

## LOCAL VARIABLES

TRANSCENDENTAL FUNCTIONS

BIT-BASED TRUTH TABLES

READABLE FORTH

## IT'S ALL HERE: SPEED, TOOLS, FLEXIBILITY THE PC-RISC SYSTEM

- SPEED
- NC4016 RISC Engine
- 4 MHz operation runs at 5 MIPS
- 5 MHz operation runs at 6 MIPS
- 6 MHz operation runs at 7 MIPS
- Up to 40 MIPS with multiple PC4000s
- TOOLS


## SCForth2

- Multitasker switches tasks in 24 cycles
- Compiler optimizes over 150 phrases
- Loads from block or ASCII text files

PCX

- PC/PC4000 interface software with windowing
- On line help screens and full featured editor
- Concurrent PC operation

SC-C

- K\&R standard implementation for NC4016
- Full 64 K word address space
- In-line assembly code

SCMacro

- Full featured native code assembler
- Includes linker, editor and image generator


## FLEXIBILITY

- Coprocessor board for PC, XT, or AT
- General purpose development system
- Ideal for control or image processing
- OEM product inclusion

PC4000 5MHz . . . . $\$ 1,495$ SC-C . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 595$
PC4000 6MHz . . . . 11,695 SCMacro ........... . $\$ 595$
SILICON COMPOSERS, 210 California Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (415) 322-8763


## LOCAL VARIABLES•BY PETER ROSS <br> 9

Anonymous variables aren't the only way to implement local variables. An alternative is to copy or move items from the stack to storage allocated in the word that uses them. We can achieve this and preserve a convenient and readable syntax.

## VARIABLES FOR PROM-BASED PROGRAMS•BY RICHARD A. ALTIMUS <br> 12

Forth definitions typically deal with memory locations within the dictionary boundaries. But special problems arise with PROM-based systems. Usually, a target system will have a separate area of RAM for the storing variables. The task is to evolve a system of vectoring variable operations into this RAM area.

PALO ALTO SHIPPING CO. • AN INTERVIEW
17
These entrepreneurs went from college courses to professional programming, followed quickly by designing, writing, and selling Mach 2, their Forth for 68000-based micros. Michael Ham continues his series of interviews with Lori Chavez and Derrick Miley, advocates of an integrated, interactive Forth environment.

## TRANSCENDENTAL FUNCTIONS • BY PHIL KOOPMAN, JR.

21
The author of MVP-FORTH Integer and Floating Point Math had to implement quick, accurate, and relatively compact math functions. His research resulted in the equations presented here. (Don't even ask about the derivations....)

READABLE FORTH
BY CARL A. WENRICH
14
BIT-BASED TRUTH TABLES
BY JEAN-PIERRE SCHACHTER
23
FULLY INTERACTIVE fig-FORTH BY LARS-ERIK SVAHN
27
EXTENSIONS FOR F83
BY ANTHONY T. SCARPELLI 29

## EDITORIAL

Time was, computer-users groups were the loci of the micro revolutionaries, or at least of those who iconized them. They were like open-seating concerts for LEDheads who derived their main satisfaction from affiliation itself. At the meetings, whiz kids and garage-shop pioneers found other seekers of the satisfaction that accrues from each computing challenge well met. They ran improvisational meetings, or else surrendered to the procedural overhead created by the perennial joiners and organizers, who showed up in the lukewarm footsteps of the very first hackers.

The icons are gone now: the garage is full of cars, Woz went for his degree, and if you ask someone about Captain Crunch, chances are they'll give you directions to a grocery store. (Which, you might say, shows that not everything changes for the worse.)

The computer market broadened, and members began to expect their users groups to perform more like professional associations. Services and meeting agendas shifted (or groups splintered) because many new users were more interested in software, applications, and usefulness than in hardware, system utilities, and tricky code. Today, many members want more from their meetings than a visiting hacker; and groups need more from their members than physical attendance.

Participation and open communication enliven a group's responsiveness to its members and to changing conditions. I'd expect a healthy, long-lived group to offer orderly proceedings, educational and spe-cial-interest programs, both transactional
and transformational platforms for members, aid and comfort, and relevant public service. In every case, local leaders must step forward who will intelligently apply a group's general resources to the specific interests of its members.

I mention all this hoping that the leaders and organizing committees of FIG chapters (and potential ones) will take enough time, every year, to consider their groups' overall activities, goals, and interests. They should provide opportunities for consensual change in which members are directly involved; encourage diversity in order to stay flexible and lively; and use creative strategies to foster participation, enjoyment, and growth. A users group should rub minds together, returning more warmth and light than it requires.

*     *         * 

The fall season brings two of the Forth Interest Group's major events. Many of you will see this issue first at the Forth National Convention or the following FORML conference. We will cover highlights of those events in upcoming issues for those who cannot attend.

Meanwhile, keep working on your letters and articles for Forth Dimensions. We intend to publish the best and most interesting work from the Forth community, which is only possible if every reader thinks of himself as a potential contributing author. Write to the FIG office for a copy of the latest writers guidelines, we'd like to hear from you!
-Marlin Ouverson
Editor
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## LETTERS

## Novix Finbonacci

Dear Mr. Ouverson:
The July and August 1987 editions of BYTE compare various personal computers running six benchmarks. The August edition contains a comparison of the times given for their first benchmark, an algorithm that computes the 24th Fibonacci number 100 times. The times I have achieved on two systems using the Novix NC4016 Forth engine are:
System
Time (secs)
Delta Board:
(4 Mhz NC4016 CPU) 28.2

PC4000:

## ( 5 Mhz NC4016 CPU) <br> 22.6

As can be seen by comparing these times to those in the article, the NC4016running at a clock rate four times as slow as a DESKPRO 386 - executes this benchmark nearly twice as fast. Also, the cmFORTH implementation is much more concise and (to me, at least) less cryptic than the C version. And, of course, the word FIB can be run interactively and used independently of FIBTEST.

With this benchmark, the power of Forth and the NC4016 is directly shown. Just imagine what can be done with an NC4016 running at 16 Mhz !

## Douglas Ross <br> NASA <br> Goddard Space Flight Center <br> Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

## New SEARCH for (Z80) F83

## Dear Mr. Ouverson,

R.L. Hoffpauer's code SEARCH (FD IX/2) was a very welcome addition to my

```
100 CONSTANT NTIMES ( NUMBER OF TIMES TO COMPUTE FIB VALUE )
    24 CONSTANT NUM ( BIGGEST ONE WE CAN COMPUTE IN 16 BITS )
: FIB ( U1 -- U2 ) RECURSIVE
        DUP 2 > IF DUP 1 - FIB SWAP 2 - FIB +
                        ELSE DROP I
                THEN ;
: FIBTEST ( -- )
    CR NTIMES U. ." ITERATIONS: "
    O ( MAKE SURE SOMETHING IS ON STACK )
    NTIMES 1 - FOR DROP NUM FIB NEXT
    CR ." Fibonacci(" NUM 2 U.R ." ) = " U. ;
```

already cluttered desk. I had been trying, off and on, to write machine code to replace the high-level F83 SEARCH on my Z80-based system, and was getting nowhere fast. Not being an 8088 programmer, I hadn't thought of using an index, not only to point, but also to count. This is what comes of not working on IBM PCs.

But while I was doing the conversion, I realized that Mr. Hoffpauer's code can be improved, both from a theoretical and a practical standpoint.

Theory first: Mr. Hoffpauer uses an UNTIL structure for his main loop. This is erroneous, since it means the routine will go right through a loop even if the string sought is longer than the buffer. What is actually needed is a WHILE (because we may not do any comparing at all). On the other hand, Mr . Hoffpauer uses a WHIIE for his inner loop, i.e., comparison of the string once the first character has been found. This is again mistaken: an UNTIL structure really is necessary this time because we will, in any event, make at least one comparison. All we have to do is initialize the inner-loop string
pointer/counter to zero, then increment it at the start of the loop, rather than at the end. And, of course, change the test.

Forth is, after all, a structured language. Let's keep it that way, even when we're translating our algorithms into machine code; and it's essential that algorithms use the correct structures for a given problem.

On the practical side, I decided to shorten the code by storing the initial character of the string in an anonymous variable. This way, it is only tested for capitalization once, before the main loop begins, and thereafter is read directly in the form needed for comparison. (This gives rise to some stack gymnastics at assembly time.) I also took advantage of the fact that F83 uses pure flags to test by INCing BX instead of CMPing it with zero, saving space and time on each test.

The accompanying screens give my Z80 version (in 8080 , because that was the assembler incorporated in the F83 I bought; and I cannot metacompile a version with a Z80 assembler, because my

```
ASSEMBLEF LABEL >UP ASCII a # AL CMF >=
IF ASCII z # AL CMF <= IF 20 # AL SUE THEN THEN RET
HERE (Hold address? NOF \ Reserve one byte for string initial
CODE SEARCH (s sadr slen badr blen -- offset flag)
    CLD
    CX FOF
    DX FOF
    DX CX SUE
    SI FUSH
    EX SI XCHG
    O [DI] AL MOV
    CAFS #) EX MOV EX INC O=
    IF >UF #) CALL THEN
    AL OVER ( Assemble address) #) MOV
    BEGIN
        CX SI CMF <=
    WHILE
        4 ROLL ( Assemble adr) #) AH MOV \ Get str-initial to AH
        AL LODS
        CAFS #) BX MOV BX INC O=
        IF >UF #) CALL THEN
        AH AL CMF O=
        IF
            SI FUSH
            O # EX MOV
            BEGIN
                EX INC
                O [DI+BX] AL MOV
                EX FUSH \ Save s-index
                CAFS #) BX MOV EX INC O= \ Case sensitive?
                IF >UF #) CALLL THEN \ Convert if not
                AH AL XCHG
                AL LODS
                CAFS #) BX MOV BX INC O= \ Case sensitive?
                IF >UP #) CALL THEN \ Convert if not
                BX FOF
                AH AL CMP O<>
            UNTIL
            SI POF
            DX BX CMF >=
            IF
                    BX POF
                    EX SI SUE SI DEC
                    DX FOF
                    DX SI XCHG
                    -1 # AX MOV
                    2PUSH
            THEN
        THEN
    REPEAT
    DX FOP \ Clean up stack
    SI POP
    AX AX XOR
    2PUSH
END-CODE
```

computer only has about 12 K workspace - see note on IBMs above). I also propose my modification of Mr. Hoffpauer's code.

Thanks a lot to the whole team for the magazine.
Sincerely,
Martin Guy
9 rue de la Peupleraie
71500 Chateaurenaud
France

## Batcher's Last Re-Sort

Dear Marlin,
I was very gratified to see the responses to the article about Batcher's Sort. I would like the chance to comment on some of the things that were not clear in the article.

Mr. Anway is correct. The flag-passing involving $Q$ is not as clear as it could be. Originally, Q existed only as a stack value, and was made explicit only for publication. Doing this as an afterthought resulted in a messy structure, which was cleared up thanks to Mr. Anway's help.

Mr. Thomas' letter initially shocked me. It is a fundamental characteristic of Batcher's method that it is data independent, so I was really surprised by Mr. Thomas' claim to the contrary. I doublechecked Knuth and verified that duplicate data values are explicitly allowed. Then I tried sorting data with duplicates, as Mr. Thomas suggested. No difficulty: He reads the word $2 * * N$ as the square of an argument N , whereas the intended meaning is to return 2 raised to the Nth power. Mr. Anway's code presents a correct implementation of $2 * * N$. I had thought this was in the Forth-79 Standard Reference Word Set, so I didn't define it in the article. On checking, I find that it is not there, and I apologize for this omission and the confusion it may have caused.

I have since found an unusual use of sorting I'd like to share: I have an application that acquires a signal from a fast ADC and plots it in graphics. Useful insights into the experiment being performed can be obtained by sorting the data and replotting it. The sorted data is often grouped around several fixed levels, rather than being smoothly distributed, as I had expected this was not obvious from looking at plots of the original data.

Sincerely yours, John Konopka c/o Kevex Corporation 1101 Chess Drive Foster City, California 94404

## Tim Lee's Long Names Dear Marlin,

I was pleased to receive the courtesy copies of Forth Dimensions containing Mike Ham's interview with me. Thank you!

While reading the interview, I had an impression similar to hearing my own voice on tape ("Is that what I sound like?"). One thing in particular made me laugh, the part that has me endorsing the use of long definitions without comments!

Well, I'd like to set the record straight on this: what I meant to say is that I'm using longer word names and shorter definitions. When I write a new definition, I try to make the words it contains read in English as well as in Forth. For example:

```
: dither_screen ( -- )
dither_color
graphics_page_fill ;
```

If it happens that, after combining definitions made this way, the resulting definition doesn't express a clear idea in English, it is often a clue that I haven't partitioned the problem correctly. Then I revise the names and/or functions of the words that compose the current definition.

I've been using this method for the past year, and continue to find it rewarding in the solutions that it reveals. The few extra characters required to specify a longer name each time I type it, is a cost that is offset by being able to work at a higher level of abstraction. (There are functions that cannot be accurately identified with a single word. The blurring of distinctions that results from combining fuzzily named functions limits the level of abstraction that can be attained.)

Please publish this clarification so impressionable new Forth programmers don't start writing lengthy, uncommented definitions; and so my more experienced friends won't point and laugh when I show up at the Forth Convention!
Sincerely,
Tim Lee
Binary Systems
(Continued on page 15.)

## FORTH SOURCE ${ }^{\text {™ }}$

## WISC CPU/16

The stack-oriented "Writeable Instruction Set Computer" (WISC) is a new way of harmonizing the hardware and the application program with the opcode's semantic content. Vastly improved throughput is the result.
Assembled and tested WISC for
IBM PC/AT/XT $\$ 1500$
Wirewrap Kit WISC for IBM PC/AT/XT \$ 900
WISC CPU/16 manual
\$ 50
MVP-FORTH
Stable - Transportable - Public Domain - Tools You need two primary features in a software development package. . a a stable operating system and the ability to move programs easily and quickly to a variety of computers. MVP-FORTH gives you both these features and many extras.
MVP Books - A Series
Vol. 1, All about FORTH. Glossary
Vol. 2, MVP-FORTH Source Code.
$\$ 25$
Vol. 3, Floating Point and Math
Vol. 4, Expert System
Vol. 5, file Management System
Vol. 6, Expert Tutorial
VII. 7, FORTH GUIDE

Vol. 8, MVP-FORTH PADS
Vol. 9, Work/Kalc Manual
\$3 portable FORTH
MVP-FORTH Programmer's Kit including disk, documentation. Volumes $1,2 \& 7$ of MVP Series, FORTH Applications, and Starting FORTH, IBM, Apple, Amiga, CP/M, MS-DOS PDP-11 and others. Specify.
$\square$ MVP-FORTH Enhancement Package for IBM Programmer's Kit. Includes full screen editor \& MS-DOS file interface. $\$ 110$
$\square$ MVP-FORTH Floating Point and Math $\square$ IBM, $\square$ Apple, or $\square$ CP/M, $8^{\circ}$. $\$ 75$
$\square$ MVP-LIBFORTH for IBM. Four disks of enhancements
$\square$ MVP-FORTH Screen editor for IBM. \$15 $\square$ MVP-FORTH Graphics Extension for $\square$ IBM or $\square$ Apple

880
$\square$ MVP-FORTH PADS (Professional Application Development System) An integrated system for customizing your FORTH programs and applications. PADS is a true professional development systern. Specity Computer: $\square$ IBM $\square$ Apple $\$ 500$
$\square$ MVP-FORTH Floating Point Math $\$ 100$
$\square$ MVP-FORTH Graphics Extension $\$ 80$
$\square$ MVP-FORTH EXPERT-2 System for learning and developing knowledge based programs. Specify $\square$ Apple, $\square$ IBM, or $\square C P / M^{8 \prime}$.
$\$ 100$
Order Numbers:
800-321-4103
(In California) 415-961-4103
FREE
CATALOG

## MOUNTAIN VIEW PRESS

PO DRAWER $X$
Mountain View, CA 94040

## Guy screens:



## Go FORTH ${ }^{6}$ <br> The ProDOS Forth Language implementation for the Apple Computer //e,//c,//gs and ///

FORTH is more than just a high level language that combines many of the features of other computer languages. It is a development environment and a method of approaching problem solving. FORTH is a 'grass roots' language, developed and enhanced in the real world by working programmers who needed a language that they could USE. Many of the concepts of FORTH are several years ahead of other languages of today. It is a language as interactive as Applesoft Basic, yet, unlike Applesoft, you don't have to pay the price in slow execution speed. Programs written totally in FORTH are usually faster than programs written in C or Pascal and a heck of a lot smaller. Best of all, FORTH has a large library of public domain programs.

Go FORTH is the new FORTH language implementation for the Apple ${ }^{\circledR 3} / / \mathrm{e}, / / \mathrm{c}, / / \mathrm{gs}$ (//e emulation mode, full //gs version late Fall) and the Apple ${ }^{(®)} / 1 /$. It is $100 \%$ ProDOS ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\operatorname{SOS}{ }^{\circledR}$ supported. Go FORTH code is intercompatable with all Go FORTH supported machines machines. Go FORTH is for the hobbyist, the systems developer, the applications writer, anyone who wants to leam and use the powerful FORTH language.

Go FORTH comes with its manual and an assortment of utilities in its SCREEN file. Many other utilities and support systems will be available soon. For beginners, we highly recommend the Starting Forth manual, and we recommend the Go FORTH Toolkit series for everyone!

ONLY \$69.95 Complete, order \#5807

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Go FORTH Toolkit \#1 (Applsoft-like commands/utilities): } & \$ 49.95 \text {, order \#5809 } \\
\text { Starting Forth by Leo Brodie (The training manual for Forth): } & \$ 21.95 \text {, order \#5706 }
\end{array}
$$

Add $\$ 1.00$ Shipping and handling per item.
24 HOUR VISA / MASTERCARD ORDER LINES
California Only: (800) 541-0900. Outside California: (800) 334-3030. Outside U.S.A. : (619) 941-5441
PAIR SOFTWARE (916) 485-6525
3201 Murchison Way, Carmichael, California 95608
Apple //e, //c, //gs and///, ProDOS and SOS are registered trademarks of Apple Computer, Inc. No affiliation with Pair Software

## FORTH-83

## LOCAL

T
he anonymous variables of Leonard Morgenstern ( $F D \mathrm{VI} / 1$ ) are not the only way to ease the problems of juggling the stack and writing readable code by implementing local variables. An alternative is to copy or move items from the stack to storage allocated in the word that uses them. Suppose we have a double and a single number on the stack, and that we would like them copied to local variables DBL and SNGL. We can achieve this, together with a convenient and readable syntax, by defining compiler words \{, \},DBL, and SNGL so that we can write, for example,

```
: TEST (dn--)
    { DBL SNGL }
    SNGL @ DBL @
    D. . . D. ;
```

where the curly brace construction causes the enclosed items to be copied to storage but not removed from the stack, and the later references SNGL and DBL push the appropriate storage addresses to the stack so that values may be fetched or stored. Since SNGL and DBL are compiler words, they can be used in the same way in other definitions without interference. How can we define the required words?

## Definitions

Definitions are given in the accompanying screen listings. The word $\{$ is very simple. It is an immediate word that initializes a counter and switches from compilation state to interpret state. Local variable reference words that follow must leave their parameter field addresses on the stack and increment the counter. The word $\}$ then
has enough information to allocate the required amount of storage in the dictionary and to store the appropriate dictionary addresses in the local variable reference words, making them temporary pointers to the local storage. It also compiles the address of a primitive word ( $\}$ ) that copies the stack items at run time, together with the number of items. Finally, it resets the compile state.

All that remains is to ensure that the local variable reference words DBL and SNGL, etc., push the appropriate storage addresses (now stored in their parameter fields) to the stack at run time. This is easily achieved by compiling the addresses as literals. It is most convenient to use a special defining word LOCAL to define these words. LOCAL takes from the stack a value specifying the number of cells the variable occupies, and compiles it into the parameter field after the space allocated for the storage address. LOCAL defines the word to be IMMEDIATE and specifies its behavior during interpreting, when it must push its parameter field address to the stack and increment the word pointer; and its behavior during compiling, when it must compile the address in its parameter field as a literal. Our words above can then be defined very easily as:
2 LOCAL DBL
1 LOCAL SNGL
where there is no restriction other than stack size on the number of cells a local variable can use.

The primitive ( \{ \}) to copy stack items is given in Forth but, for speed comparable with other stack operations, it should be
written as a code word in assembly language. The Forth definition assumes that the top item of the return stack points to the next item in the parameter field of the word using ( $\{$ ) ), i.e., to the number of stack items to be copied. Not all Forth systems satisfy this assumption, and appropriate adjustments may be needed.

Forth's power is shown by the compactness of the words defined so far. However, this is an invitation to add more. One useful extension is to define a word $-\}$ with the accompanying primitive ( $\{-\}$ ) to move items from the stack to storage, instead of copying them. Thus, we can write
\{ DBL SNGL - \}
where the syntax shows that items are removed from the stack. The definition of $-\}$ is identical to that of $\}$, except that the primitive $(\{-\})$ is compiled in place of ( $[ \}$ ).

Another useful extension is to push values to the stack, like CONSTANT, rather than addresses, like VARIABLE. I have used a defining word LOCALVALUE which acts like LOCAL except that, during compilation, one of two special primitives (1LV@) and (LV@) is compiled. (LV@) is the more general, pushing a value of any cell length to the stack at run time; but it requires the length to be stored in the following byte, followed by the storage address. (1LV@) assumes a cell length of one, and requires only the address. Special primitives could also be defined for double and triple numbers. Again, the primitives should be code words so that references to local values will take no more time than
(Continued on page 13.)

```
GCF # 2
    0 (Local variables )
    I.
& (<) ( ... חO ........ nO)
    FG I+F% CO (storase addr, # of 16-bit items k)
    It 1 HO I FICK゙ OUEF ! 2t LOOF ( cofs items)
    FF (mFgate instruction wointer) ;
: {. ( ---)
    O [COMFILE] [ ; IMMELIATF:
```



```
        COMFTLE ({}) HEFE SFi 1 ALLOT ( for item count k)
        O ( item courit) SWAF O
        MO SWAF HEFSE DUEF ! ( storase addr - refererice word)
            2t CO (# of items in variable) IUFF 2 * ALLOT + LOOF
        F% C! ( total * of items k.) ] {
5CF # 3
O (Local variables)
1.
2 : LOCAL ( C--) ( --) ( n --- rfa nit1)
        CFEATE O , C, IMMEIIIATE
        IOES% STATE R
            IF [ [COMFILE] LITEFiAL ELSE SWAF 1+ THEN %
    ; ({--}) ( rik. . . n,0 ...- n, m)
    FG 1+Fi% CO O IO SWAF OUEF ! 2+ LOOF FFi ;
    !--j (Ffan .. Ffal n ...-) (rik. ... no -... nik.)
        COMFILE ({--}) HEFEE OFG 1 ALLOT O SWAF O
        OO SWAF HEFE OUEF ! 2+ CG IUGF 2 * ALLOT + LOOF
        F% C!] %
14
15
SCF # 4
    ( Local. values)
    ; (ilu@) ( --- value)
    F% IUNF 2+ aF @ @ ;
    : (Lu@) ( --- value)
    F(e CO IUNF 2 * Fi` 1+ IUUF 2+ FFi O + SWAF O
    MO 2- IUF: SWAF LOOF LIFOF';
    \ddagger LOCALVALUE ( c -...) ( .....) ( nו-\cdots Ffa m+1)
        CFEATE O, C, IMMEIIIATE
        LIOES% STATE G
        IF IIUF 2+ C@ IUNF 1 =
            IF COMFILE (ILVE) IIROF
            ELSE COMFILE (LVE) C, THEN E,
        ELSE SWAF 1+ THEN ;
```

```
SCF # %
    O ( local variables ... examFle )
    I.
    (Assumes floatins Foint extensioms that use the Forth stack )
    3 ( Local variables are theri hishly desirable )
    1. LOCAL V1
    1 LOCAL. V%
    1. LOCALUALUE F
    IIECTMAL
    9
10: IF { agrri andr2 n -- r)
11 ( set inmer Froduct r of ff vectors at adidrl and addra )
12 { v1 v2 ni --\cdots}
13 OEO n O
```



```
1.5
SCF # 6
    ( Local variables - Frimitives )
    (for MM MasterForth 6SO: Assembler)
    COLE ({}) ( ... nO -- ... nO)
    (cofy tor k items from stack to local storase )
        XSAUE STX IF )Y LIIA ,A ASL N STA N It STA TNY
        1. L: EOT LIIA IF )Y STA INX INY N 1+ IIEC 1. L# ENE
            N INC CLC N LIA IF AIIC IF STA 2 L# ECC IF 1+ INC
        2 L: XSAUE LIIX NEXT JMF C;
    COLIE ({--}) ( rik. .. no --- rik)
    (move tof k items from stack to locel storase )
            IF )Y LIIA , A ASL N STA N 1+ STA INY
        1 L: HOT LIAA IF )Y STA INX INY N 1+ IIEC 1. L# ENE:
        N INC CLC N LIIA IF AIIC IF STA 2 L# BCC IF 1.t INC
        2 L: NEXT JMF C;
    SCF # 7
    O ( local values - frimitives )
    I (for MM MasterForth 6502 Assembler)
    2
    COME (1LVO) (-- value)
    (fush a sinisle value to the stack.)
        IF )Y LIMA N STA INY IF )Y LIMA N 1+ STA IIEY
        CLC 2 # LIAA IF AIIC IF STA 1 I.# ECCC IF 1+ INC
        1 L:N OY LIIA FHA INY N OY LIIA FUSH JMF C;
        8
        CONE (LUO) ( -- value)
10 ( Fush multi-celled value to the stack. )
11 2 LIIY JF )Y LIIA N J+ STA IIEY IF )Y LIIA N STA
12 LEY IF )Y LIIA A ASL TAY
1.3 1 L.. IEX IEY N OY LIIA FOT STA O # CFY 1 L# ENE
14 CLC 3 LIMA IF AICC IFF STA 2L# ECC IFF 1+ INC
15 2 L: NEXT JMF C;'
```

Forth is a dictionary-oriented language. Definitions typically deal with memory locations within the dictionary boundaries. The standard treatment of variables in Forth locates variables in the dictionary, right alongside definitions of executable words and constants. Problems arise, however, when a particular application is targeted for a PROM-based system. Although this presents no problems with a majority of definitions, variables must be handled separately, or they will quickly become constants. Usually, a target system which will run from PROM will have a separate area of RAM set aside for the purpose of storing variables. The task is to evolve a system of vectoring variable operations into this RAM area.

## Constraints on Method Design

Several constraints must be observed. The method must monitor RAM address allocation in order to produce a vector address into RAM which is unique to one variable, and to ensure that these addresses are within legal RAM boundaries. The method must compile pertinent parameters into the dictionary, so that they are retained in the PROM-based system. The method must produce definitions which yield, on execution, an address into RAM which is consistent with existing Forth definitions, such as @ and !. The method must produce variable definitions that perform identically, whether the dictionary is based in RAM or in PROM.

There are several other desirable performance characteristics the method should have. It should shield the user from
address and allocation details, so that variables can be dealt with as a high-level function. The method should be capable of handling multi-dimensional variables, so that arrays and string variables are possible. The method should be capable of handling variables of differing width, such as onebyte, two-byte, etc. The method should be capable of some diagnostic capability, such as array overrun detection and RAM boundary-violation detection. The method should provide "familiar looking" subscripting; in other words, a subscripted variable reference should resemble, as closely as possible, array-access formats of other high-level language, so that the resulting expression is easily recognizable as an array function (example: variable-name \{a,b,c \}).

By observing these constraints, a method will be developed which will be fully compatible with existing Forth utilities while, at the same time, approaching the variable-handling capabilities of highlevel languages. This method will be relatively simple to implement while, more importantly, being consistent and flexible in use.

## Specifying the Structure

of the Method
Under the new method, two pointers are needed. The first pointer contains the address of the next free byte of RAM, which will be used for defining the next variable. A utility must perform the 'allot' function on the RAM area. The second pointer contains the address of the last usable byte of RAM, which can be used to detect bound-
ary violations. Preferably, these two pointers are located in RAM, so the user can alter the pointer values and deal with multiple RAM areas. These pointers can be defined in two ways: as a constant (that constant being the address containing the pointer) or as a colon definition (the name of the word is the pointer name, and the definition consists of placing the address containing the pointer on top of the stack). These pointers must be initialized, by the user, to handle the RAM area in the system being used.

By storing these pointers as constants in the dictionary (prior to burning PROMs) and defining a word to restore these constants on power-up, a user can take advantage of the unused RAM area for interactive variable definition in a PROM-based system. This procedure guarantees that variables defined interactively will not interfere with previously defined variables in the PROM-based dictionary.

The dictionary entry for a RAM-based variable should consist of a standard Forth header (NFA, LFA, CFA, and PFA). Compiled into the PFA, consecutively, should be the following:

- base address vector
- number of dimensions
- individual dimensions limits
- number of bytes in each entry

These values will be retained in the PROMbased dictionary. Upon execution, the PFA is left on the stack. The subscript-handling words will construct the absolute address from the information supplied in the array reference. The opening bracket will create
an intermediate stack that contains pertinent information for the next subscripthandling word. The subscript separator will resolve the previously given dimension and add this count to the offset, leaving the intermediate stack for the next sub-script-handling word. The closing bracket resolves the supplied dimension, resolves any undeclared dimensions to zero, and produces the absolute address.

Each subscript entered in a variable reference is verified against the limit for that dimension, to prevent boundary violations. If a boundary violation is detected, an appropriate message is generated and the maximum value for the dimensions is substituted. This yields a usable address, although it is not the requested location. This also prevents altering data outside the area being accessed.

## Summary

The need exists for a method of handling high-level variables which can be used in PROM-based systems. By observing the above-mentioned constraints, a method can be derived which is easy to use, yet flexible enough to meet future needs.

Richard A. Altimus is a test engineer in the Programmable Controller Systems division of Allen-Bradley.
(Continued form page 9.)
other stack operations.
Note that local variable and value references remain valid until they appear in another curly brace construction. Note also that any number of curly brace constructions can appear in the same definition, although more storage will be allocated each time. Except for simple definitions, the storage penalty for using local variables will be partly offset by a saving in stack manipulations, and the code should run faster. The biggest bencfits are in ease of programming and in readability. Using lower case for local variable and value reference words further enhances readability.

> Peter Ross is a research scientist who uses Forth professionally for instrument control anddata acquisition, and is a member of the Australian Society of Soil Science.
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T
here seems to be an ongoing argument between those who do and those who do not feel that Forth code is readable. It is probably safe to say that Forth allows programmers to write eminently intelligible or horrendous code. In this article, I will describe one way to achieve better readability

## The Method

One of the most powerful features of Forth is its extensible compiler. It allows us to create cross-assemblers and metacompilers, even other languages. We will focus here on the idea of creating a language within a language.

Let us assume a hypothetical case where we have a talented, software-department manager supervising a less-than-talented crew. (Of course, I have never encountered this sort of thing myself, but in an infinite universe, all things are possible.) Mr. Manager would dearly love to use the Laxen \& Perry F83 package to develop software for all of his company's projects. But he knows he will have a difficult time finding people who know how to use it.

What he needs to do is write a little, easy-to-learn language (one that, perhaps, looks like the Pascal taught in school), and place it on top of the Forth.

## The Language

Before we get into an actual example, it might be a good idea to list some probable design specifications. The first thing we will need is a postfix-to-infix convertor. This will help make the listings more readable, not only for raw recruits, but also for other department heads.

Another feature would be a data-type declaration capability. Of course Forth already has this, but since we don't want the junior varsity getting their hands on it, we had better bring a version of it into the new language. The list of features that could be included is, of course, limited only by the imagination of Mr. Manager.
> "We will focus here on the idea of creating a language within a language."

## The Example

There is an excellent article by Michael Stolowitz (FD IV/6) and another by Craig A. Lindley ( $F D$ VII/1,2) describing how algebraic (infix) expressions can be evaluated. The first three screens of this example are a variation on that theme, so there is no need to explain them here.

What I have added to it begins in screen 4. The defining word INTEGER is a cross between CONSTANT and VARIABLE. Actually, it is nothing more than a selffetching variable. But in the new language, we will use it to declare single-length integers, so the name INTEGER is more appropriate.

The LET . . . NOW pair allows us to assign a value to a previously declared integer. After declaring $X$ to be an integer, we could assign it an initial value by writing:
LET $X=0$ NOW
There are a few syntax rules to be ob-
served (even though none are being checked in this example). The first word after LET must be a declared integer, and the second word must be the equal sign. Between the equal sign and the word NOW, there must be an algebraic expression.

The algebraic expression may be a single-length literal (such as the zero above), or a combination of single-length literals and declared integers. So an expression to the right of the equal sign can be evaluated, and the result is assigned to the declared integer on the left. This allows us to set up a loop counter by writing:

## LET $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}+1$ NOW

The DISPLAY word allows us to display the value of a declared integer at the terminal. It does essentially the same thing as . (dot) in Forth, except that instead of popping a value from the data stack, it takes it from the input stream. So we can display the value of $X$ by writing:
DISPLAY X
The SHOW word does the same thing as DISPLAY, except that instead of displaying the value of $x$, it interprets it as an ASCII code and displays the corresponding character (like EMIT does in Forth). If $X$ were set to 7 , then DISPLAY $X$ would display a 7 at the terminal, whereas SHOW X would beep it.

Control structures will have to be changed so that we can get away from the data stack. Don't get me wrong ... I love the data stack. But phrases like SWAP OVER DUP are notorious for adding confusion, so I would suggest avoiding its use. It is easy enough to do and well worth the effort.

All we have to do is define IF so that it simply begins the evaluation of an alge-
braic expression whose result will be interpreted as a Boolean value (true or false). THEN will terminate and evaluate the expression and conditionally branch, depending upon the Boolean result. ELSE is the same as it is in Forth, but ENDIF will now serve where Forth's THEN used to go. For example, we might write:
IF $X<33$ THEN
DISPLAY X
ELSE
SHOW X
ENDIF
Looping is again easily converted to an infix version. BEGIN simply marks the start of a loop as in Forth. FOREVER marks the end of an infinite loop like Forth's AGAIN.

The WHILE function is duplicated by the IF ... STAY pair. As long as the algebraic expression between IF and STAY is true, the looping between BEGIN and END will continue. For example, we could display the digits zero through nine by writing:
LET $\mathrm{X}=$ NOW
BEGIN
IF $\mathrm{X}<10$ STAY
DISPLAY $X$
LET $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}+1$ NOW
END
The UNTIL function is similarly dupli-

| TNTEGEF | $x$ | LET | $\chi=$ | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEGER | CF' | LEET | $\mathrm{CF}=$ | 13 | NOW |
| INTEGEF | LFF | LEET | LFF $=$ | 10 | NOW |
| INTEGEF | SF' | LET | $S F=$ | उe | NOW |

: EXAMFLEE BEGIN
DISFLAY $X$
IF $X \geqslant$ THEN SHOW $x$
ENDIF
IF $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{B}$ ( $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{XTHEN}$ SHOW CF SHOW LF
E1. SE
SHOW SF
END IF
LET $X=X+\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{NOW}$
IF $X=12 \Omega$ LEAVE
END
!
cated by the IF ... LEAVE pair. In this case, the looping will continue until the algebraic expression between IF and LEAVE is true. We could display those digits just as easily by writing:
LET $\mathrm{X}=0$ NOW
BEGIN
DISPLAY X
LET $X=X+1$ NOW
IF $\mathrm{X}=10$ LEAVE
END

Just for jollies, Figure One is a little program that will display ASCII codes.

The author points out that he wrote this article to make a point, not to provide readers with a new language. For that, his usual rates apply.

## (Continued from page 6.)

## F83 Execution Security

Dear Marlin,
It is now time to look at execution security for F 83 on the PC, especially because of the lack of a reset button. This works the same as before ( $F D I X / 2$ ). XSECUR is the patch. XSECURITY installs it, and UNSECURE uninstalls it.

## Sincerely,

G.R. Jaffray, Jr.

3536 Angelus Ave.
Glendale, California 91208

```
HEX ASSEMBLER
LABEL XSECU1 0 [BX] JMP
LABEL XSECUR AX LODS 89 C, C3, C,
    O [BX] AX MOV AX PUSH AX DEC AX DEC'
    BX AX CMP AX POP XSECU1 JE
    ' QUIT @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    1 UNNEST @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    1 RMARGIN @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    1 BL @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    - BASE @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    1 KEY @ # AX CMP XSECUl JE
    1 EMIT @ # AX CMP XSECU1 JE
    AX BX MOV O [BX] AL MOV
    E9 # AL CMP XSECUl JE 103 #) JMP
CODE XSECURITY
    >NEXT # BX MOV E9 # AL MOV
    AL O [BX] MOV BX INC XSECUR
    >NEXT 3 + - # AX MOV
    AX O [BX] MOV >NEXT # JMP C;
CODE UNSECURE >NEXT # BX MOV
    AD # [BX] MOV BX INC
    8B # [BX] MOV BX INC
    D8 # [BX] MOV >NEXT #) JMP C;
DECIMAL FORTH
```

Wenrich screens:


# PALO ALTO SHIPPING CO. 

Analysts like to proclaim the end of the low-budget, high-tech startup, but more than a few challengers disprove the rule. FD interviewer Michael Ham caught up with Lori Chavez and Derrick Miley a year after their company first released its Forth system for the Macintosh.

MH: How did you get involved in Forth?
DM: Stanford has a "smart products" course in which mechanical engineers for the Master's year learn how to integrate mechanical systems and control them with microcomputers. That's where, basically, our entire company came from: the Smart Products Design Lab at Stanford.

MH: Were you all in it the same year?
DM: No. Aleksey Novicov taught us, and then I taught Lori.

MH: Three generations of a Master's Degree program.

LC: Actually four generations, because the next year they used the core of our Forth.

MH: How big is Palo Alto Shipping Company?

DM: Oh, we have about 1400 square feet! [laughs] There are three of us now. Aleksey decided that Europe was very interesting, so that's where he is.

MH: And Terry Noyes is on sabbatical now, right?

DM: Terry just got done jamming on the Atari, and now he's doing some consulting independently. Tim Lee is going to help us with Atari maintenance and things. Also, to get the Amiga done, we are going to work Tim into the schedule.

MH: I wanted to ask about the high quality of packaging for Palo Alto Shipping Company's products. Who is the packaging genius in your company?

LC: What do you mean by packaging? The components of the product?

## "I was ecstatic when we hit two sales."

MH: I mean that what you sell looks like a product. It has a box, a binder, documentation - it looks complete, it looks like a real product.

LC: Our first product, for those who got it, wasn't quite as glossy. It's been a learn-as-you-go process. We didn't know how to make a manual, how to put a package together, and what you saw at this show was a year's worth of knowledge. It came together nicely. It took us a while to realize that the binder format was the way to go.

MH: Why is that the way to go?
LC: Well, it's the way to go if you can afford it. At $\$ 49.95$ we couldn't - we had to do the manual's binding like a paperback
book. A binder is the way to go, because you can keep the customers updated on documentation. When you print the manual as a paperback, you pretty much have to buy a new version whenever there's a change.

DM: And Apple changes. The Mac is our big product and it changes almost monthly. They'll bring out a new manager and surprise everyone. Or a new machine.

MH: How has Apple been about keeping you vendors informed?

DM: We have a line to Apple, we get all their technical docs, and we're always there. They have a mechanism that allows us to get the documentation we need.

MH: How did you decide to target the Mac?

DM: Availability. And we didn't want to compete with the company targeting the PC.

MH: And it's getting hot again.
LC: Well, it was a hot, new machine then. And, being at school, we found a lot of Macs. Apple really pushes it at the university.

MH: The Mac seems to be on the move again, and they're not going to let it die.

DM: I also had PDP-11 background; that was my firstassembly language. And when
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you see PDP-11 and then you see 68000, you just know you don't want to go with Intel.

MH: Three graduate students fresh out of school starting a company - interesting. Did any of you do other work outside the university before starting this company?

DM: We met at a start-up in Fremont funded by Dysan. They were developing a new Forth, and that was where we learned Forth and how to write it. And we saw limitations in the product we were using. We saw there was a way to do an even better implementation; that's where we learned how to do it and how to do it better.

MH: That was before the Stanford course, or after?

## DM: After Stanford.

LC: A year after graduating.
MH: How was the company born? One night you were sitting around saying, "Hey, I've got a garage, and you've got a computer; we could get together and put on a real show!"

DM: Lori and I were graduating, Terry came back from a winter in Germany, and we just came together and said, "Let's do it."

MH: Was there a historic placemat, as with Compaq?

DM: We were all sitting around a queensized bed-

LC: -crammed in a dorm room-

MH: How did the jobs parcel out among the four of you in the start-up year?

DM: Aleksey was the vision. He and I would bat around what it was going to be. He did the research and looked at everything that we would do. And Lori did the documentation; the whole manual was written by Lori. Terry and I did the grunt work - we did the coding! I did more Forth, he did the assembler and the debugger.

MH: Interesting that there was no marketing person, per se.

LC: And our marketing presence, I think, shows that.

DM: In terms of where we are going, that's the final step.

MH: If you had it to do over again at the company, knowing what you now know, what would you do differently?

LC: I think we would not have initially come out at the low price we did. It was very altruistic, and coming out of school, our thought of marketing was, "low price, high sales." But you learn that there are many more factors than price involved in whether a person buys your product. In fact, price has very little to do with it. That's the major thing.

MH: Rick, what would you do differently?
DM: The pricing; Lori answered the big one. But also running ourselves too thin don't spread yourself too thin.

MH: In the number of processors?
DM: No, we never really switched processors - we stayed with the Motorola. It was the number of computers we tried. When you only have two or three people, you can't afford to lose three months on any project. You have to ship. When the Atari and the Amiga came out, we tried to get them all. We came up short on the Amiga.

MH: Probably the right one to come up short on.

DM: Yes, it would have been better just to have all of that work back.

MH: To spend on another machine.
DM: Or anything else.
MH: Had you done any programming before the Smart Products Design Lab, Lori?

LC: Just college courses: 68000 programming, Pascal. No real programming, never
on a microcomputer.

MH: And it sounds like only in a class environment, with assigned problems and such.

LC: You find out that real programming is very different.

MH: You are all mechanical engineers?
DM: Yes. Lori doesn't have a Masters, she's the baby.

MH: What is your future direction? Let's start with where you are now. You have a product for the Mac, and it's now version 2.0, which is Mach 2.

DM: Another thing we'd do differently is not pick names that people sue us for. We got into litigation over the name Mach 1 , so we had to switch to Mach 2. That has caused confusion in the marketplace.

MH: Not too bad. Perhaps you should let Mach 2 mean version 2.0, and when you come out with version three, call it Mach 3. I thought it was a clever ploy, that every new version was going that much faster.

DM: Except that we were advertising. We had labels of Mach 1 and product of Mach 2, and ads...

MH: Is your Atari version released now?
DM: It's out.
MH: And your Amiga product?
DM: That's not shipping yet.
LC: I think the biggest thing that's happened this year is that people know the name of Palo Alto Shipping.

MH: How did you get the name?
DM: Detroit Diesel was taken.
MH: Right. Now, how did you get the name?

DM: We were sitting around on the bed and we wanted a generic name. We were all just
gabbing away.
MH: Seemed like a good idea at the time?
DM: Yes, and it is a good name. People think we're much larger than we are.

LC: Everyone has to ask why we have it, so for that reason it sticks in their mind. It's so - odd.

MH: I certainly agree that "Palo Alto Shipping Co." is established now. How do you make yourself known?

## DM: MacWorld.

LC: For shows and conventions, we try to stay in the area.

DM: We've gone to Chicago for conventions, and Silicon Valley conventions are big. A lot of Mac conventions are out here.

MH: Is the 68000 your future? Any 68000 machine that comes out, you'll at leastlook at?

DM: Right. We're on the OS-9, we're on the CD-I stuff that we're hoping is a market, and the EPROM version. 68000 across the board.

MH: You have a target compiler that people can order and get now?

DM: Yes.
MH: What is your product line?
DM: Macintosh, number one, biggest; Atari, smaller; and OS-9; and EPROM, the target compiler.

## MH: What is the OS-9?

DM: It's a multi-tasking operating system from a company in Iowa. It's the operating system that was chosen by Sony and Phillips for CD-I (Compact Disk-Interactive). It's like a simple Unix.

MH: You don't see any departures from the 68000 ?

DM: The IBM market is attractive, but it's
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very competitive.
MH: I think the opening ante in that market is fairly substantial now. When did Mach first hit the market?

LC: December 15th of 1985.
MH: A Christmas present for people.
DM: We barely had it done; I don't think we'd delivered it.

MH: What other goals do you have?
DM: We want to make Forth more mainstream.

MH: In what way?
DM: We're advocates of having text files, infix assemblers, and standard debuggers and linkers. Our goal is to have Forth be an interactive environment. That's its strength. And then on top of that, use all the other strengths of all the other languages. I want to be a Forth programmer who can converse with C programmers and not be ostracized or put off.

LC: It seems as if the emphasis today is going away from what language you useC,Pascal, or Forth - and toward how good your environment is. Other languages are very powerful and give access to things you didn't have before, but the big thing now is your environment: how nice it is, how well the tools are integrated, how fast it gets work done, how much it helps you. Forth doesn't usually have that much of an environment around it. So we're trying to add that environment, which other languages seem to have as a standard.

MH: In almost every company, particularly among start-ups, there is a particular black moment, a moment when you say, "This is not going to work." Did you have a black moment?

DM: I 've been with start-ups since I was seventeen. I've had a sound and light show, I've installed stage lighting, all contract work. I've had a flight-case company, I have been manufacturing as a single person
for eight years. This is nothing. Black moments are-

MH: -a dime a dozen.
DM: You see, for me, l've worked man years and then sold one. So I was ecstatic when we hit two sales.

MH: I see; the secret is to have a low threshold of satisfaction.

DM: Well, l'd been in business, as a young person with the drive to be in business. This is phenomenal success for me, even if from an engineering or accounting perspective it is mediocre or survival. To me, survival is success. If I can sell in singles, I'm happy.

MH: What's a flight case?
DM: A heavy-duty metal transport case. I was into sound and light shows, and-

MH: -you needed something to carry them around in.

DM: Right, it was a natural evolution. I was getting reamed at the stores, so I started making them.

MH: So how did you get this entrepreneurial bent?

DM: I've got brain damage.
MH: [laugh] No, no, come on now. That's evasive.

DM: It's inside of me. It's not something-
MH: Not from a parental example, or a competitive brother or a teacher who said, "Young Rick, I want you to..." Just something on your own?

DM: Yes, it's what I have; it's the ability to never give up. I could say, as long as it pays the rent, I'm in the game.

MH: It seems that one needs two things. One is persistence. That's the one you just described. The other is initiative, taking the step that wasn't required. Stepping up above where you are.
(Continued on page 37.)

## TRANSCENDENTAL FUNCTIONS

PHIL KOOPMAN, JR. - NORTH KINGSTOWN, RHODE ISLAND

One of the things no developer of a complete floating-point math package can escape is the horror of designing transcendental functions. While writing my book MVP-FORTH Integer and Floating Point Math ${ }^{1}$, I found myself faced with the dilemma of how to implement quick, accurate, and relatively compact math functions (optimizing for any two of these qualities is easy - all three at once is no fun at all).

My first step was a simple engineer's reflex: I picked up my CRC math handbook $^{2}$. This handbook has a complete set of formulas for implementing almost every function that exists. However, there was a slight problem. Watching a TaylorMaclaurin expansion for arc-tangent converge is like watching grass grow. I decided there had to be a better way.

After surviving a numerical analysis course and many hours of research in the dusty corners of a university library, I emerged with a set of equations that I believe are relatively efficient, accurate, and compact. I have not presented derivations for these formulas, as each equation takes at least an hour and many sheets of paper to derive. All the equations given here are designed to be used with 31-bit mantissa intermediate calculations, and produce an accurate (seven to eight decimal digit), 24bit mantissa result with the minimum number of required terms. Readers who desire different precisions (such as 16 -bit scaled integer), or who want to understand the theory behind these equations, are invited to wade through a book like Numerical Analysis ${ }^{3}$, and books on computer arithmetic, such as Computer Approximations ${ }^{4}$,

Mathematical Functions and Their Approximations ${ }^{5}$, and Mathematical Methods for Digital Computers ${ }^{6}$.

## SIN(X)

Sine is the basic trigonometric function. The equation given is a Chebyshev polynomial, and is valid on the range $-\pi / 4$ to $\pi / 4$ radians.

## $\operatorname{SIN}(X)=$

$0.9999999995 X^{1}$
$-0.1666666663 \quad X^{3}$
$+0.008333328785 \quad x^{5}$
$-0.0001983920268 \quad X^{7}$
$+0.000002717349463$

## $\operatorname{COS}(\mathrm{X})$

The cosine equation given is a Chebyshev polynomial, and is valid on the range $-\pi / 4$ to $\pi / 4$ radians.
$\cos (x)=$
1.0
$-0.4999999943$
$+0.0416666167$
$-0.001388661862$
$+0.00002437988031$

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{2} \\
X^{4} \\
X^{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

+0.00002437988031

| ATAN $(\mathrm{X})=$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.9999999842 |  |
| -0.3333306679 | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ |
| +0.1999248354 | $\mathrm{X}^{3}$ |
| -0.1420257041 | $\mathrm{X}^{5}$ |
| +0.1063675406 | $\mathrm{X}^{7}$ |
| -0.0749544546 | $\mathrm{X}^{9}$ |
| +0.0425876076 | $\mathrm{X}^{11}$ |
| -0.0160050306 | $\mathrm{X}^{13}$ |
| +0.0028340643 | $\mathrm{X}^{15}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{X}^{17}$ |

## LOG2(X)

$\log$ base 2 is a crucial function for calculating any logarithm, and for output formatting. The equation given is valid on the range 0.5 to 1.0 , and was derived by taking the Taylor-Maclaurin expansion for $\ln (x)$ and dividing it by $\ln (2)$. The choice of base 2 as the primitive logarithm reduces the number of terms in the expansion by limiting the range, and provides for efficient range reduction in a binary-exponent, floating-point package.

Let $\mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{X}-1) /(\mathrm{X}+1)$
LOG2 (X) =

| 2.885390082 | $\mathrm{Y}^{1}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| +0.9617966939 | $\mathrm{Y}^{3}$ |
| +0.5770780164 | $\mathrm{Y}^{5}$ |
| +0.4121985831 | $\mathrm{Y}^{7}$ |
| +0.3205988980 | $\mathrm{Y}^{9}$ |
| +0.2623081893 | $\mathrm{Y}^{11}$ |
| +0.2219530832 | $\mathrm{Y}^{13}$ |
| +0.1923593388 | $\mathrm{Y}^{15}$ |
| +0.1697288283 | $\mathrm{Y}^{17}$ |

## $2^{x}$

A primitive exponential function is required to complement the logarithm function, and is useful for output format-
ting. The equation given is a Chebyshev polynomial, and is valid on the range 0.0 to 1.0 .

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2^{x}= \\
1.0
\end{array}
$$

$$
+0.69314718 \quad X^{1}
$$

$$
+0.24022636 \quad x^{2}
$$

$$
+0.055505294 \quad x^{3}
$$

$$
+0.0096135358 \quad X^{4}
$$

$$
+0.0013429811 \quad x^{5}
$$

$$
+0.00014299401 \quad x^{6}
$$

$$
+0.000021651724 \quad x^{7}
$$

## Range Reduction

By now, you have noticed that the equations given have restricted ranges for the input variable X . This limits the number of terms in the equation, while keeping accuracy high. Simple trigonometric and algebraic identities can be used to force all inputs into the required ranges. Some of the more useful identities are given below (all arguments are in radians):
$\operatorname{SIN}(X)=\operatorname{SIN}(X+2 \pi)$
$-\operatorname{SIN}(X)=\operatorname{SIN}(-X)$
$\cos (X)=\cos (-x)$
$\operatorname{SIN}(X)=\cos (X-\pi / 2)$
LOG (X*Y) $=\operatorname{LOG}(X)+\operatorname{LOG}(Y)$

## Derived Functions

The formulas given in this article may be used to derive all desired transcendental functions. The CRC handbook gives useful identities for creating any desired function. Special care must be taken when using these identities, to avoid exceeding range limitations and to avoid division by zero.

```
TAN(X) = SIN(X) / COS (X)
SEC(X)=1 / COS (X)
CSC}(x)=1/\operatorname{sIN}(x
cot (X)=\operatorname{cos}(X)/\operatorname{SIN}(\textrm{X})
ARCSIN(X) = ARCTAN(X/SQRT(1-
    X') )
ARCCOS (X) = \pi/2 - ARCSIN(X)
ARCCOT (X) = \pi/2 - ARCTAN(X)
ARCSEC (X) = ARCTAN(SQRT (X 
    1))
ARCCSC (X) = ARCTAN(1/SQRT (X 
    - 1))
```

Logarithms and exponentials use the formula:

```
LOG (base a) (X) =
    LOG (base b) (X) /
    LOG (base b) (a)
LOG (base 10) (X) =
    LOG (base 2) (X) /
    LOG (base 2) (10)
LN(X) =
    LOG (base 2) (X) /
    LOG (base 2) (e)
10x}=\mp@subsup{2}{}{(x**LCO2(10))
Ex}=\mp@subsup{2}{}{(x*\operatorname{Locz(e))}
```
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## BIT-BASED TRUTH TABLES

JEAN-PIERRE SCHACHTER - LONDON, ONTARIO

P.ossibly, the logic most learned on university campuses is truth-functional logic. What makes this attractive to undergraduates and their teachers is exactly what makes it attractive to the programmer; namely, that it utilizes a mechanical decision procedure for testing logical validity. This procedure is based on a matrix called a "truth table."

## Truth-Functional Logic

Logic, in general, has only one objective. That is to determine whether arguments are, or are not, valid. We say that an argument is valid when it is impossible, short of embracing a contradiction, for its premises to be true while its conclusion is false. This criterion is often picturesquely expressed by the dictum that an argument is valid if and only if the conditional that corresponds to it is true in "all possible worlds."

When using truth-functional logic, it is possible to give this way of putting it a very concrete form. Truth-functional logic is, in a sense, the least sensitive logic, taking as its smallest particle the unopened sentence and testing compounds of sentences to see if they could possibly be false. Since the logic stops at the level of the sentence, the content of a sentence is of no interest, only its truth-value.

However, truth value in the actual world is also of no interest, for logic's only concern is whether there exists a pattern of possible truth values for a set of sentences in a logical compound, such that the compound itself is false. This leads us to the connectives used in forming compounds,


Figure One. Modus Ponens: If ((if p then q ) and p ) then q
called the truth-functional connectives. These connectives, including AND, OR, and IF THEN, among others, are themselves defined in terms of patterns of truthvalues. The connective OR, for example, is defined as follows:

| p | 9 | p OR g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | I |

The p's and q's stand for any propositions whatever, and the matrix is an example of a truth-table (here used not to test for validity, but to define). The four rows under p and q generate all the possible worlds for two propositions, and the column under $p$ OR $q$ defines the OR connective for each of the four worlds: OR compounds are true in all worlds except those in which both (or all) its disjuncts are false. Once the connectives have been defined, a compound based on those connectives can be evaluated for truth-value; if it evaluates as true in every distribution of truth values across its constituent propositions, then it is a tautology and the argument that corre-
sponds to it is valid. The argument form called Modus Ponens, for example, which reads "If ((if $p$ then $q$ ) and $p$ ) then $q$," is shown in Figure One to be valid.

With the exception of languages such as Prolog and Lisp, the coding of a logical, validity-testing algorithm presents intimidating obstacles. While most languages support at least a minimal set of logical operators, typically NOT, AND, OR, and XOR (a few having also IMP and EQV), they are there primarily in the interest of allowing compound tests; it is not obvious how they could be easily adapted to the evaluation of statements in the propositional calculus.

It is important to note, initially, the difference between the IF THEN supported by all languages and the IMP supported by some; the IF THEN is, in fact, what I'll call an "executive" conditional, in view of the fact that its consequent is an operation to be executed, not a proposition. IMP is the operator found in propositional logic, where it occurs as "material implication" and is commonly represented by the "horseshoe" symbol (I have used -> above).

When approaching the problem ini-
tially, the inclination is to think in terms of byte arrays set up to receive 1 's and 0 's in the pattern of a truth table. The memory overhead for this strategy is quite large, and the code for it is cumbersome. A better solution would be one capable of taking advantage of the built-in logical functions without having to administer a large array. In what follows, I offer an algorithm which does just that, turning the microcomputer into an interactive, propositional logic calculator.

## Number Representation Reviewed

The algorithm takes advantage of the fact that the microcomputer stores its information at the byte level in binary code. One byte can represent the decimal numbers from 0 to 255 , covering thereby every possible combination of 1 's and 0's on eight registers. The standard, eight-bit micro, however, normally uses sixteen bits to represent signed integersbetween -32768 and +32767 (thus utilizing, in effect, fifteen 1 's, the sixteenth being used for the sign). Some computer languages allow for unsigned integers, extending the positive integers to 65535 (sixteen 1's). In addition, Forth very conveniently supports double-precision numbers, which utilize 32 bits. It is a short step from seeing that our decimal integers are represented in the machine as bit arrays containing 1 's and 0 's, to realizing it is possible to determine the distribution of those 1 's and 0 's and to generate exceptionally memory-efficient truth tables.

Since Forth supports 32-bit numbers, I will set the algorithm up to generate a 32 row table. I will add, however, that Forth's extensibility and plasticity are such as to allow for the generation of 64 -bit and higher numbers. As will become obvious when we look at the algorithm itself, Forth was the perfect vehicle for this exercise, not only for its interactivity, but because its ability to switch radix made debugging much easier and because only a language as extensible as Forth could allow the programmer to create the necessary new functions for evaluating 32 -bit numbers.

The essential part of the program actually does nothing more than to generate n integers to be the values of the $n$ propositional variables heading the truth table. Since we are assuming a truth table with 32 rows, we are also assuming arguments
having no more than five variables. The integers will be sochosen as to create a truth table array, thereby generating all possible worlds for five elements. While the code could be made general enough to allow the program user to decide the size of the truth table, there are coding obstacles - such as the absence of a square-root function that make such efforts not worth the prize. The best approach for the user is to code, once and for all, the largest case and use that code for smaller cases as well.

| P XOR 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | : T |
|  | : F |
|  | : T |
|  | : F |
| binary 0000000111100000 |  |
| decimal 3278= |  |
|  | nd the X binary decim |

Figure Two. Truth-Functional Definition of XOR.

## Logical Connectives

To understand how the program works, one must take a closer look at how the logical connectives work in computer languages. As I indicated earlier, they are primarily taught to be used in the construction of complex tests and, thus, occur in the antecedents of executive conditionals, or in the test positions of other control structures. Typically, we would see a line like: 10 IF $A=1$ AND $B=1$
THEN PRINT ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ )

This is intuitively satisfying because the conjuncts themselves are Boolean, capable of bearing truth value. In non-symbol-processing languages, the only Booleans are those based on the arithmetic comparison operators, e.g., $=,<,>$, etc. Since we are taught to use the connectives with these Boolean conjuncts, and since this is intuitively well supported, we tend to forget that their use in this situation is, in fact, derivative. The logical connectives do their work natively, not at the macro but at the micro level; not at the level of Booleans, but at the level of bits. AND, for example, typically (in an eight-bit environment) compares a two-byte value with another two-byte value on a bit-by-bit basis, generating a new two-byte value whose bits are 1, where those compared were both 1 and 0 , where at least one of those compared was 0 . As an example, let us consider the decimal numbers 480 and 3278 connected by XOR. The truth-functional definition for XOR illustrated in Figure Two.

The two, two-byte values compared are typically returns from the evaluations of Booleans, but they need not be. They could have been any integers at all. In a word, the logical connectives actually operate on integers, and they do so at the bit level.

But! If it is really integers that we logically connect, and integers can be made to have appropriate bit patterns, then using appropriate integers in propositional formulae is the same thing as running them through a truth table. The point may, perhaps, be best seen by looking at the actual integers and their binary representation in Figure Three.

| Var | Column | Decimal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| q | 1 | 65535 |
| r | 2 | 16711935 |
| s | 3 | 252645135 |
| t | 4 | 858993459 |
| u | 5 | 1431655765 |
| tautology | 8589934591 |  |
| contradiction | 0 |  |

> Binary 00000000000000001111111111111111 00000000111111110000000011111111 00001111000011110000111100001111 00110011001100110011001100110011 01010101010101010101010101010101 11111111111111111111111111111111 00000000000000000000000000000000

Figure Three. Integer Representation as Truth Table.

Tilting one's head to the right (looking at the binary rows as columns) reveals a standard truth table for five variables. To check whether a formula is a tautology, contradiction, or contingency, we simply enter the formula, using as propositional variables double-number variables whose values are the above numbers with 32 -bit versions of the logical connectives binding them together. Forth will evaluate the formula and yield either 8589934591 (all 1's), 0 (all 0 's), or a number in between. As might be expected, 8589934591 implies tautology (true in all possible worlds), 0 implies contradiction (false in all possible worlds), and between implies contingency (true in some worlds, false in others).

## The Code

Screen 1 contains all the variable declarations, as well as a mixed mode multiplication operator $D^{*}(\mathrm{dn}-\mathrm{d})$ borrowed from Alan Winfield's excellent book, The Complete Forth, and a double = operator. It should be noticed that the variables $q, r, s$, $t$, and $u$, which will hold the propositional variable values, are allotted four bytes each, since they have to hold double-precision numbers.

Screen 2 holds defined, 32-bit logical connectives ( $\sim, v, \&, x v,->$ ) and five short words, q1 through $u 1$, included only to make the entered formulae easier to read by making the @ unnecessary.

Screen 3 contains, first, TVCOLM, which generates the double integers listed earlier, and which could be expanded to yield a similar set of numbers for 64 bits. This enterprise would involve not only machinery for representing numbers of that size, but all of the supporting functions as well; not only the bigger multiplier and the bigger connectives, but a bigger $\varrho,!$, DUP, and so on; items supplied already for this code, since Forth substantially supports double numbers.

The task is not impossible, but if undertaken, perhaps it should be done with numbers much larger than 64 bits in mind, since moving to 64 would only add one more propositional variable or column. Should one choose to set the program up for 10 variables, one would need numbers 1024 bits long. The ten numbers being logically connected would jointly occupy

1280 bytes of memory, plus another 128 bytes for the evaluation column itself. While this may seem a lot, consider that doing the same with a byte array would use 10240 bytes plus another 1024 for evaluation; 11264 bytes vs. 1408. The other word, ?V, simply checks the top of the stack for the result, yielding the appropriate screen printout for the formula in question.

One sets the stage for an evaluation by running TVCOLM, which not only generates the five numbers, but stores them in the variables $q$ through $u$. After that, it is only a matter of noting that we will use the words q1 through ul instead of the variables $q$ through $u$, the former automatically leaving their values on the stack, and becoming accustomed to reverse Polish, or postfix, notation. Most philosophers were taught their logic in algebraic notations, but RPN is not totally unknown, is not difficult to master, is easier for the machine to digest, and may, for all that, be aesthetically superior. At any rate, notations come in three unsurprising options: prefix, found in Polish Notation and Lisp; Infix, found in algebra and BASIC; and postfix, found in Forth and on Hewlett-Packard calculators. Using the 32-bit connectives defined on screen 1 , Hypothetical Syllogism is entered as follows:
q1 r1 -> r1 s1 -> \& q1 s1 -> ->

Evaluation proceeds: q1 and $r 1$ go on the stack, two double numbers taking up four sixteen-bit locations; they are IMPed by $\rightarrow$, leaving one double number on the stack; $r 1$ and $s 1$ are pushed onto the stack and we get six sixteen-bit locations taken up until the last two are IMPed and we are back to four; the two doubles are ANDed by \&, leaving again one double number. The IMPing is repeated for $q 1$ and $s 1$, leaving a total of two doubles, IMPed again for one double number remaining on the stack. If the trick works, the remainder should be 8589934591 , or 32 binary 1 's, indicating a tautology.

## Conclusion

Once it is realized that the key to the above procedure lies in generating the integer whose binary form has the bit distribution appropriate to the left-hand column of
a truth table for the given number of variables, one also realizes that a language which handles larger integers is more adapted to our purpose. It should now be apparent that the choice of Forth for coding this program was not casual; no other language could have provided the capability for creating integers of any size, the operators for manipulating them, and the debuggng ease and extensibility that was necessary. Where plasticity is called for, Forth is unquestionably the language of choice; as logicians once were wont to say at the end, Q.E.D.

Jean-Pierre Schachter is the Dean of Arts and Social Science at Ontario's Huron College.

```
(Scr 1 - TV alg for 5 vars usirig double numbers,
Variable Eu Variable El Variable Fu Variable Fl
Variable q 4 allot Variabler 4 allot Variable s 4 allot
Variablet 4 allot Variable u 4 allot Variable H 4 allot
Variable N 8 allot
```



```
El e Fu e u* drop +
Eu E Fl E u* drop + ;
: 5~ 65535 xor ; (16 bit bit-not )
: D= rot = rot rot = * 1 = if 1 else O then ;
(Scr 2 - TV alg for 5 vars using double numbers)
(32 bit logic operators )
```



```
(SCR 3 - TV alg for 5 vars using double numbers,
: TVCOLM 65535. (makes 5 ints, 1 for each column )
    2dup H 2! 2 4 16 256
    80 do N I + ! 2 +100p
    8 O do
        H 2e 2dup N I + E D* xv`2dup H 2!
    2 +100p
    q 2! r 2! e 2! t 2! u 2! !
: ?V 2dup 8589934591. D= if ( outputs decision )
    ." TAUTOLOGY - VALID " drop drop else
    O. D= if ." CONTRADICTION - INUALID " else
        ." CONTINGENT - INUALID " then then ;
```


# FULLY INTERACTIVE fig-FORTH 

LARS-ERIK SVAHN -TYRESO,SWEDEN

W
ould you like to use all Forth words from the interpreter - including wordslike DO, IF, and BEGIN? Without having to redefine them? Then just patch QUIT and ?COMP as described below.

First of all, you have to reserve a block of memory:

THERE ( -- adr)
address of reserved memory
SIZE (-- \#bytes)
number of bytes reserved

It really doesn't matter how you do this, but there are two obvious ways:

512 CONSTANT SIZE

## 0 VARIABLE THERE SIZE 2-ALLOT

In this case, you put the field in the word list. Another way is to permanently decrease the number of screen buffers by one. In my system, that could be done like this:

```
1028 CONSTANT SIZE
FLUSH SIZE MINUS LIMIT$ +!
LIMIT CONSTANT THERE
```

In this memory, all nested blocks of words that begin with a word containing ?COMP will be precompiled and executed when interpreted! Now you can do conditional loading (as in screen 3) and alternative loading (as in screen 4).

The principles are:
(1) every leading structure word (e.g., DO, IF, and BEGIN) starts with ?COMP, and (2) every leading structure word pushes a value on the stack (to be checked by ?PAIRS).

The PRECOMP ILE routine compiles every word from the first structure word to the last, and the last structure word pops the stack back to the initial level, thereby exiting the WHILE loop in PRECOMPILE.

When a structure block has been compiled THERE, PRECOMP ILE makes it execute by pushing THERE on the return stack. PRECOMP ILE, itself, runs every time a word containing (the patched) ?COMP is interpreted.

There are no special limitations to nesting different structures, since the code is
(Continued on page 36.)
Eer \#1

```
O ( IntErfietide fig-FORTH; {ars-Erik Svahn janob)
I DECIMAL O VARIAELE OLDP
2 : \THERE ( .- ) !CSP HERE OLDF ! THERE DP ! ] ;
3 : THERE? ( -- f ) THERE SILE + HERE { O= HERE THERE < O= AIND ;
4
5 : PRECOMFILE 1 -- !
G BEGIN SPG CSP E <
7 WHILE -FIND
8 IF STATE < < IF CFA, ELSE CFA EXECUTE THIEN
9 ELGE HERE NUMBER DPL O 1+
:0 IF [COMPILE] DLITERAL
11 ELSE DROP [COMPILE] LITERAL
12 THEM
13 THEN
14 REFEAT [COMPILE] [ 'S CFA.
15 OLDP @ DP ! THERE >R ; -->
```

```
ser #2
    O ( Interpretive fig-FORTH)
1
    2: PREPARE ( -- ) >THERE
    3 R`R` ' PRECOMPILE >R >R \R ;
4
    5 : (?COMP) ( - ) STATE E 0=
    6 IF PREPARE THEN R> DROP ;
7
    8: (QUIT) ( - ) THERE? IF OLDP Q DF ! THEN ( new part )
    9 O BLK ! [COMPILE] [ ( OId QUIT )
10 BEGIN CR RP! QUERY INTERPRET
11 STATE E IF "" Ok" THEN
12 AGAIN :
1 3
14 ( And now - the patch! )
15' (QUIT) DUP NFA FENCE ! CFA ' QUIT ! ' (?COMP) CFA ' ?COMP
```

$\operatorname{ser} \# 3$
0 ( Load screen ) DECIMAL
1
2 -FIND TEST IF DROP DROP ELSE 40 LOAD THEN
$\xi$
45040 DO I LOAD LOOP is
5

```
ser ##
```

    O (Menu sereen) DECIMAL
    1
    2." MENU" CR CR
    3." 1 alternative \(A^{n} C R\)
    4." 2 alternative \(B^{n} C R\)
    5 ." 3 alternative \(C^{\prime 2} 2\) SPACES
    6
    BEGIN KEY CASE ASCII 1 OF 10 LOAD ENDOF
    \(8 \quad\) ASCII 2 OF 20 LOAD ENDOF
                                ASCII 3 OF 30 LOAD ENDOF
                            DUP OF 7 EMIT O START ! ENDOF
    11 ENDCASE START E
12 UNTIL CR CR START E EXECUTE ; $s$
13 It is a good idea to start the execution of the loaded
14 words outside the precompiled block! Then there is no
15 importante code left THERE.

ANTHONY T. SCARPELLI - PORTLAND, MAINE

This article explains a number of new screensI created for F 83 . They cover a wide range of subjects. Two are a revamp of the screen checksum calculation presented in Forth Dimensions some time ago, but here are modified to compensate for the special words of F 83 . Others include a special set of words to access some of the BIOS and PC DOS interrupts. There are also screens that allow you to set and print the date and time, so they can be inserted into your index and screen lists.

One of the first things we need is a set of words that can utilize the BIOS and DOS interrupts. In this way, we have at our disposal a host of routines for most any purpose. I did not want to use the few simple interrupt calls available in $F 83$, but a set that would be more versatile.

In screen \#1, we have created our first BIOS word, INTCALL. It is not your usual BIOS call word, since it looks like it only calls interrupt 0 . But we don't really use it for that purpose. The 0 is actually the location where we install any interrupt number we want. So, first, we create a variable INTADR to hold the address of that location in the word. Next we create a code word INTCALL that pops four numbers off the stack and puts them into their respective registers. We save the two registers, SI and BP (by necessity), and then see the 0 INT.

This is where the interrupt number will go. It is nine bytes from the beginning of the code field address. We then return BP and SI, and push all the registers back onto the stack.

Touse this routine, we merely putall the required register values on the parameter stack, as well as the interrupt number. What
is left, after the word is used, are all the registers - intact, so that any one or more returned values can be used.

This, then, is our basic word to call any BIOS interrupt.

The routine in line 9 finds the address of INTCALL, adds nine to it, and saves it. Finally the word BIOSINT is created. It merely finds the interrupt number on top of the stack and places it in the byte before the INT instruction. Thus, we have made a universal call word that can handle almost any interrupt need.

To show this, screen \#2, creates some general routines that all have a similar structure; they only differ in what they leave behind. The use of any of them is determined by which interrupt you are using, and which registers you need returned.

The word FUNCREQ in line 12, for instance, is used for the BIOS call 21 H , that requires a function number in the AH register, and some value in the DX register. It leaves the AX register on the stack.

As some other examples, I've created some more handy words that demonstrate how these interrupt words can be easily used. Screen \#3 has two very handy words that get the time and date. The word GETTIME in line 4 first calls function request 2 CH via interrupt 21 H . It leaves the time on the stack, which can be cleaned up for various uses. Some later words show how this can be done.

The word getdate in line 9 , in a similar manner, leaves the date.

There are two words in the next screen that either must be in your dictionary, or they must be loaded in with their own screen. They are "MONTH and "DAY. They
are found in F83's CLOCK. BLK, screen \#2. The word that creates these arrays, "ARRAY, must also be available.

Screen \#4 uses the GETTIME and GETDATE words to form two more usable words. The word (DATE) in line 2 first gets the date, prints the month (from the "MONTH array), and then prints out the day, a comma, and then the year. The word DATE in line 12 merely adds a space. Thus, this word can then be used when printing out a listing of screens.

The word in line 8, (TIME) works in a similar manner, but first determines whether the time is after noon or not, then adjusts for 12 -hour timekeeping. The hour is printed, a colon, and then the minute (after we add a zero if the number is less than ten). This is just to keep the alignment correct. Finally we determine whether we are in the a.m. or p.m. with the word in line 5 , and print it. The word in line 13, TIME, is the general-use word and adds a space. This word, and the DATE word above, make documenting screen and index listings a breeze. No longer do you need to hand-print the date and time.

To show how the date and time can be easily added to an index listing, screen \#5 shows my word called Pindex (Print Index). The word on line 2, ITITL (Index title), first prints the file name, and then the date and time. The P INDEX word on line 5 includes my word PRINT, which turns on the printing command. It is defined as PRINTING ON. The word CRT is defined as PRINTING OFF. These words go back to when all I used was MMS-FORTH. It's hard to change some habits that seem so logical. The word FF is a form feed, and is
defined as 12 EMIT 12 EMIT.
These words are all right as long as you have already set the date and time from DOS. But if you haven't, you need some words to set the time and date from Forth. That is done with the two words in screen \#6.

The word INPUT? in this screen is also from F83's CLOCK. BLK, screen \# 4, and is used to get the numbers we need for the set words. If you have this word already in your dictionary, you won't need to load it; otherwise, place it at the beginning of this screen.

The word SET-DATE is a continuous loop that won't exit until you input the correct form for the date. That is, as an example, you can't enter 13 for a month or 32 for a day. In line 4, after the BEGIN, we set up some of the parameters for the interrupt. We next get the year, month, and day, and adjust them for interrupt 33D, function 43D. This interrupt leaves a zero for a valid date, and an FFH if the date is invalid. We then will get an error message if we don't put in the right data.

The word in line 10, GET-TIME, works in a like manner; however, it waits for you to press a key to set the time. This allows for a more accurate setting of the time, which can be down to the second.

There is one other time word I find useful. That word allows us to time intervals. To do that to a greater degree than the TIME word, we use all the stack values that are left by the GETTIME word. This allows accuracies to $1 / 100$ second. The word is in line 2 of screen \#7. Of course you have to allow for various timing inconsistencies in any use of this word, but it will allow for the timing of the execution of loops, words, etc.

The next word I had to develop, on a lower level, was for stopping the system when it was executing various words. That is, I needed a true Control-Break routine that would cause a jump to the warm-start word. The screen \#8 shows how this was done.

F83's warm start can be executed by either typing the word WARM, or it can be jumped to via a vector that is located at offset 0103 H . The jump has to be done with machine code, so in line 10 we create an interrupt routine called INTRTN. We use the LABEL word to create it, so the address of the routine is left on the stack when we use it.


Figure One. Checksum flow chart.

```
Screen # 1 crc ver = 17675
```

```
1
2 HEX
VARIABLE INTADR
4 CODE INTCALL DX POP CX POP BX POP AX POP
5 SI PUSH BP PUSH O INT
6 BP POP SI POP
AX PUSH BX PUSH CX PUSH DX PUSH
NEXT END-CODE
9 INTCALL \(9+\) INTADR !
10
11 : BIOSINT (ax bx cx dx int井 - \(a x b x c x d x\) )
12 INTADR a C! INTCALL;
13
14
15 DECIMAL
                                    \(->\)
Screen \# 2
                                crc ver \(=23233\)
```

```
O \ BIOS INTERRUPTS -- into,1,2,3, funcreq
1
HEX
3:INTO ( ax bx cx dx int# -- )
                                    BIOSINT 2DROP 2DRDP ;
: INT1 (ax bx cx dx int# -- ax )
                                    BIDSINT 2DROP DROP ;
7 INT2 ( ax bx cx dx int# -- cx dx )
8 BIOSINT ROT DROP ROT DROP ;
9 : INT3 ( ax bx cx dx int# -- ax bx )
10 BIOSINT 2DROP ;
11
12 : FUNCREQ (func# dx -- ax : int 21 )
13 SWAP 100 SWAP O O ROT 21 INT1:
14
15 DECIMAL -->
Screen # 3
crc ver = 47261
```

```
O \ DOS FUNCTIONS -- gettime & getdate
```

O \ DOS FUNCTIONS -- gettime \& getdate
O9FEB8bats
O9FEB8bats
1
1
HEX
HEX
3
3
4 : GETTIME (-- 1/100sec seconds minutes hours )
4 : GETTIME (-- 1/100sec seconds minutes hours )
2C 100 \& 0 0 0 21 INT2
2C 100 \& 0 0 0 21 INT2
DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100 /
DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100 /
ROT DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100/;
ROT DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100/;
: GETDATE ( -- year day month )
: GETDATE ( -- year day month )
2A 100 % 0 0 21 INT2
2A 100 % 0 0 21 INT2
DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100/;
DUP OOFF AND SWAP 100/;
DECIMAL
DECIMAL
14
14
15 -->

```
15 -->
```

```
O \ date \& time
1
2 : (DATE) ( -- date ) GETDATE
3 1- "MONTH TYPE SPACE (U.) TYPE \(\quad ", "\) (U.) TYPE ;
4
5: ?AM/PM (- ) 11 >
6 IF - " pm" ELSE -" am" THEN;
7
8 : (TIME) ( - time) GETTIME
9 DLP DUP \(12>\) IF 12 - THEN (U.) TYPE " 3 " SWAP
10 DUP \(10<I F . " O^{\prime \prime}\) THEN (U.) TVPE ?AM/PM DROP DROP ;
11
12 : DATE ( -- mon day, year ) (DATE) SPACE;
13 : TIME ( - hour:min am/pm) (TIME) SPACE
14
\(15-\gg\)
Screen \# 5 crc ver \(=43911\)
0 EXTENSION WORDS -- pindex O9FEBBGats
1
2 : ITITL CR ." INDEX FOR: " FILE?
3 20 SPACES DATE SPACE TIME:
4
: PINDEX (from to - )
6 PRINT ITITL CR INDEX FF CRT;
7
日
9
10
11
12
13
14
\(15->\)
Screen \# 6 crc ver \(=25080\)
I DATE AND TIME -- set-dates set-time OqFEBBGats
: SET-DATE ( - ) BEGIN 43256 * 0
    CR ." Year? " INPUT? DROP
    CR ." Month? " INPUT? DROP 256 *
    CR ." Day? " INPUT? DROP OR 33 INT1 255 AND
    IF CR ." Invalid date!" O ELSE -1 THEN UNTIL;
: SET-TIME ( - ) BEGIN 45256 (b 0
    CR ." Hour? " INPUT? DROP 256 *
    CR ." Minute? " INPUT? DROP OR
    CR =" Second? " INPUT? DROP 256 *
    CR." Hit any key to start." CR KEY DROP 33 INT1
    255 AND IF CR " Invalid time!" 0 ELSE -1 THEN UNTIL
\(->\)
```

```
Screen # 7 crc ver = 16488
    O \ INTERVAL TIME -- itime OqFEBBGats
    1
2 : (ITIME) ( -- time ) GETTIME
3 (U.) TYPE :" :" (U.) TYPE =" :"
4 (U.) TYPE ." :" (U.) TYPE ;
5
: ITIME (-- hr:min:sec:1/100s ) (ITIME) SPACE :
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 -->
Screen # 8 crc ver = 22508
```

```
\ CTRL-BREAK SCREEN
```

\ CTRL-BREAK SCREEN
1
1
\ The warm start vector is at location 010.3H. By jumping to it,
\ The warm start vector is at location 010.3H. By jumping to it,
\ you can execute the WARM word which initiates a warm start.
\ you can execute the WARM word which initiates a warm start.
\ In order to allow the keyboard CTRL/BREAK to use this vector,
\ In order to allow the keyboard CTRL/BREAK to use this vector,
\ it has to be installed into the DOS interrupt vector table via
\ it has to be installed into the DOS interrupt vector table via
\ an interrupt routine which can be done by the SETINT word.
\ an interrupt routine which can be done by the SETINT word.
7
7
HEX
HEX
9
9
10 LABEL INTRTN STI 20 \# AL MOU 20 \# AL DUT ( send EQI )
10 LABEL INTRTN STI 20 \# AL MOU 20 \# AL DUT ( send EQI )
1 1
1 1
12 : SETINT ( set the interrupt address into interrupt vector )
12 : SETINT ( set the interrupt address into interrupt vector )
13 2523 0 O INTRTN 21 INTO:
13 2523 0 O INTRTN 21 INTO:
14
14
15 SETINT ( Execute it ) DECIMAL -->
15 SETINT ( Execute it ) DECIMAL -->
Screen \# 9 crc ver = 65086
Screen \# 9 crc ver = 65086
\ CHECKSUM FOR SCREENS -- 1
\ CHECKSUM FOR SCREENS -- 1
1 VARIABLE BADDR VARIABLE CHRCNT
1 VARIABLE BADDR VARIABLE CHRCNT
2 : GETBLDCK ( block \# -- ) BLDCK BADDR ! -1 CHRCNT ! :
2 : GETBLDCK ( block \# -- ) BLDCK BADDR ! -1 CHRCNT ! :
3 : GETCHR ( - chr ) BADDR a CHRCNT a + Ca ;
3 : GETCHR ( - chr ) BADDR a CHRCNT a + Ca ;
4 : DECCNT -1 CHRCNT +! 1 :
4 : DECCNT -1 CHRCNT +! 1 :
5:GETNXTCHR 1 CHRCNT +! GETEHR :
5:GETNXTCHR 1 CHRCNT +! GETEHR :
6: CHKEND CHRCNT i 102S >=:
6: CHKEND CHRCNT i 102S >=:
7 : ?<>BL BEGIN GETNXTCHR EL = NDT CHKEND OR UNTIL:
7 : ?<>BL BEGIN GETNXTCHR EL = NDT CHKEND OR UNTIL:
8
8
7: ?% GETCHR 40=
7: ?% GETCHR 40=
10 = ?) BEGIN GETNXTCHR 41 = UNTIL O:
10 = ?) BEGIN GETNXTCHR 41 = UNTIL O:
11: SKIP( GETNXTCHR BL = IF ?) ELSE DECCNT THEN;
11: SKIP( GETNXTCHR BL = IF ?) ELSE DECCNT THEN;
12
12
13:?\ GETCHR 92=
13:?\ GETCHR 92=
14 : SKIFLINE C/L CHRCNT i C/L MOD - 1- CHRCNT +! 0 ;
14 : SKIFLINE C/L CHRCNT i C/L MOD - 1- CHRCNT +! 0 ;
15:SKIF\ GETNXTCHR BL = IF SKIFLINE ELSE DECCNT THEN: -->

```
15:SKIF\ GETNXTCHR BL = IF SKIFLINE ELSE DECCNT THEN: -->
```

The first instruction, STI, SeT Interrupt flag, is used to be sure that other interrupts can occur when this routine is called. The next instructions, MOV AL, 20 and OUT 20, AL, sends an End Of Interrupt command to the 8259 interrupt controller chip so that other interrupts can be collected by the chip. And, finally, we jump to the warm start vector.

That's the whole interrupt routine. We have to do all these things because, in a normal interrupt sequence, the routine would have to save all registers, and return with an IRET instruction. Since we are not returning from the interrupt routine, certain things must be done - not only those mentioned above, but also the stack has to be cleared. This is done by the warm-start routine. The only things we haven't done is to determine the need for more than one EOI command, and the possibility of having to reset some of the I/O boards. I haven't used this interrupt in all occasions, so if you are having difficulty with this routine, these two things might have to be done.

Next, we have to make sure this routine can be used. To do that we have to insert its address into the control-break interrupt vector. The interrupt vectors are all located starting at $0: 0$ (segment 0 , offset 0 ), but we don't have to know where the control-break vector is: we have a DOS interrupt that takes care of that. All we have to do is feed it the address of the interrupt routine. Line 12 creates the word that does it. 25 H is the function number of the interrupt that does the moving and it goes into the AH register. It transfers the address of our interrupt routine INTRTN (which goes into the DX register), into the interrupt vector table. AL must be loaded with the control break interrupt function $\# 23 \mathrm{H}$. Once the these words have been created, line 15 executes them.

This screen should be one of the first that gets loaded to be sure the interrupt can occur early on. Then whenever you press the Control-Break key combination, you should see a "warm start" message. Thus, you should be able to break out of most $1 / \mathrm{O}$ operations. Some notes, though: if you don't load this screen to compile it (that is, if you were to make this screen part of your system by metacompiling it), you have to execute this SETINT word some other way so that it will take effect. Also, it is a good
idea to set the DOS BREAK ON command before you enter Forth. This will ensure that a Control-Break will operate whenever a program requests any DOS function. If it is not set, Control-Break is checked only on standard I/O operations. You can automatically set this command 'on' during boot-up by creating a CONFIG.SYS file that specifies $B R E A K=O N$. Also note that if your computer runs away, a Control-Break may not work at all, whereas a system reset, or even a power-up reset, may be the only way to get running again.

Back a long time ago in Forth Dimensions (IV/3), Klaxon Suralis and Leo Brodie had an article called "Checksum for Hand-Entered Source Screens." The article concerned itself with the fact that, when you enter screens by hand, you can make typing mistakes. They suggested a method to calculate a checksum for a screen and print it before the screen is listed. Thus, after you enter your own screen you can compare the checksum with that of the original, and if they differ you know that, somewhere, there could be a typing mistake. All you have to do is compare the screens to find the error. The program skips over comments and spaces, so they won't be counted in the total.

The program was such a good idea, I have been using it ever since the article. When I got F83 however, I found a few new words that messed up the checksum. One of the words used a lot in F83 screens is $\backslash$. This allows a comment on a line and causes compiling to skip to the end of the line. After trying to modify Suralis' and Brodie's program to compensate for this word and finding, for myself, no easy way of doing it, I decided to rewrite the program to fix the problem.

The way I did it was to count characters. There not only are no line delimiters in an F83 screen, but there are no screen delimiters. So, in order to know where you are in a line when the $\backslash$ word occurs, you have to be able to count characters in order to get to the end of the line.

To show how I developed the program, take a look at the flow chart for the program which is shown in Figure One. The first thing we do is get the character in the text stream and check to see if it is a blank. If it is, we get the next character. If the character is a (we have to check to see whether it
is a true comment or not by checking the next character. If the character is a blank, we know it is a comment and can skip to the ) word.

If we don't have a ( comment we check to see if the character is a $\backslash$ word. We also have to see if the next character is a blank, which would indicate a comment. If it is, we can then calculate to the end of the line.

If there were no comments in this check, we can then go to the routine that does the checksum calculation. If the character did turn out to be a comment, we have to check to see if we reached the end of the screen and then start again on the next character. The checksum is the same as that used in the original version, so the end result will be the same.

Screens \#9 and 10 contain all the words for the checksum program. In line 1 we define two variables, one to hold the block address of the screen we are checking, and one to hold the running total of the number of characters we have checked. The word GETBLOCK in line 2 not only saves the block address, but initializes the character count to -1 , which is necessary since we increment the count before we do any work.

The word GETCHR in line 3 gets the block address, adds the character count offset, and then fetches the character. We also need a word to decrement the count when we are checking for spaces after the ( and $\backslash$ words. That is done, of course, with DECCNT.

GETNXTCHR is self-explanatory, and CHKEND indicates when we have reached the total of 1024 characters in a screen. The word ? $\langle>B L$ in line 7 asks whether the next character is a blank or not, and will keep on getting a new character until it is either non-blank or the end of the screen.

The three words in lines 9 to 11 are set up to find and skip over ( comments. The three words in lines 13 to 15 are set up to find and skip over $\backslash$ comments. The word SKIPLINE merely calculates the number of characters to the end of the line and increments the character count by that amount.

In screen \#10, line 2, we have the same algorithm used in the old version. Thus, we can be sure the results will be the same.

The word in line $6, \mathrm{CHKCHR}$, combines the words that check for both types of
comments. The word in the next line, CHKWORD, is used because the space after a word has to be included in the checksum calculation.

The word CHKSUM does all the work in the program and requires an initial value of zero on the stack. It will leave the checksum on the stack when it has finished. I have timed both this version and the older version, and though both take a few seconds to do the calculation, my version is slightly longer. When I get more time, I'd like to speed up the process by doing some of the work in assembly code. The time taken is well worth it, though, since errors found save more time in the long run.

The word CRCCHK is the next step in the process. It requires the block number of the screen to check and leaves the checksum. The final word VER is the same as that used in the old version; in this way you don't have to change the name if you use this word in your screen-listing words.

Even though this checksum method checks for the two typical comment words, there are two other words in F83 that it doesn'tcheck for, ( S and $\backslash \mathrm{S}$. One indicates a stack comment, and the other jumps to the end of the screen so that numerous lines of comment can be added to the end of a screen. Since I rarely use these words, I felt the time to add them to the program was not worth it to me. If you wish to add them, the method I used in the checksum program makes it not too difficult to do. Let us all know how you did it, if you decide to add them.

I have shown in this article a number of words I have created to do a number of lowlevel and high-level operations. Especially important and interesting are the ones that get to the BIOS and DOS interrupts. These words allow you to do many new things with Forth that make programming a lot easier.
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```
Screen # 10
                    crc ver = 55006
O \ CHECKSUM FOR SCREENS -- 2
2 : CALCCRC ( oldcrc chr -- newcrc) 256 * XOR 8 O DO DUP O<
3 IF 163日6 XOR DUP + 1+ ELSE DUP + THEN LODP:
4
5
6 : CHKCHR ?& IF SKIP{ ELSE ?\ IF SKIP\ ELSE 1 THEN THEN:
7 CHKWORD GETNXTCHR BL = IF BL CALCCRC THEN DECCNT DROP:
8
9 : CHKSUM BEGIN ?<>BL CHKEND NOT IF CHKCHR
10 IF GETCHR CALCCRC CHKWORD THEN THEN CHKEND UNTIL ;
11 : CRCCHK (block # -- crcvalue) GETBLDCK O CHKSUM:
12
13 : VER SCR a CRCCHK U. :
14
15
```
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NGS FORTH
A FAST FORTH, OPTIMIZED FOR THE IBM PERSONAL COMPUTER AND MS-DOS COMPATIBLES.

GTANDARD FEATURES INCLUDE:

## 79 STANDARD

-DIRECT I/O ACCESS
-FULL ACCESS TO MS-DOS FILES AND FUNCTIONS -ENVIRONMENT SAVE \& LOAD
-MULTI-SEGMENTED FOR LARGE APPLICATIONS
-EXTENDED ADDRESSING
-MEMORY ALIOCATION CONFIGURABLE ON-LINE
-AUTO LOAD SCREEN BOOT
-LINE \& SCREEN EDITORS
-DECOMPILER AND
DEBUGGING AIDS
$\bullet 8088$ ASSEMBLER
©GRAPHICS \& SOUND
oNGS ENHANCEMENTS
-DETAIIED MANUAL
-INEXPENSIVE UPGRADES
©NGS USER NEWSLETTER
A COMPLETE FORTH DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM.

PRICES BTART ATT \$70
NEW-HP-150 \& HP-110 VERBIONS AVAILABLE


NEXT GENERATION BYBTEMS P.O.BOX 2987

BANTA CLARA, CA. 95055 (408) 241-5909

STEP UP TO PROCESSING ARRAYS WITH REVERSE POLISHI

Wayland Software Systems, an innovator in processing arrays with Reverse Polish Notation, announces a new interpreter. vector Rudimentary Language (vecRL). It is now available in pre-relesse form for people interested in experimenting with this new approach. Right now vecRL operates under DOS, but eventually it will become a stand-alone dos compatible operating system. You can own either the source or the object at a very reasonable cost.

VecRL is metacompiled with aeveral arithmetic vocabularies, and more to come. For example, + under the I vocabulary adds untyped 16 bit integers while + under the $L$ vocabulary adds untyped 32 bit long integers. The untyped arithmetic vocabularies, C I LE, provide full rORTH capabilities of 8, 16. 32 bit processing and 16 bit pointers respectively.

Arithmetic vocabularies are under development that process typed array data. For example, the + under the $i$ vocabulary will add 16 bit integer arrays element by element while + under the 1 vocabulary adds 32 bit long integer arrays element by element. The + under the $v$ vocabulary will add typed arrays element by element using the appropriate addition. These array arithmetic vocabularies will be available in later versions.

Wayland Software Systems offers VecRL because it improves programmer and computer performance. Please call or write for details.

Wayland Software Systems
Advancing the Processing of Arraye with Reverse Polish

> 10 Shore Drive
> Wayland Mass. 01778 USA
> (617) 877-9099

## (Continued form page 27.)

compiled just as it normally would have been.

Perhaps it seems to be a bit wasteful of memory, to have a field like the one there. But I often need just such a field, to be used temporarily. You can use it - with care - instead of the restless PAD field. The patches don't change the normal behavior of the system, in case of normal compiling or normal interpreting. It is still a Forth system!

However, the method does have some limitations:

1. You cannot interpret structures that nest ? EXEC words. For example:
IF : TASK ; THEN
will not work, since PRECOMPILE tries to compile the word : (which is prohibited by ?EXEC).
2. You must be careful with the code compiled THERE, while it is still running. For example, if you interpret a structure that nests the sequence
QUERY INTERPRET
and you try to interpret a ?COMP word by this sequence, it will overwrite the code that already runs THERE, and the system will probably crash.

Interpret Forth!

NOW FOR IBM PC, XT, AT, PS2 AND TRS-80 MODELS $1,3,4,4 \mathrm{P}$ The Gifted
Computer

1. Buy MMSFORTH before year's end, to let your computer work harder and faster.
2. Then MMS will reward it (and you) with the MMSFORTH GAMES DISK, a $\$ 39.95$ value which we'll add on at no additional charge!
MMSFORTH is the unusually smooth and complete Forth system with the great support. Many programmers report four to ten times greater productivity with this outstanding system, and MMS provides advanced applications programs in Forth for use by beginners and for custom modifications. Unlike many Forths on the market, MMSFORTH gives you a rich set of the instructions, editing and debugging tools that professional programmers want. The licensed user gets continuing, free phone tips and a MMSFORTH Newsletter is available. The MMSFORTH GAMES DISK includes arcade games (BREAKFORTH, CRASHFORTH and, for TRS-80, FREEWAY). board games (OTHELLO and TIC-TACFORTH), and a top-notch CRYPTOQUOTE HELPER with a data file of coded messages and the ability to encode your own. All of these come with Forth source code, for a valuable and enjoyable demonstration of Forth programming techniques.
Hurry, and the GAMES DISK will be our free gift to you. Our brochure is free, too, and our knowledgeable staff is ready to answer your questions. Write. Better yel, call 617/653-6136.
 and a free gift!

## GREAT FORTH:

MMSFORTH V2.4
. $179.95^{*}$
The one you've read about in FORTH: A TEXT \& REFERENCE. Available for IEM PC/XT/AT/PS2 etc., and TRS-80 M.1, 3 and 4
GREAT MMSFORTH OPTIONS:
FORTHWRITE \$99.95*
FORTHCOM 49.95 DATAHANDLER $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .$. DATAHANDLER-PLUS* .............. 99.95 EXPERT-2 ............................. 69.95
UTILITIES .............................. 49.95
*Single-computer, single-user prices; corporate site licenses from $\$ 1,000$ additional $31 / 2$ " format, add $\$ 5 /$ disk; Tandy 1000 add $\$ 20$. Add $S / H$, plus $5 \%$ tax on Mass. orders. DH + not avail. for TRS-80s.
GREAT FORTH SUPPORT:
Free user tips, MMSFORTH Newsletter, consulting on hardware selection, staff training, and programming assignments large or small.
GREAT FORTH BOOKS:
FORTH: A TEXT \& REF..
THINKING FORTH 16.95

Many others in stock.
MILLER MICROCOMPUTER SERVICES 61 Lake Shore Road, Natick, MA 01760 (617/653-6136, 9 am - 9 pm )

## (Continued from page 20.)

DM: That's what you have to do to be in the game, I felt.

MH: [to Lori] How did you get into this game?

LC: It started when I took programming in school and liked it. It's rare to find something you really like to do. I don't think I have the entrepreneurial spirit that Rick does, but it's definitely something I like doing.

MH: What about it do you like?
LC: The programming, and - we have a high sense of responsibility, and that comes out. You feel you have a direct effect on what happens.

MH: And that's the part you like - seeing your Machs going out the door?

LC: Yes, and you don't see that in a big company. Rarely do you see it.

## COMBINE THE RAW POWER OF FORTH WITH THE CONVENIENCE OF CONVENTIONAL LANGUAGES HS FORTH

Yes, Forth gives you total control of your computer, but only HS/FORTH gives you implemented functionality so you aren't left hanging with "great possibilities" (and lots of work!) With over 1500 functions you are almost done before you start!
WELCOMETO HS/FORTH, where megabyte programs compite at 10,000 lines per minute, and execute faster than ones built in $64 \mathbf{k}$ limited systems. Then use AUTOOPT to reach within a few percent of full assembler performance - not a native code compiler linking only simple code primitives, but a full recursive descent optimizer with almost all of HS/FORTH as a useable resource. Both optimizer and assembler can create independent programs as well as code primitives. The metacompiler creates threaded systems from a few hundred bytes to as large as required, and can produce ANY threading scheme. And the entire system can be saved, sealed, or turnkeyed for distribution either on disk or in ROM (with or without BIOS).
HS/FORTH is a first class application development and implementation system. You can exploit all of DOS (commands, functions, even shelled programs) and link to OBJ and LIB files meant for other languages interactively!
I/O is easier than in Pascal or Basic, but much more powerful - whether you need parsing, formatting, or random access. Send display output through DOS, BIOS, or direct to video memory. Windows organize both text and graphics display, and greatly enhance both time slice and round robin multitasking utilities. Math facilities include both software and hardware floating point plus an 18 digit integer (finance) extension and fast arrays for all data types. Hardware floating point covers the full range of trig, hyper and transcendental math including complex.
Undeniably the most flexible \& complete Forth system available, at any price, with no expensive extras to buy later. Compiles 79 \& 83 standard programs. Distribute metacompiled tools, or turnkeyed applications royalty free.
HS/FORTH (complete system):
HS/FORTH: Tutorial \& Ref ( 500 pg )
Forth: Text \& Reference ( 500 pg ) HS/FORTH Glossary
GIGAFORTH Option (Beta release)
$\$ 395$.
(Native Mo $\$ 245$.
(Naive Mode from SOFTMILLS, INC.)
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Phoenix Chapter
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Tucson Chapter
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- FLORIDA
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## - ILLINOIS

Cache Forth Chapter
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Clyde W. Phillips, Jr.
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- INDIANA

Central Indiana Chapter
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John Oglesby (317) 353-3929
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I/P Univ. Campus, B71 Neff Hall
Blair MacDemmid (219) 749-2042

- IOWA

Lowa City Chapter
4th Tues.
Engineering Bldg., Rm. 2128
University of Iowa
Robert Benedict (319) 337-7853

## Central lowa FIG Chapter

1st Tues., 7:30 p.m.
Iowa State Univ., 214 Comp. Sci.
Rodrick Eldridge (515) 294-5659
Fairfield FIG Chapter
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- KANSAS

Wlchita Chapter (FIGPAC)
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3rd Wed., 7 p.m.
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Nicholas Lordi (201) 338-9363

- NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque Chapter
1st Thurs., 7:30 p.m.
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Frank Lanzafame (716) 235-0168
Syracuse Chapter
3rd Wed., 7 p.m.
Henry J. Fay (315) 446-4600

- NORTH CAROLINA

Raleigh Chapter
Frank Bridges (919) 552-1357

- OHIO

Akron Chapter
3rd Mon., 7 p.m.
McDowell Library
Thomas Franks (216) 336-3167
Athens Chapter
Isreal Urieli (614) 594-3731
Cleveland Chapter
4th Tues., 7 p.m.
Chagrin Falls Library
Gary Bergstrom (216) 247-2492
Dayton Chapter
2nd Tues. \& 4th Wed., 6:30 p.m.
CFC. 11 W. Monument Ave.,
\#612
Gary Ganger (513) 849-1483

## - OKLAHOMA

Central Oklahoma Chapter
3rd Wed., 7:30 p.m.
Health Tech. Bldg., OSU Tech.
Contact Larry Somers
2410 N.W. 49th
Oklahoma City, OK 73112

## - OREGON

Greater Oregon Chapter
Beaverton
2nd Sat., 1 p.m.

Tektronix Industrial Park,
Bldg. 50
Tom Almy (503) 692-2811
Willamette Valley Chapter
4th Tues., 7 p.m.
Linn-Benton Comm. College
Pann McCuaig (503) 752-5113

- PENNSYLVANIA

Philadelphia Chapter
4th Sat., 10 a.m.
Drexel University, Stratton Hall
Melanie Hoag (215) 895-2628

## - TENNESSEE

East Tennessee Chapter
Oak Ridge
2nd Tues., 7:30 p.m.
Sci. Appl. Intl. Corp., 8th Fl.
800 Oak Ridge Tumpike,
Richard Secrist (615) 483-7242

- TEXAS

Austin Chapter
Contact Matt Lawrence
P.O. Box 180409

Austin, TX 78718
Dallas/Ft. Worth
Metroplex Chapter
4th Thurs., 7 p.m.
Chuck Durrett (214) 245-1064
Houston Chapter
1 st Mon., 7 p.m.
Univ. of St. Thomas
Russel Harris (713) 461-1618
Periman Basin Chapter
Odessa
Carl Bryson (915) 337-8994

- UTAH

North Orem FIG Chapter
Contact Ron Tanner
748 N. 1340 W.
Orem, UT 84057

- VERMONT

Vermont Chapter
Vergennes
3rd Mon., 7:30 p.m.
Vergennes Union High School
Rm. 210, Monkton Rd.
Don VanSyckel (802) 388-6698

- VIRGINIA

First Forth of Hampton

## Roads

William Edmonds (804) 898-4099
Potomac Chapter
Arlington
2nd Tues., 7 p.m.
Lee Center
Lee Highway at Lexington St.
Joel Shprentz (703) 860-9260
Richmond Forth Group
2nd Wed., 7 p.m.
154 Business School
Univ. of Richmond
Donald A. Full (804) 739-3623

- WISCONSIN

Lake Superior FIG Chapter
2nd Fri., 7:30 p.m.
Main 195, UW-Superior
Allen Anway (715) 394-8360
MAD Apple Chapter
Contact Bill Horton
502 Atlas Ave.
Madison, WI 53714
Milwaukee Area Chapter
Donald Kimes (414) 377-0708

## INTERNATIONAL

- AUSTRALIA

Melbourne Chapter
1 st Fri., 8 p.m.
Contact Lance Collins
65 Martin Road
Glen Iris, Victoria 3146
03/29-2600
Sydney Chapter
2nd Fri., 7 p.m.
John Goodsell Bldg., Rm. LG19
Univ. of New South Wales
Contact Peter Tregeagle
10 Binda Rd., Yowie Bay
02/524-7490

- BELGIUM

Belglum Chapter
4th Wed., 20:00h
Contact Luk Van Loock
Lariksdreff 20
2120 Schoten
03/658-6343
Southern Belgium Chapter
Contact Jean-Marc Bertinchamps
Rue N. Monnom, 2
B-6290 Nalinnes
071/213858

- CANADA

Northern Alberta Chapter
4th Sat., 1 p.m.
N. Alta. Inst. of Tech.

Tony Van Muyden (403) 962-2203
Nova Scotia Chapter
Halifax
Howard Harawitz (902) 477-3665
Southern Ontario Chapter
Quarterly, 1 st Sat., 2 p.m.
Genl. Sci. Bldg., Rm. 212
McMaster University
Dr. N. Solntseff (416) 525-9140
ext. 3
Toronto Chapter
Contact John Clark Smith
P.O. Box 230, Station H

Toronto, ON M4C 5J2
Vancouver Chapter
Don Vanderweele (604) 941-4073

- COLOMBIA

Colombia Chapter
Contact Luis Javier Parra B.
Aptdo. Aereo 100394
Bogota 214-0345

- DENMARK

Forth Interesse Gruupe
Denmark
Copenhagen
Erik Oestergaard, 1-520494

- ENGLAND

Forth Interest Group- U.K.
London
1st Thurs., 7 p.m.
Polytechnic of South Bank
Rm. 408
Borough Rd.
Contact D.J. Neale
58 Wcodland Way
Morden, Surry SM4 4DS

- FRANCE

French Language Chapter
Contact Jean-Daniel Dodin
77 Rue du Cagire
31100 Toulouse
(16-61)44.03.06
FIG des Alpes Chapter
Annely
Georges Seibel, 50570280

- GERMANY

Hamburg FIG Chapter
4th Sat., 1500h
Contact Horst-Gunter Lynsche
Common Interface Alpha
Schanzenstrasse 27
2000 Hamburg 6

- HOLLAND

Holland Chapter
Contact Adriaan van Roosmalen
Heusden Houtsestraat 134
4817 We Breda
3176713104

- IRELAND

Irish Chapter
Contact Hugh Dobbs
Newion School
Waterford
$051 / 75757$ or $051 / 74124$

- ITALY

FIG Italia
Contact Marco Tausel
Via Gerolamo Fomi 48
20161 Milano
02/435249

- JAPAN

Japan Chapter
Contact Toshi Inoue
Dept. of Mineral Dev. Eng.
University of Tokyo
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo 113
812-2111 ext. 7073

- NORWAY

Bergen Chapter
Kjell Birger Faeraas, 47-518-7784

## - REPUBLIC OF CHINA

(R.O.C.)

Contact Ching-Tang Tzeng
P.O. Box 28

Lung-Tan, Taiwan 325

- SWEDEN

Swedish Chapter
Hans Lindstrom, 46-31-166794

- SWITZERLAND

Swiss Chapter
Contact Max Hugelshofer
ERNI \& Co., Elektro-Industrie
Stationsstrasse
8306 Bruttisellen
01/833-3333

## SPECIAL GROUPS

## - Apple Corps Forth Users

 Chapter1st \& 3rd Tues., 7:30 p.m.
1515 Sloat Boulevard, \#2
San Francisco, CA
Dudley Ackerman
(415) 626-6295

- Baton Rouge Atari Chapter

Chris Zielewski (504) 292-1910

## - FIGGRAPH

Howard Pearlmutuer
(408) 425-8700

- NC4000 Users Group

John Carpenter (415) 960-1256

## ANNOUNCING

## FORTH INTEREST GROUP ROUNDTABLE

NOW AVAILABLE
THROUGH

* OVER 400 DOWNLOADABLE FILES OF FORTH INFORMATION \& CODE
* ON-LINE REAL TIME CONFERENCING
* E-MAIL CONTACT WITH OTHER FIG MEMBERS

SPECIAL SIGN-UP FOR FIG MEMBERS ONLY $\$ 18.00$

Includes GEnie Manual plus 3 FREE Hours on GEnie
Using Your Modem Call 1-800-638-8369, Type "HHH" (CR)
Following the U\# prompt, type "XJM11849,GENIE" (CR)

