verbatim}
: FKEY KEY DO-FKEY ;
\end{verbatim}

where DO-FKEY is another jump table defined by EXEC-TABLE in screen 8. This jump table handles all the function and cursors keys, PgUp, PgDn, Ins, and Del, etc.

The shadow screens in Figure Five describe the functions of the words corresponding to the various keys. Many of

---

**Figure Two. Example use of jump table do.key.**

---

**Figure Four. Example use of key code-CFA jump table.**

---
0 \ Cursor Movement
 1 : RIGHT 1 C ;
 2 : LEFT -1 C :
 3 : UP  C/L NEGATE C ;
 4 : DOWN C/L C ;
 5 : CRR 1 +T ;
 6 : EOL LINE# "LINE C/L -TRAILING NIP C ;
 7 : HOME TOP ;
 8 : END TOP 'START C/SCR -TRAILING NIP C ;
 9 : P8UP SCR @ ( don't go past screen 0 )
10 IF ?STAMP B (REDO-SCREEN) ELSE BEEP THEN ;
11 IF ?STAMP N (REDO-SCREEN) ELSE BEEP THEN ;
12 IF ?STAMP A (REDO-SCREEN) ;
13 " " ;

0 \ Line Insert/Delete
 1 : NEWL ( -- )
 2 : 'LINE C/L OVER #END INSERT 'LINE C/L BLANK REDO-SCREEN ;
 3 : DELL X REDO-SCREEN ;
 4 : DEOL "CRA BLANK REDO-LINE ;
 5 : REPL 'INSERT + 'LINE C/L MOVE REDO-LINE ;
 6 : INSL NEWL REPL ;
 7 : SAUL KEEP 0 18 AT .LINE ;
 8 : VWIPE WIPE (REDO-SCREEN) ;
 9 : VDISCARD WIPE REDO-LINE ;
10 : VJOIN ( -- ) JOIN REDO-SCREEN ;
11 : VSPLIT ( -- ) SPLIT REDO-SCREEN ;
12 : VJOIN ( -- ) JOIN REDO-SCREEN ;
13 : VSPLIT ( -- ) SPLIT REDO-SCREEN ;
14 : VJOIN ( -- ) JOIN REDO-SCREEN ;
15 : VSPLIT ( -- ) SPLIT REDO-SCREEN ;
16 : VJOIN ( -- ) JOIN REDO-SCREEN ;

0 \ Other Visual Operations
 1 : DELW ( -- )
 2 : "CRA 2DUP TUCK BL SCAN BL SKIP NIP - DELETE REDO-LINE ;
 3 : +WORD ( -- )
 4 : CURSOR #REMAINING TUCK BL SCAN BL SKIP NIP - C ;
 5 : (-WORD) ( addr1 len -- addr2 len2 )
 6 : DUP 0 ?DO 2DUP + 1- C@ BL = %LEAVE 1- LOOP ;
 7 : (-WORD) ( -- )
 8 : DUP C@ BL \ IF -1 C THEN
 9 : 'CURSOR C@ BL \ IF -1 C THEN
10 : 'START CURSOR -TRAILING (-WORD) R@ ! DROP ;
11 : (-WORD) ( -- )
12 : (+WORD) ( -- )
13 : (-WORD) ( -- )
14 : (+WORD) ( addr1 len -- addr2 len2 )
15 : (-WORD) ( -- )

EXECUTION TABLE

1: EXEC-TABLE ( -- addr )
2 CREATE HERE 0 ,
3 DOES ( n (nfa) -- )
4 DUP 2+ SWAP @ 0
5 ?DO Q 2DUP @ = IF NIP 2+ LEAVE THEN 4+ LOOP
6 PERFORM ;
7
8: ( addr n -- addr ), , 1 OVER + ;
9
10: DEFAULT: ( addr -- ) DROP , , ;
11
12
13
14
15

EXEC-TABLE Define an execution table. Items are compile with 1. At runtime a value is placed on the stack and the table is searched for a matching value. If it is found, the selection value is dropped and the corresponding word is executed. Otherwise the default word is executed with the selection value still on the stack.

Compile a table entry.

DEFAULT: Compile the default action and end table definition.

If no default action is desired use DEFAULT: DROP.

0 \ Execution Table
04Mar87AM \ Execution Table
04Mar87AM

EXEC-TABLE

17

0 \ IBM Function keys
04Mar87AM \ IBM Function keys
03Mar87AM

EXEC-TABLE DO-FKEY

18

DO-FKEY Table of actions for IBM function and special purpose keys. The scan code is on the stack.

1: FKEY ( -- ) KEY DO-FKEY ;
2: ESCAPE ( -- )
3: ?STAMP (REDO-LINE) \START \VIDEO B\BUF CMDOWN QUIT ;
4 EXEC-TABLE DO-KEY
5 0 : FKEY CONTROL E : EOL
6 CONTROL H : BKSP CONTROL I : TAB
7 CONTROL J : VJ_AIN CONTROL M : CCR
8 CONTROL K : NEWL CONTROL S : VSPLIT
9 CONTROL T : DELW CONTROL U : DEOL
10 CONTROL Y : DELL 27 : ESCAPE
11 DEFAULT: VCHAR
12
13 : V ( -- )
14 \MODE BEGIN EDIT-AT KEY DO-KEY AGAIN ;
15
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Figure Six. F83 partial editor glossary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#AFTER</td>
<td># chars after cursor on current line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#END</td>
<td># chars between line start and screen end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#REMAINING</td>
<td># chars after cursor on screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'C#A</td>
<td>address of cursor and #AFTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'CURSOR</td>
<td>address of char at cursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'INSERT</td>
<td>address of insert buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'LINE</td>
<td>address of beginning of current line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'START</td>
<td>address of start of screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+T</td>
<td>go to beginning of line relative to current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?STAMP</td>
<td>update screen id if changed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>toggle screen and its shadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>position cursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>go back one screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>move cursor n characters right or left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/L</td>
<td>characters per line (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPACITY</td>
<td>number of blocks in the file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL#</td>
<td>current column number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE</td>
<td>delete # characters from string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCARD</td>
<td>ignore latest changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX</td>
<td>column for upper left corner of screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY</td>
<td>row for upper left corner of screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSERT</td>
<td>insert string 1 at beginning of string 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOIN</td>
<td>copy next line at cursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEP</td>
<td>put current line in insert buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/SCR</td>
<td>lines per screen (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINE#</td>
<td>current line number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIFIED</td>
<td>mark screen as modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>go to next screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R#</td>
<td>variable for cursor position (0-1023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPLIT</td>
<td>split line at cursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>go to beginning of line n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP</td>
<td>go to top of screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPE</td>
<td>erase screen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROFILES IN FORTH:
John D. Hall

Mike Ham, frequent FD interviewer, recently spoke with one of the Forth Interest Group's Board of Directors. John David Hall shared his professional background, and his views of FIG and of the greater Forth community.

MH: How long have you been FIG chapter coordinator?

JH: Five years; I started in 1983. I went to a board meeting, and there were two things going on. I was interested in the chapter mailings: John Cassady was sending the handouts to the various chapters. At the board meeting, the suggestion came up that maybe the work that John was doing wasn’t getting completed soon enough. I volunteered to help John, and the board said, “Great, John James really needs some help.”

I said, “John James?” And they said, “Yes, he’s trying to put together some chapters.” They wanted to formalize the process and set up a formal contact between FIG and the chapters. John James had already started writing up the documents, and he also needed some help. And that’s how I got started with the chapters.

MH: How many chapters did FIG have then?

JH: About 16 informal chapters. Many are the core of what we have now as chapters. We had contacts in Tokyo already, the New York chapter, the Potomac chapter, the Silicon Valley chapter, the Orange County chapter.

MH: How many do we have now?

JH: We have a list of 80 chapters. Last year, I sent out certification forms and got them back from about 50, but out of the remaining 30 there are chapters that exist and are very strong, like the Potomac chapter, who never answer any inquiries. Lately I call people and ask if there really is a chapter there. I thought the Chicago chapter had maybe the work that John was doing wasn’t getting completed soon enough. I volunteered to help John, and the board said, “Great, John James really needs some help.”

I said, “John James?” And they said, “Yes, he’s trying to put together some chapters.” They wanted to formalize the process and set up a formal contact between FIG and the chapters. John James had already started writing up the documents, and he also needed some help. And that’s how I got started with the chapters.

MH: How many chapters did FIG have then?

JH: About 16 informal chapters. Many are the core of what we have now as chapters. We had contacts in Tokyo already, the New York chapter, the Potomac chapter, the Silicon Valley chapter, the Orange County chapter.

MH: How many do we have now?

JH: We have a list of 80 chapters. Last year, I sent out certification forms and got them back from about 50, but out of the remaining 30 there are chapters that exist and are very strong, like the Potomac chapter, who never answer any inquiries. Lately I call people and ask if there really is a chapter there. I thought the Chicago chapter had

MH: The chapter movement is important for remote members.

JH: We wanted to start the chapters as a way of keeping the membership growing. You really can’t do it from a central place. We can do only so much with Forth Dimensions. The authority and the ability to gather new members needs to be passed on to the chapters.

MH: What do successful chapters have in common? Can you tell what makes a chapter work?

JH: Yes: dynamic personality, of the leader or leaders. We’re really fortunate in Silicon Valley because we have several dynamic people. If one person drops out, somebody else steps in. I’ve tried to encourage other chapters to start — to see if they can’t find these dynamic people to help them run the chapter. When they haven’t been able to find such people, the chapter doesn’t seem to be able to survive. It takes somebody who’s dedicated to helping other people and who’s really enthusiastic about it.

What we’re beginning to find out is that it takes more than one person. One person is important. But as soon as that person gets tired, who do you pass it on to? It really takes two, or three. You really need to find a core of two or three — you need to find a team, so that when one person’s on vacation, things don’t fall apart. When one person wants to go to Thanksgiving, or to FORML, things can’t fall apart. So you need a team of people. And that’s really what it takes.

MH: You mentioned in the Silicon Valley chapter meetings a morning session and afternoon session. How do those work?

JH: When the Silicon Valley chapter first started, the morning session was called FORML, just like the FORML conference. The reason was, we had technical subjects in the morning. In the afternoon, we had people showing applications, things they had done. It’s become a little confused
lately, because we have technical papers in the afternoon, as well as in the morning, or we have applications in the morning as well as the afternoon. So the structure of morning and afternoon has been blurred. I’m not quite sure why that is. We also see a decline in the number of papers being presented. In the Silicon Valley we see more applications being demonstrated than code being demonstrated. I think it’s just our lack of emphasis on trying to get the technical people there.

MH: What do other chapters do?

JH: San Diego County has an interesting format. They meet at lunchtime. Silicon Valley meets the fourth Saturday of every month, and it’s pretty much an all-day: 10 o’clock in the morning until 5 o’clock. San Diego meets every Thursday at lunchtime, and it’s very informal. I was talking to them this morning. Guy Kelley is more or less the leader of the group, and it’s a roundtable. Physically, there’s a U-shaped table. Guy sits in the middle of the U, and whoever wants to talk gets up and goes up to the blackboard and the podium and starts talking. They don’t have a secretary or treasurer, and they have been meeting successfully for five or six years that way. Everybody brings his lunch. They have a good group; they’re kind of a rebellious group. If someone wants to get up and say something controversial, they do. And often they get into a good discussion.

MH: What about your own background? When did you first find Forth?

JH: I was a physics and chemistry major in Alaska and at Berkeley, and I didn’t quite finish college when I went into the Army. I came back from the Army in 1963 and went back to Berkeley. By then I wasn’t as interested in chemistry as I had been earlier, but I had to finish my degree, so I went on with a chemistry degree. At the same time, computers — big computers — were beginning to be used, and I learned FORTRAN. I was becoming interested in computers.

I returned to Korea as a civilian, my wife and I were married. I returned to the U.S. in 1967. I decided that computers were what I wanted to be involved in. I found a chemical company and started working as a lab assistant. They had an IBM 1130. It was a brand-new computer, but it was sitting idle, and I did some programs for the chemical engineers.

In 1970, I worked with an accountant in Oakland, where I now live, using an IBM 1130. I’ve worked off and on for accountants, and the University of California, in the Agricultural Extension Service, which did a lot of 4H registration. All on the IBM 1130.

MH: What language?

JH: All this was business applications in FORTRAN. When COBOL became available on the IBM 1130, it was in COBOL. This was in 1974. In ’75 I read the article about the MITS computer and I bought an Altair computer. I put that together myself. My whole background has always been software; this was the first project where I had picked up a soldering iron and worked with hardware.

That got me into microcomputers. I was still working at the University of California at that time. About two years later the Processor Technology SOL computer came out. I had been working in the accounting service before, and I went there with a proposal: let’s put together an accounting package. BASIC was all that was available at that time. So my sister, Beckie Harvey, and I began programming in BASIC and wrote a complete accounting package.

This was around August of 1980, and as we read the Byte articles about Forth we realized this was really the direction we would like to go. Because we were trying to make our BASIC programs as flexible as possible: we were building small tools. I had a sort package I could move from application to application, I had a string data-entry package I could easily move. We were trying to put together these modules, and here was a modular language.

So we started to reprogram everything we had written. We had a general ledger and accounts receivable for convalescent hospitals, vertical markets at that time. We had all these written in BASIC, and they were slow. We started rewriting them in Forth and were well on our way when Processor Technology died on us, right in
the middle. We had an orphan.

We could have continued, and we could have sold the few processors we had. We had about 12 of them installed in several convalescent hospitals, doing accounts receivable. But the Sol was gone. The next question was, where to go? We were stuck.

So in 1981, Beckie and I split up. She went down to Los Angeles and worked for a company, programming in Forth; and I became a consultant and found jobs in Forth. One was for a company called Stafa, where they were beginning to do controllers for ducts: environmental control. They had a controller built right into the ducts, and they could talk to the device through the AC connections. It was a single chip and it had Forth on it.

MH: The 8080?

JH: No, but it was an embedded 8080-like processor; an 8080 controller chip with everything on it, A-to-Ds, everything. A single chip with all the hardware on it.

MH: A long way from the 1130?

JH: A long way from the 1130! 1130s at that time were considered minicomputers, and they were designed as scientific minicomputers, but we had used them as business machines because they were inexpensive.

The Stafa project took about 8 months or so. Then about that time Gary Feierbach had a job to do an engine test device for a company in Ventura. Paul Thomas, Gary Feierbach, Matthew Johnson, and myself were all working as a team. The project was what I now characterize as a typical Forth project: putting out a fire. Somebody had attempted a project, had put a lot of money into it, decided they couldn’t do it in the traditional languages, came to us and said, “We really need to get this thing done, and we really need to get it done by a certain date, and we’ve heard that Forth can do this — do it for us.”

Gary was teaching Forth and was doing some hardware at the time, and decided to take on the project.

The project really wasn’t well defined. And as we went along, the requirements changed. The client thought we could make the project a little more user-friendly — at that time the buzzword was “user-friendly.” By the end of a year, it turned out we were doing it almost 180 degrees out of phase from the original specifications. Originally, we had started a controller with very little user interface: it was to do engine testing. When we were all done, it was an engineer’s workstation, with a much larger user interface.

In most applications, a user interface is a good 50% of the project, so we had almost doubled what we had set out to do in the first place.

MH: Did it come out as a product?

JH: It eventually came out as a product, though it was completed by another group. After that, I went to work with Rising Star. They had already been working for a year or so on putting together a personal computer, a turnkey kind of computer. You turned it on, it came up in an editor; you hit a calc key and went into the spreadsheet; you hit “mail,” you went into the mail programs; you hit the copy key to copy a disk. It was a basic, novice, entry system. That was the concept. At that time, the IBM PC still had not really picked up, and they were doing it on an Epson computer with a Z-80. The interesting part was that we were showing what a Z-80 could really do. It was amazing. We had multiple banks of 64K memory. We put a spreadsheet in one bank, the editor in another bank, the operating system in a third bank, and so on. And graphics — we had graphics that nobody else had at that time.

MH: You actually drew the letters on the screen.

JH: Yes, the editor was What-You-See-Is-What-You-Get; we had bold and italics and all the stuff. And most of the upper-level applications were written in Forth, pretty much in high-level Forth. The operating system was written in assembler, by a company that had started up way back when CP/M was starting. They had written their own workalike CP/M called TP/M. Now they were reforming, with the same people and with their own operating system, CP/M compatible but with extensions. They had one graphics guru; he took care of the graphics engine. We made calls to the
MH: So when you switched the application, you would just drop into the operating system, and it would switch the bank — is that the way it worked?

JH: Yes.

MH: What was your job in the Rising Star project?

JH: My job switched very quickly. Originally, I was to help do the menus. Between applications, there were menus that would allow you to switch applications. The first thing that struck me was that the Forth underneath was very large, very overbuilt. So it was decided to reinstall the underlying Forth. That was a three-person job, and I got involved. We installed a simplified, 83-

Then we put that underneath the applications — the applications were already building. The most complete was the spreadsheet, so we took that one, moved the new Forth underneath, and found that no changes had to be made to the spreadsheet. We had integrated it enough into what the old system looked like, that it really fit underneath — it surprised me. I thought there would be tools that we didn’t include, but it didn’t happen; it fit in very nicely.

But Rising Star was out on a limb. They were being fed money by Epson, and that’s how they were able to keep about 30 programmers going. The programmers were scattered all over the United States. There was a team of 6 or 7 assembly language programmers who did the operating system and the mail program; they were back East. There were a couple of people in Oregon, who did documentation of the team that did the new Forth. I lived in Oakland, Paul Thomas lived in San Francisco, and Ron Braithwaite lived in San Diego county.

This was the first time I had seen programming at a distance. We all worked at home and sent modules back and forth by a bulletin board system on the team leader’s computer. We all used the Epson computer — they were up enough and running so we could use it. We would upload and download modules using the team leader’s bulletin board. Every month, everybody would come across the United States to meet in Los Angeles, in Torrance where the headquarters were.

It was a nice environment, pleasant for programmers. It worked as a management tool, and it worked very nicely — particularly for Forth programmers, because we were very independent. We liked to work by ourselves on projects and then come together to pool the pieces and the knowledge, rather than all working together in an office. Really, you do the same thing in an office. You get together, pull off a piece, go work on it, put it back together again. It’s just that often you have someone come in and look over your shoulder to see how you’re doing, see if you’re doing it fast enough. It turns out that if you do it at home, you work more hours on your project than in the office, because you work until ten or twelve at night. At the office you go home at five.

Rising Star ended in December of 1984. I was there for about half the project, about 8 months. By then the IBM was beginning to make an impact. Epson decided they no longer wanted to continue with a Z-80 product, they wanted an 8088 product. They thought we could finish, so they gave us a little extra life. I think they would have cut it off in August or September, but they thought, “Well, we’re very close to getting the product done and getting it out the door,” so they gave us until December before they cut off the money. We weren’t done, and Rising Star just collapsed in December.

If you want to point any fingers, it was because of the editor. The editor was a concept picked up from Forth — it was the typical Forth line editor extended to this glorious What You See Is What You Get. The idea of the line editor is that you edit on the single line in the middle of the screen; as you scroll up or down, the screen moved and you were editing that line. But a line of text extends any distance; you have to mark it — there were a lot of complications. But the whole model was limited in how it was first conceived, and it grew beyond its bounds.

The original concept should have been modified earlier. Rising Star spent a lot of money putting people in hotels. They took the editor team and locked them in a hotel for three weeks at a time trying to get the product up to a certain level. It was a very intense situation. There was no time even to go back and think. They just plowed along at this point. Probably that should have said the end is coming.

MH: If you get off on the wrong foot with a concept, you can go a long way; but there’s a certain point beyond which you need to say, “This was a wrong turn, let’s go back to the very beginning and rethink this.”

JH: You have to back up, but when money is involved, time is involved, and reputations are involved, sometimes you can’t back up. And if you can’t back up and you can’t go ahead, the fate is sealed. That doesn’t seem to be acceptable either, but it often comes to a tragic end.

MH: And you were back to consulting.

JH: I was working for Rising Star as a consultant. From Stafla to Inner Access to Rising Star, I was a consultant. When Rising Star fell, it caught me off guard, and it took me until about March to find some other work. I took a job at Lockheed Research and Development in Palo Alto, and it turned out to be very interesting work. Lockheed’s research and development is right next to Stanford, and it gives the area around Lockheed an academic atmosphere. I thought it was an opportunity to get in and do something with Forth that wasn’t just grinding out code. Not that all my other jobs were just grinding out code — there were some opportunities to explore. That was in 1985, and now I have worked there almost three years.

MH: Programming in Forth?

JH: Yes. Our major department is called Applied Physics. As a subgroup, we are about 10 people; our sub-group is called “fast processors.” The idea is to build fast sensors. The leader of the group is very interested in Forth. Some are software
people, and the rest are hardware people. But in Forth, there is not a clear distinction between the software and hardware people. I have learned a lot more of hardware than I would ever have thought was possible.

Forth has opened my eyes to these things I at one time called black boxes. On the IBM 1130, I knew the language but I didn't know what the operating system did; I didn't know the drivers — everything but the language and application was a black box. There are no black boxes any more. Forth is so simple, I can cut through and see that all these things I called black boxes were probably overly built, complicated structures that didn't really need to be there. Maybe the machinery of the time was more primitive and that may have caused some of the complexity.

MH: What processor do you use now?

JH: When I first started at Lockheed, we were using Intel development machines, essentially 8086s and 286s running parallel processes. They communicated through a common memory on a bus. There were four independent cpu boards communicating through common memory, each board collecting, storing, manipulating, and logging the data.

Our group has now moved to the Novix 4016 chips. We found with the 4016 we can replace hardware with software. If somebody wants a sensor, we can take the 4016, attach it to whatever we want to sense, and run it fast enough that we don't need hardware in between. We don't need much hardware in front of us — maybe an A-to-D. We can do a lot of high-speed sensing.

We were using Computer Cowboy's boards. We have a project now to see if we can't put together a parallel Novix system, where a lot of Novix chips and boards are all working together.

MH: How many?

JH: We're starting with 10 independent cpus connected in parallel. We're begin-ning to do some of the software. It's a master-and-slaves concept, where the master decides what the whole project is all about and posts on a blackboard jobs to be
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done. The slave’s job is to take a task, do it, and post the results back on the blackboard, picking up the next task.

MH: Let’s talk a moment about FIG. What is your take on where FIG is going?

JH: FIG, not Forth, has come to a level, a plateau. My impression is that Forth is continuing to be used more and more, but it is somewhere underneath everything. It shows up continuously: Rapid File, VP Planner, the Canon Cat—all the things we point out as examples of where Forth is being used—they’re still growing, but FIG is plateaued at the moment.

MH: It sounds like FIG at the beginning was an incubator for the fledgling language and then, once the language was established, FIG looks around for a new direction. I feel as if FIG is still an entry for people to get into Forth, but FIG’s mission with regard to established Forth is not clear.

JH: You’re right. There wasn’t any use of Forth by the common programmer before FIG came along. The only two companies that existed were Forth, Inc. and Miller Microcomputer Services. The FIG group came along and decided that they wanted to build a people’s version of Forth. It was a good Forth, and that sparked an interest—now everybody could know about it, everybody could get involved.

Because Forth opens up these black boxes, people who didn’t know they could get into compilers, got into compilers. Before, you used languages, you didn’t inquire as to how they were constructed, why they were there, what they did. Forth was both language and operating system, so you opened up the operating system. You opened a lot of people’s eyes, who didn’t realize what power was there.

Martin Tracy calls these people hobbyists, but I would say they’re really professionals who got into this. They knew hardware, they knew software—they may have come from other disciplines, but they got into microcomputers at the beginning.

Nowadays, though, you don’t go out and buy an Imsai, an Altair, or a Sol and put it together yourself and put your own language into it. You go out and buy a Macintosh, and immediately you have applications sitting in front of you. You may want to go back down and write an application of your own, but you are going down to write the application, you’re going down from the level you were at. You’ve got to build the user interface, you’ve got to build a lot of things. So people have different expectations now. Earlier, people had no expectations at all—they had to build everything, and fig-FORTH was a tool to get them through it. That group of people—and they could be called hobbyists—I don’t think we can count on as much now.

Fifty percent of FIG are hobbyists, 50% are professionals. We have tried to decide how to support each. We’re coming to the conclusion that FIG now satisfies the hob-
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byists and is the base for the professionals, but it doesn’t satisfy the professionals completely. We’re looking for techniques to meet those professionals’ needs.

MH: And you would be interested to hear from any professionals as to what they need and want.

JH: We’d be interested to hear, but what they need and want is becoming clear. They need money, and they need moral support. They don’t want to hear their managers ask, “What’s Forth and why are you using it? Why aren’t you using C?” They want to hear their managers say, “We’ve heard about this wonderful idea you’ve got to use Forth.”

I think we can approach that. This is something we’ve been discussing at this convention: techniques of helping those people — maybe not directly, but indirectly, by opening management eyes.

MH: What steps do you think FIG needs to take?

JH: Communication, in all senses. In the past, we have left it up to Forth Dimensions and the chapters to communicate to our members. Now we have branched out into a newsletter that may go out monthly, we need chapters talking directly to other chapters (GEnie will help that), we need to encourage authors to write general interest articles that can go into magazines that are about applications, but not specifically about Forth.

If I could go back and do things over, I’d start by saying that we won’t promote Forth directly. I would take an indirect approach, go around the outside and present some really interesting applications. “Just look at these — aren’t these really useful to you? By the way, they’re written in Forth. And they’re ten man-year kind of projects that took two man-years to do, and the dollars per line of code is x.”

I would try that approach. I know many people along the way said that’s what we should be doing; but I didn’t hear it, and many of the rest of us didn’t hear it.

MH: One problem is that when you’re working in Forth, it’s so evident. It’s always hard to talk about the obvious. When you’re working in Forth you can tell how productive you’re being, you can tell how much you can do with how little. You can tell how your accumulated tools and understanding add up and give you increasing leverage in a way that I didn’t feel I had with Fortran or assembly language. It becomes so obvious it’s hard to explain. That’s where the idea of the Forth zealot came from: they say it’s real good, and when you ask them why, they can’t explain — it’s hard to explain, because it’s so obvious. They finally say, “Just use it.” And then you think, “Hmm. Zealot.”

JH: One curiosity that has bothered me is, why haven’t assembly language programmers used Forth as their language tool? It’s obvious that they have the power of writing an assembly language application using Forth and have the interactive ability right in front of them — which they don’t have in assembly. They just don’t have anything in the assembler along this line. That’s a real curiosity to me: why don’t we have those people using Forth. A large potential group, but I think the time’s past. Those days may have changed; they may be all using C now.

Mike Ham is dp product manager at CTB/McGraw-Hill in Monterey, California.

Rumor Stack
by The Inner Interpreter

One of the Big Ten (Five? Seven?) of Forth systems vendors is combing their in-house archives for utilities and/or applications to package as off-the-shelf products. (Whether they give credit, much less provide access, to the underlying Forth remains to be seen.) Did this departure from past practice come from new, middle-managerial blood (a total transfusion, I’m told), or was it the other way around? Of course, people have been saying for years that systems-only vendors can’t tackle the market size-and-share problem with just a limited ad budget. You can bet other vendors will be watching the experiment closely.

Meanwhile, a different big hitter appears to be quietly defecting to a less-frustrating market and a less-interesting language (see what I mean). Several programmers I know have been studying those tenuous straits, and now their arguments for Forth are more reasoned than rabid. But the dark side is more powerful than we know, Mike. What’s happening here?

A small but well-known Forth shop dropped out of sight a few years ago, but they are back with a proposal in hand for a tasty dollop of the government’s budgetary mouse. OK, this is premature, even as rumours go, but it’s the kind of project that could put the work of more than one Forth notable into the sights of the Great Chefs of Washington.

Question of the day: who will offer the first, full-blown Sourceless Forth? Our rangy wrangler, that computer cowboy, mentioned this in his Fireside Chat — and so did some FORML-goers. Good idea — I’d like to try one on for size. Soon, please?

(ABEND)

"The Inner Interpreter" listens — send him your juicy tidbits, clo Forth Dimensions. He’s careful, but be advised: the rumors he reports may be no more than rumors.
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<td>2nd Wed., 7 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wisconsin</strong></td>
<td>Lake Superior FIG Chapter</td>
<td>Lance Collins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65 Martin Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glen Iris, Victoria 3146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sydney Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Fri., 7 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>John Goodsell Bldg., Rm. LG19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of New South Wales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Belgium</strong></td>
<td>Belgium Chapter</td>
<td>Erik Van Loock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lariksdreef 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2120 Schoten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Belgium Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canada</strong></td>
<td>Northern Alberta Chapter</td>
<td>Tony Van Muyden (403) 962-2203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Halifax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nova Scotia Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. N. Solvinsff (416) 525-9140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ext. 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toronto Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact John Clark Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 230, Station H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DENMARK</strong></td>
<td>Forth Interesse Grupe</td>
<td>Erik Oestergaard, 1-520494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Copenhagen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>England</strong></td>
<td>Forth Interest Group U.K.</td>
<td>Jean-Marc Bertinchamps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rue N. Mornom, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B-6290 Naliones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Belgium</strong></td>
<td>Belgium Chapter</td>
<td>Contact Horst-Gunter Lynsche</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>France</strong></td>
<td>French Language Chapter</td>
<td>Contact Jean-Daniel Dodin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 Rue du Cagnier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31100 Toulouse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germany</strong></td>
<td>Hamburg FIG Chapter</td>
<td>John Carpenter (415) 960-1256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-166794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Holland</strong></td>
<td>Holland Chapter</td>
<td>Contact Adriaan van Roomalmen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2697 Breda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ireland</strong></td>
<td>Irish Chapter</td>
<td>Contact Luis Javier Parra B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apido Aereo 100394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy</strong></td>
<td>FIG Italia</td>
<td>Contact Marco Tausel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Via Gerolamo Fomi 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong></td>
<td>Japan Chapter</td>
<td>Contact Toshi Inoue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dept. of Mineral Dev. Eng.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Norway</strong></td>
<td>Bergen Chapter</td>
<td>Kjell Birger Faeraaas, 47-518-7784</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Republic of China</strong></td>
<td>FIGRAPH</td>
<td>Howard Pearlmutter (408) 425-8700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Howard Harawitz (902) 477-3665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Luis Javier Parra B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apido Aereo 100394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bogota 214-0345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Matt Lawrence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 180409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Lance Collins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65 Martin Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glen Iris, Victoria 3146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sydney Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Fri., 7 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>John Goodsell Bldg., Rm. LG19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Univ. of New South Wales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Peter Tregeagle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Binda Rd., Yowie Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/524-7490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Erik Van Loock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lariksdreef 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2120 Schoten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact John Clark Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 230, Station H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Adriaan van Roomalmen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2697 Breda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Luis Javier Parra B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apido Aereo 100394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bogota 214-0345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Jean-Daniel Dodin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 Rue du Cagnier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31100 Toulouse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Horst-Gunter Lynsche</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common Interface Alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schanzenstrasse 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000 Hamburg 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact John Clark Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 230, Station H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Luis Javier Parra B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apido Aereo 100394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bogota 214-0345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact John Clark Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 230, Station H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Luis Javier Parra B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apido Aereo 100394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bogota 214-0345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Groups**

- Apple Corps Forth Users Chapter
  - Contact: Chris Zielewski (504) 292-1910
  - Contact: John Carpenter (415) 960-1256
NOW AVAILABLE

object-oriented forth
Implementation of Data Structures

$20 EACH

FROM THE FORTH INTEREST GROUP

Forth Interest Group
P.O.Box 8231
San Jose, CA 95155